



Standard Guide for Reconstitution of Irradiated Charpy-Sized Specimens¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E1253; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide covers procedures for the reconstitution of ferritic pressure vessel steels used in nuclear power plant applications, Type A Charpy (Test Methods E23) specimens and Charpy-sized specimens suitable for testing in three point bending in accordance with Test Methods E1921 or E1820. Materials from irradiation programs (principally broken specimens) are reconstituted by welding end tabs of similar material onto remachined specimen sections that were unaffected by the initial test. Guidelines are given for the selection of suitable specimen halves and end tab materials, for dimensional control, and for avoidance of overheating the notch area. A comprehensive overview of the reconstitution methodologies can be found in Ref (1).²

1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as the standard. The values given in parentheses are for information only.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*³

E23 Test Methods for Notched Bar Impact Testing of Metallic Materials

E185 Practice for Design of Surveillance Programs for Light-Water Moderated Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels

E220 Test Method for Calibration of Thermocouples By Comparison Techniques

E1820 Test Method for Measurement of Fracture Toughness

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E10 on Nuclear Technology and Applications and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E10.02 on Behavior and Use of Nuclear Structural Materials.

Current edition approved Jan. 1, 2013. Published January 2013. Originally approved in 1993. Last previous edition approved in 2007 as E1253-07. DOI: 10.1520/E1253-13.

² The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this standard.

³ For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

E1921 Test Method for Determination of Reference Temperature, T_o , for Ferritic Steels in the Transition Range

E2215 Practice for Evaluation of Surveillance Capsules from Light-Water Moderated Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels

3. Significance and Use

3.1 Practice E185 defines the minimum requirements for light-water reactor surveillance program Charpy V-notch specimens and Practice E2215 describes the evaluation of test specimens from surveillance capsules. It may be desirable to extend the original surveillance program beyond available specimens for plant aging management issues, such as plant license renewal, to better define existing data, or to determine fracture toughness of a material when no standard fracture toughness test specimens are available. The ability to reconstitute the broken halves of existing specimens can provide such data.

3.2 Charpy-sized specimens are typically machined from virgin material, that is, material not previously mechanically tested. There are occasions that exist when either (1) no full size specimen blanks are available or (2) the material available with the desired history (such as having been subjected to irradiation) is not sufficient for the machining of full-size specimens, or both.

3.3 An solution to this problem, which is addressed in this guide, is to fabricate new specimens using the broken halves of previously irradiated and tested specimens or other material irradiated for this purpose. In this guide, the central segment of each new specimen utilizes a broken half of a previously tested specimen and end tabs that are welded to the central segment, or the central section may simply be a piece of virgin material shorter than a Charpy-sized specimen. While specifically addressing reconstitution of irradiated pressure vessel steels, this guide can also provide guidance for reconstitution of Charpy-sized specimens for other situations involving material availability.

4. Reconstitution Technique

4.1 Welding Process:

4.1.1 Any welding process may be chosen, provided that the heat input and dimensional constraints, as prescribed in this

guide, can be achieved. Work to date has indicated successful results with stud welding (2), electron beam welding (3,4), projection welding (5), and laser welding (6).

4.2 Specimen Preparation:

4.2.1 The specimen insert used for reconstitution and its orientation shall be identified such that it can be traced to the original specimen. Fig. 1 illustrates the components of the reconstituted specimen and defines several terms used in the following discussion. The *central test section* of the insert lies between the heat-affected zones (HAZ) created by the reconstitution welds. Within this central test section, the temperature during reconstitution welding shall not exceed the irradiation temperature in a 10-mm (0.39-in.) region centered about the notch.

4.2.2 Each end face of the specimen insert and the selected extension tabs shall be prepared as required by the particular welding method selected.

4.2.3 If comparable data between the original and reconstituted specimens are required, then the orientation of the reconstituted specimen and of the original specimen must be identical.

4.2.4 The strength and the material type of the end tabs shall be similar to the specimen insert. This can be important, especially in the case of irradiated (highly hardened) materials. Strength or hardness and material type for the end tabs and central insert shall be documented. Differences within a defined range were shown not to influence the test results (7). The use of oversized tabs and subsequent machining is permitted.

4.2.5 It is important to ensure that the plastic deformation beneath the notch, produced when the reconstituted specimen is tested, will occur entirely within previously undeformed material in the central test section. The following guidelines are provided to meet this objective:

4.2.5.1 To ensure that the specimen insert volume, subjected to plastic deformation during the subsequent testing, is free of prior plastic deformation, sufficient material shall be removed from the fractured end of the broken specimen half. Some

plastic deformation on either end of the original insert can be accepted if it is outside the central 10-mm (0.39-in.) portion.

4.2.5.2 The minimum length of the specimen insert shall be 18.0 mm (0.71 in.) unless the conditions stipulated in 4.2.5.3 are fulfilled.

