



# Standard Practice for Selecting Antimicrobial Pesticides for Use in Water-Miscible Metalworking Fluids<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E2169; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope

1.1 This practice provides recommendations for selecting antimicrobial pesticides (microbicides) for use in water-miscible metalworking fluids (MWF). It presents information regarding regulatory requirements, as well as technical factors including target microbes, efficacy and chemical compatibility.

1.2 This guide is not an encyclopedic compilation of all the concepts and terminology uses by chemists, microbiologists, toxicologists, formulators, plant engineers and regulatory affairs specialists involved in antimicrobial pesticide selection and application. Instead, it provides a general understanding of the selection process and its supporting considerations.

1.3 The values in SI units are to be regarded as the standard.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

## 2. Referenced Documents

### 2.1 ASTM Standards:<sup>2</sup>

D1067 Test Methods for Acidity or Alkalinity of Water

D1293 Test Methods for pH of Water

D3519 Test Method for Foam in Aqueous Media (Blender Test)

D3946 Test Method for Evaluating the Bacteria Resistance of Water-Dilutable Metalworking Fluids (Withdrawn 2004)<sup>3</sup>

D4478 Test Methods for Oxygen Uptake (Withdrawn 1994)<sup>3</sup>

D5465 Practice for Determining Microbial Colony Counts

from Waters Analyzed by Plating Methods

E686 Method for Evaluation of Antimicrobial Agents in Aqueous Metal Working Fluids (Withdrawn 2004)<sup>3</sup>

E1302 Guide for Acute Animal Toxicity Testing of Water-Miscible Metalworking Fluids

E1326 Guide for Evaluating Nonconventional Microbiological Tests Used for Enumerating Bacteria

E1497 Practice for Selection and Safe Use of Water-Miscible and Straight Oil Metal Removal Fluids

E2144 Practice for Personal Sampling and Analysis of Endotoxin in Metalworking Fluid Aerosols in Workplace Atmospheres

### 2.2 Government Standards:

29 CFR 1910 Occupational Safety and Health Standards<sup>4</sup>

40 CFR 152 Pesticide Registration and Classification Procedures<sup>4</sup>

40 CFR 158 Pesticide Programs Data Requirements for Registration<sup>4</sup>

49 CFR 100-180 Research and Special Programs Administration, Department of Transportation<sup>4</sup>

PR Notice 2000-1 Applicability of the Treated Articles Exemption to Antimicrobial Pesticides

Directive 98/8/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 1998 concerning the placing of biocidal products on the market

## 3. Terminology

3.1 *active ingredient (a.i.), n*—the chemical component or components of an antimicrobial pesticide that provides its microbicidal performance.

3.2 *activity spectrum, n*—variety or range of microbes against which an antimicrobial pesticide is effective.

3.3 *antimicrobial pesticide, n*—chemical additive, registered under 40 CFR 152, for use to inhibit growth, proliferation or both of microorganisms.

3.3.1 *Discussion*—Antimicrobial pesticides are registered for one or more end-use applications, or sites, for use within an approved dose range.

<sup>1</sup> This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E34 on Occupational Health and Safety and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E34.50 on Health and Safety Standards for Metal Working Fluids.

Current edition approved Nov. 1, 2012. Published November 2012. Originally approved in 2001. Last previous edition approved in 2007 as E2169 - 01 (2007). DOI: 10.1520/E2169-12.

<sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org), or contact ASTM Customer Service at [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org). For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

<sup>3</sup> The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org).

<sup>4</sup> Code of Federal regulations available from United States Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.

3.4 *bactericide*, *n*—antimicrobial pesticide specifically or primarily effective against bacteria.

3.5 *biocide*, *n*—any chemical intended for use to kill or inhibit organisms.

3.5.1 *Discussion*—Biocide is a term commonly used synonymously with the preferred *antimicrobial pesticide* or *microbicide*.

3.6 *bioburden*, *n*—the level of microbial contamination (biomass) in a system.

3.6.1 *Discussion*—Typically bioburden is defined in terms of either biomass or numbers of cells per unit volume or mass or surface area material tested (g biomass/mL sample; g biomass/g sample; cell/mL sample, colony forming units (CFU)/mL, and so forth).

3.7 *biodeterioration*, *n*—the loss of commercial value, performance characteristics or both of a product (metalworking fluid) or material (coolant system or finished parts) through biological processes.

3.8 *biofilm*, *n*—a film or layer composed of microorganisms, biopolymers, water, and entrained organic and inorganic debris that forms as a result of microbial growth, proliferation, and excretion of polymeric substances at phase interfaces (liquid-liquid, liquid-solid, liquid-gas, and so forth). (synonym: *skin-nogen layer*).

3.9 *bioresistant*, *adj*—ability to withstand biological attack.

3.9.1 *Discussion*—Bioresistant, or recalcitrant, chemicals are not readily metabolized by microorganisms.

3.10 *biostatic*, *adj*—able to prevent existing microbial contaminants from growing or proliferating, but unable to kill them.

3.10.1 *Discussion*—Biostatic additives may be registered antimicrobial pesticides or unregistered chemicals with other performance properties. The difference between biocidal and biostatic performance may be attributed to dose, chemistry or both.

3.11 *contamination control*, *n*—maintenance of bioburden at an operationally defined level, at or below which the bioburden does not affect the fluid or system adversely.

3.12 *demand*, *n*—the sum of all factors that contribute to decreasing the effective concentration of antimicrobial pesticide.

3.12.1 *Discussion*—Processes contributing to demand include, but are not limited to, reaction with microbes, reactions with other chemicals in the fluid, adsorption onto surfaces, absorption into materials and temperature.

