



Standard Test Method for Resistance to Degradation of Large-Size Coarse Aggregate by Abrasion and Impact in the Los Angeles Machine¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation C535; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

This standard has been approved for use by agencies of the Department of Defense.

1. Scope*

1.1 This test method covers testing sizes of coarse aggregate larger than 19 mm ($\frac{3}{4}$ in.) for resistance to degradation using the Los Angeles testing machine (**Note 1**).

NOTE 1—A procedure for testing coarse aggregate smaller than 37.5 mm ($1\frac{1}{2}$ in.) is covered in Test Method **C131**.

1.2 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.3 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as the standard. The inch-pound values given in parentheses are for information only.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards*:²

C125 Terminology Relating to Concrete and Concrete Aggregates

C131 Test Method for Resistance to Degradation of Small-Size Coarse Aggregate by Abrasion and Impact in the Los Angeles Machine

C136 Test Method for Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates

C670 Practice for Preparing Precision and Bias Statements for Test Methods for Construction Materials

C702 Practice for Reducing Samples of Aggregate to Testing Size

D75 Practice for Sampling Aggregates

E11 Specification for Woven Wire Test Sieve Cloth and Test Sieves

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee **C09** on Concrete and Concrete Aggregates and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee **C09.20** on Normal Weight Aggregates.

Current edition approved Dec. 15, 2012. Published January 2013. Originally approved in 1964. Last previous edition approved in 2009 as C535 – 09. DOI: 10.1520/C0535-12.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

3. Terminology

3.1 For definitions of terms used in this test method, refer to Terminology **C125**.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 This test is a measure of degradation of mineral aggregates of standard gradings resulting from a combination of actions including abrasion or attrition, impact, and grinding in a rotating steel drum containing 12 steel spheres. As the drum rotates, a shelf plate picks up the sample and the steel spheres, carrying them around until they are dropped to the opposite side of the drum, creating an impact-crushing effect. The contents then roll within the drum with an abrading and grinding action until the shelf plate picks up the sample and the steel spheres, and the cycle is repeated. After the prescribed number of revolutions, the contents are removed from the drum and the aggregate portion is sieved to measure the degradation as percent loss.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 The test has been widely used as an indicator of the relative quality or competence of various sources of aggregate having similar mineral compositions. The results do not automatically permit valid comparisons to be made between sources distinctly different in origin, composition, or structure. Assign specification limits with extreme care in consideration of available aggregate types and their performance history in specific end uses.

6. Apparatus

6.1 *The Los Angeles Machine* shall conform to the requirements of Test Method **C131**.

6.1.1 The operation and maintenance of the machine shall be as prescribed in Test Method **C131**.

6.2 *Sieves*, conforming to Specification **E11**.

6.3 *Balance*—A balance or scale accurate within 0.1 % of test load over the range required for this test

6.4 *Charge*—The charge (**Note 2**) shall consist of 12 steel spheres averaging approximately 47 mm ($1\frac{7}{32}$ in.) in

*A Summary of Changes section appears at the end of this standard

diameter, each having a mass between 390 and 445 g, and having a total mass of 5000 ± 25 g.

NOTE 2—Steel ball bearings 46.0 mm ($1\frac{3}{16}$ in.) and 47.6 mm ($1\frac{7}{8}$ in.) in diameter, having a mass approximately 400 and 440 g each, respectively, are readily available. Steel spheres 46.8 mm ($1\frac{7}{32}$ in.) in diameter having a mass approximately 420 g may also be obtainable. The charge may consist of a mixture of these sizes conforming to the total mass tolerance of 6.4.

7. Sampling

7.1 Obtain the field sample in accordance with Practice D75 and reduce to an adequate sample size in accordance with Practice C702.

8. Test Sample Preparation

8.1 Wash the reduced sample and oven dry at $110 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$ ($230 \pm 9^\circ\text{F}$) to substantially constant mass, separate into individual size fractions, and recombine to the grading of Table 1 most nearly corresponding to the range of sizes in the aggregate as furnished for the work. Record the mass of the sample prior to test to the nearest 1 g.

9. Procedure

9.1 Place the test sample and charge in the Los Angeles testing machine and rotate the machine at 30 to 33 r/min for 1000 revolutions (Note 3). After the prescribed number of revolutions, discharge the material from the machine and make a preliminary separation of the sample on a sieve coarser than the 1.70-mm (No. 12) sieve. Sieve the finer portion on a 1.70-mm sieve in a manner conforming to Test Method C136. Wash the material coarser than the 1.70-mm sieve and oven dry at $110 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$ ($230 \pm 9^\circ\text{F}$) to substantially constant mass, and determine the mass to the nearest 1 g.