4.2.5.3 The dimensional requirement of 18 mm (0.71 in.) is based on Charpy impact specimens tested on the upper shelf (where the plastic zone is largest) and fabricated with the stud welding reconstitution technique (where heat input and HAZ sizes are largest). Reconstituted specimens tested in the lower transition range or on the lower shelf in accordance with Test Methods E23 and reconstituted precracked specimens tested in accordance with Test Methods E1820 or E1921 will have much smaller plastic zones. Other reconstitution techniques, such as electron beam welding, produce HAZs smaller than stud welding. Therefore, this dimensional requirement may be relaxed, if it can be experimentally or analytically shown that the plastic deformation zone in subsequent testing will not extend into the heat affected zones produced by reconstitution and the requirement of 4.4.1 is met (see Fig. 1). Test programs have shown acceptable Charpy results using shorter inserts (8-10).

4.2.6 Many weld specimens contain base material and HAZ. Therefore, care shall be taken such that the heat-affected zone of an original weld is not contained in the central test section of the reconstituted specimen (see Fig. 1). HAZ specimens can be used as an additional source of weld or base material for inserts. In an inhomogeneous specimen insert, which contains base or weld material and HAZ, only the target test material shall be contained in the central 10-mm (0.39-in.) portion.


4.3 *Fixturing*—The fixture design will depend upon the type of welding process chosen for the welding operation. A successful design will maintain dimensional control, minimize heat input to the central test section, and satisfy design constraints associated with remote handling.

4.4 Heat Input:

4.4.1 To preclude irradiation damage annealing, heat input during welding shall be controlled such that no part of the volume of the central 10-mm (0.39-in.) portion of the reconstituted Charpy-sized specimen exceeds the prior metal irradiation temperature at any time during welding (see Fig. 1). This requirement can be relaxed if it can be shown that the plastic deformation zone in subsequent testing will not extend past the zone where irradiation temperature is exceeded.

4.4.2 To demonstrate that the temperature requirement of 4.4.1 is met for a given selection of welding parameters, temperature records shall be made daily, using thermocouples, during welding a set of Charpy-sized specimens. Such a demonstration is preferably made on dummy inserts with thermocouples that are welded or soldered in the mid-thickness of the dummy insert. If surface thermocouples are used, then a surface-to-center temperature correction must be made and the basis of the correction documented. A comprehensive overview of the important issues in temperature measurements can be found in Ref (11).

4.4.3 Thermocouples used in maximum temperature determination shall be calibrated in accordance with Test Method E220.



NOTE A—No plastic deformation from previous testing is permitted in the central test section. Temperature during welding in the 10-mm (0.39-in.) central test section shall not exceed the irradiation temperature.

FIG. 1 Schematic of a Reconstituted Charpy Specimen

4.5 Dimensional Requirements—Dimensional control of reconstituted specimens shall be in accordance with the corresponding test method (Test Method **E23**, **E1820**, or **E1921**). If the user of this guide is unable to produce specimens that meet the applicable test method dimensional requirements, then the user shall justify using specimens with dimensions exceeding the test method requirements. All deviations from the test method shall be documented and reported with the data. Ref (7) describes a study on the effects of some dimensional deviations.

4.6 Safety Precautions—The reconstitution procedures generally involve handling irradiated specimen materials, and the user of this guide is responsible for establishing appropriate safety practices, which are outside the scope of this guide.

5. Qualification of Reconstitution Technique

5.1 The welding process and fixture design shall be qualified prior to reconstituting specimens of interest, using materials of known impact properties. It shall be demonstrated during qualification that the following acceptance criteria have been achieved:

5.1.1 The temperature of the central 10-mm (0.39-in.) portion of the specimen shall not exceed, at any time during the welding process, a temperature that affects its metallurgical condition unless it can be shown that the plastic deformation zone in subsequent testing will not extend past the zone where the metallurgical condition has been affected. In the case of irradiated specimens, the prior irradiation temperature must not be exceeded in the central 10-mm (0.39-in.) portion of the specimen.

5.1.2 The welding procedure shall routinely produce weld quality such that fracture is at the notch of the reconstituted specimen and not in the reconstitution weldment. Examples of a weld qualification methodology can be found in Ref (12).

5.1.3 The reconstitution technique shall yield properties (41-J and 68-J transition temperatures and upper shelf energy or reference temperature, T_0 , or fracture toughness, as appropriate) equivalent to those obtained from the original tests. It is recommended that the reconstituted specimens for technique qualification be tested at temperatures identical to the original test temperatures so that data can be directly compared.

5.1.4 The material used for reconstitution qualification shall be selected such that the properties of this material are similar to the properties of the material of interest.

6. Documentation

6.1 Specimen Reconstitution Record:

6.1.1 Test material, test material tensile strength or hardness, origin, location, and orientation.

6.1.2 Reconstituted specimen identity.

6.1.3 Irradiation temperature and fast neutron fluence ($E > 1.0$ MeV).

6.1.4 Welding process parameters.

6.1.5 Extension tab material and tensile strength or hardness.

6.1.6 Statement of conformance with dimensional control to the corresponding test method (Test Method **E23**, **E1820** or **E1921**).

6.2 *Additional Documentation*—The following information shall be available by reference to a suitable document.