9.1.1 If the aggregate is essentially free of adherent coatings and dust, the requirement for washing after the test is optional. However, in the case of referee testing, the washing procedure shall be performed. Elimination of washing after test will seldom reduce the measured loss by more than about 0.2 % of the original sample mass.

NOTE 3—Valuable information concerning the uniformity of the sample under test may be obtained by determining the loss after 200 revolutions. This loss should be determined by dry sieving the material on the 1.70-mm (No. 12) sieve without washing. The ratio of the loss after 200 revolutions to the loss after 1000 revolutions should not greatly exceed 0.20 for material of uniform hardness. When this determination is made, take care to avoid losing any part of the sample; return the entire sample, including the dust of fracture, to the testing machine for the final 800 revolutions required to complete the test.

10. Calculation

10.1 Calculate the loss (the difference between the original mass and the final mass of the test sample) as a percentage of the original mass of the test sample (Note 4).

NOTE 4—The percent loss determined by this method has no known consistent relationship to the percent loss for the same material when tested by Test Method C131.

11. Report

11.1 Report the following information:

11.2 Identification of the aggregate as to source, type, and nominal size, and

11.3 Grading designation from Table 1 used for the test, and

11.4 Loss by abrasion and impact of the sample expressed to the nearest 1 % by mass.

12. Precision and Bias

12.1 Precision

12.1.1 *Single Operator Precision*—The single-operator coefficient of variation has been found to be 2.7 %.³ Therefore, results of two properly conducted tests by the same operator on the same material are not expected to differ by more than 7.6 % of their average.³

12.1.2 *Multilaboratory Precision*—The multilaboratory coefficient of variation has been found to be 4.2 %.³ Therefore, results of two different laboratories on identical samples of the same material are not expected to differ from each other by more than 11.8 % of their average.³

NOTE 5—These precision values were obtained in an interlaboratory study that included 10 laboratories and one type of aggregate (Limestone) that had an average mass loss 28.5 %. Each laboratory was given an aggregate sample conforming to grading 1 and another sample conforming to grading 2. The results for the two gradings were averaged. The details are given in Research Report RR:C09-1042.⁴

12.2 Bias

12.2.1 Because there is no accepted reference material suitable for determining the bias in this test method, no statement on bias is made.

³ These numbers represent, respectively, the (1s%) and (d2s%) limits as described in Practice C670.

⁴ Supporting data have been filed at ASTM International Headquarters and may be obtained by requesting Research Report RR:C09-1042. Contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org.

TABLE 1 Gradings of Test Samples

Sieve Size, mm (in.) (Square Openings)		Mass of Indicated Sizes, g		
Passing	Retained on	Grading		
		1	2	3
75 (3)	63 (2½)	2 500 ± 50	...	...
63 (2½)	50 (2)	2 500 ± 50	...	...
50 (2)	37.5 (1½)	5 000 ± 50	5 000 ± 50	...
37.5 (1½)	25.0 (1)	...	5 000 ± 25	5 000 ± 25
25.0 (1)	19.0 (¾)	...	...	5 000 ± 25
	Total	10 000 ± 100	10 000 ± 75	10 000 ± 50

13. Keywords

13.1 abrasion; aggregate (coarse; large size); degradation; impact; Los Angeles machine

APPENDIX

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. MAINTENANCE OF SHELF

X1.1 The shelf of the Los Angeles machine is subject to severe surface wear and impact. With use, the working surface of the shelf is peened by the balls and tends to develop a ridge of metal parallel to and about 32 mm (1¼ in.) from the junction of the shelf and the inner surface of the cylinder. If the shelf is made from a section of rolled angle, not only may this ridge develop but the shelf itself may be bent longitudinally or transversely from its proper position.

X1.2 The shelf should be inspected periodically to determine that it is not bent either lengthwise or from its normal

radial position with respect to the cylinder. If either condition is found, the shelf should be repaired or replaced before further tests are made. The influence on the test result of the ridge developed by peening of the working face of the shelf is not known. However, for uniform test conditions, it is recommended that the ridge be ground off if its height exceeds 0.1 in. (2 mm).

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Committee C09 has identified the location of selected changes to this test method since the last issue, C535 – 09, that may impact the use of this test method. (Approved December 15, 2012)

(I) Revised Section 12 Precision and Bias.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the ASTM website (www.astm.org/COPYRIGHT/).