6.2.1 Process Qualification Information:

6.2.1.1 Identities and nominal impact energies or fracture toughness of the qualification specimens.

6.2.1.2 Test temperature of the qualification specimens.

6.2.1.3 Impact energy, lateral expansion, and fracture appearance, or fracture toughness, of the qualification specimens as appropriate.

6.2.1.4 Comparison of original and reconstituted Charpy transition curves or comparison of the reference temperatures of the original and reconstituted specimens.

6.2.2 Heat Input Monitoring:

6.2.2.1 Type of temperature measurement equipment.

6.2.2.2 Number of temperature measurements and locations.

6.2.2.3 Details of temperature recording during the welding cycles.

6.2.2.4 Precision and bias of the temperature measuring system.

6.2.2.5 Fixturing details.

6.2.3 Welding Procedure:

6.2.3.1 Specific welding parameters, such as current, voltage, type of electrode, welding speed, heat input rate, etc.

7. Keywords

7.1 Charpy; impact energy; fracture toughness; nuclear pressure vessel surveillance; reconstitution; welding process

REFERENCES

- (1) van Walle, E., "Reconstitution: Where Do We Stand?," *Effects of Radiation on Materials: 17th International Symposium on Materials*, ASTM STP 1270, Davis S. Gelles, Randy K. Nanstad, Arvind S. Kumar, and Edward A. Little, Eds., American Society for Testing and Materials, 1996.
- (2) Perrin, J. S., Wullaert, R. A., McConnell, P., Server, W. L., and Fromm, E. A., "Reconstituted Charpy Impact Specimens," EPRI Report NP2759, December 1982.
- (3) Burch, P. R., "A Pilot Experiment to Determine the Feasibility of Reconstituting Irradiated Charpy V Specimens," *ASTM Journal of Testing and Evaluation*, September 1983.
- (4) Van Der Sluys, W. A., "Constructing Compound Charpy Specimens by E. B. Welding," Babcock and Wilcox, Alliance, OH, January 1979.
- (5) Shogan, R. P., Yanichko, S. E., and Galloway, W., "The Use of Reconstituted Charpy Specimens to Extend R. E. Ginna Reactor Pressure Surveillance Data," *Nuclear Technology*, Vol 72, March 1986.
- (6) Petrov, P. I., Scibetta, M., Puzzolante, J. L., and van Walle, E., "Reconstitution of Charpy-V Specimens with CO₂-Laser Welding," *Small Specimen Test Techniques: Fourth Volume*, ASTM STP 1418, M. A., Sokolov, J. D., Landes, and G. E. Lucas, Eds., ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2002.
- (7) Viehrig, H. W., Richter, H., Atkins, T., van Walle, E., Scibetta, M., Valo, M. J., Wootton, M. R., Keim, E., Debarberis, L., and Horsten, M., "RESQUE: Dependence of Test Results on Reconstituted Specimens with Tolerance Deviations and Variation of End Block Materials," *Small Specimen Test Techniques: Fourth Volume*, ASTM STP 1418, M. A., Sokolov, J. D., Landes, and G. E. Lucas, Eds., ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2002.
- (8) Onizawa, K., van Walle, E., Nanstad, R. K., Sokolov, M., and Pavinich, W., "Critical Analysis of the ASTM Round Robin on Reconstitution," *ASTM STP 1329*, W. R. Corwin, S. T. Rosinski, and E. van Walle, Eds., ASTM, 1997.
- (9) Onizawa, K., van Walle, E., Pavinich, W. A., and Nanstad, R. K., "Results and Analysis of the ASTM Round Robin on Reconstitution," ORNL/TN-2001/34, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, NUREG/CR-6777, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, August 2002.
- (10) Keim, E., Langer, R., van Walle, E., Scibetta, M., Valo, M., Viehrig, H. W., Richter, H., Atkins, T., Wootton, M., Debarberis, L., and Horsten, M., "RESQUE: Determination of the Lowest Acceptable Insert Length for Reconstituted C_v-impact and Precracked C_v Specimen," *Small Specimen Test Techniques: Fourth Volume*, ASTM STP 1418, M. A. Sokolov, J. D. Landes, and G. E. Lucas, Eds., ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2002.
- (11) Valo, M., Keim, E., van Waller, E., Scibetta, M., Atkins, T., Wootton, M., Viehrig, H. W., Richter, H., Debarberis, L., and Horsten, M., "RESQUE: Validation of Specimen Reconstitution Techniques with Temperature Measurements and Comparative Testing with Irradiated Materials," *Small Specimen Test Techniques: Fourth Volume*, ASTM STP 1418, M. A. Sokolov, J. D. Landes and G. E. Lucas, Eds., ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2002.
- (12) van Walle, E., Scibetta, M., Valo, M. J., Viehrig, H. W., Richter, H., Atkins, T., Wootton, M. R., Keim, E., Debarberis, L., and Horsten, M., "RESQUE: Reconstitution Techniques Qualification & Evaluation to Study Aging Phenomena of Nuclear Pressure Vessel Materials," *Small Specimen Test Techniques: Fourth Volume*, ASMT STP 1418, M. A., Sokolov, J. D. Landes, and G. E. Lucas, Eds., ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2002.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the ASTM website (www.astm.org/COPYRIGHT/).