3.13 *dose*, *n*—concentration of antimicrobial pesticide added to treated solution.

3.13.1 *Discussion*—Dose is generally expressed as either ppm active ingredient (a.i.) or ppm as supplied (a.s.).

3.14 *fungicide*, *n*—antimicrobial pesticide specifically or primarily effective against fungi.

3.15 *lethal dose*, *n*—concentration at which treatment kills at least one of test subjects.

3.15.1 *Discussion*—The LD<sub>50</sub> is the term used in toxicology defining the dose that kills fifty-percent of the test population.

3.16 *half-life* ( $T_{1/2}$ ), *n*—time required for concentration of a microbicide to diminish to one-half its initial concentration.

3.17 *microbicide*, *n*—synonymous with antimicrobial pesticide.

3.18 *minimum inhibitory concentration* (MIC), *n*—lowest treatment-dose that will prevent test population from growing, proliferating or otherwise contributing to biodeterioration.

## 4. Summary of Practice

4.1 Microorganisms can grow in all water-miscible metalworking fluids including water-miscible metal removal fluids, a subset of the broader class of metalworking fluids. Consequences of uncontrolled microbial contamination in metalworking fluids may include biodeterioration, rancidity and aerosolization of potentially pathogenic microbes and toxic or allergenic microbial cell constituents. Consequently microbial contamination control is desirable from both operational and industrial hygiene perspectives.

4.2 Antimicrobial pesticides are used to prevent biodeterioration and may also reduce the risk of disease associated with the use of water-miscible metalworking fluids. They may be used in-drum, on-site or both. Antimicrobial pesticides work either by killing microbes, inhibiting specific undesirable microbial activities or both in the treated fluid. Antimicrobial pesticides used in metalworking fluids include representatives from a number of chemical groups. Consequently, antimicrobial pesticides vary widely in their mode of action, compatibility with other fluid components and other performance properties.

4.3 The process of selecting an antimicrobial pesticide for use in metalworking fluids shall include, minimally, confirmation that the product is (1) approved for the intended application; (2) compatible with other fluid and system constituents; and (3) effective. Other considerations including, but not limited to intended application, target microbes, desired speed of action, performance persistence, handling precautions, toxicological properties, water and oil miscibility, and waste treatability may affect microbicide selection.

4.4 Microbicide selection begins with a fundamental understanding of the coolant formulation chemistry, biodeterioration control strategy and specific customer needs. General background information<sup>5</sup> regarding MWF system management is available in Practice E1497 and elsewhere. Armed with this information, candidate microbicides can be selected for further evaluation. Products that meet all of the selection criteria are ultimately tested in field application. Since antimicrobial pesticide efficacy can diminish over time, the selection process may be viewed as cyclic. Moreover, since microbicides can be toxic, they require rigorous and competent product stewardship throughout their use-cycle.

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 This practice summarizes the steps in the antimicrobial pesticide selection process, reviewing technical and regulatory

<sup>5</sup> Organization Resources Counselors. *Management of the Metal Removal Fluid Environment*. Web site: <http://www.aware-services.com/orc/2000>.

considerations inherent in the process. It complements and amplifies information provided in Practice E1497.

5.1.1 Steps in the antimicrobial selection process include: needs identification, use strategy selection, efficacy testing, chemical compatibility testing, regulatory consideration review, handling and disposal issue review.

5.2 This practice provides stakeholders in the microbicide selection process an overview of its complexities, including the process of obtaining pesticide registration from cognizant governing bodies.

5.3 Personnel responsible for antimicrobial pesticide selection will be able to use this practice as a roadmap through the process.

5.4 Personnel responsible for industrial hygiene, product or plant management will gain insight to the tradeoffs attendant with antimicrobial use and selection.

## 6. Needs Information

6.1 The first step in the microbicide selection process is the recognition of a need. Recognition may come as a consequence of new metalworking fluid formulation development or evolving requirements in one or more fluid end-use applications.

6.1.1 Antimicrobial pesticide needs typically fall into either, or both of the following categories:

6.1.1.1 *Biodeterioration Prevention*—The various strategies used to enhance coolant life.

6.1.1.2 *Health and Safety*—Reducing the risk of employee exposure to potentially pathogenic microbes or allergenic microbial constituents such as endotoxins (E2144).

6.2 Once the need has been recognized, the next step is to define the need operationally. This is achieved by determining the answers to the needs analysis questions, for example:

6.2.1 What type of metalworking fluid formulation requires microbicidal augmentation? Antimicrobials vary in their respective oil and water solubilities. Moreover, chemical incompatibilities exist between certain antimicrobials and other metalworking fluid constituents. Microbicides that are deemed inappropriate based on their incompatibility with the other formulation components need not be considered further (see 9.1).

6.2.2 What are the desired performance-life and biodegradability criteria for the finished formulation? Bioresistance and biodegradability need to be balanced. Waste treatability and extended sump life are both important considerations. (see Section 8).

6.2.3 What respective roles should antimicrobial pesticides and bioresistant performance additives play in achieving those criteria? Metalworking fluid formulators can select from a growing number of bioresistant corrosion inhibitors and other performance additives that confer greater overall formulation bioresistance. Two caveats affect bioresistant additive selection.

6.2.3.1 Bioresistant additives should have some demonstrable performance benefit other than inhibiting biodeterioration.

6.2.3.2 The toxicological (for example those described in Guide E1302) and environmental fate profiles of a bioresistant,

putatively non-biocidal, performance additive shall be more benign than those of the microbicides they are replacing.

6.2.4 What are the target microbes (see 7.3)?

6.2.5 Will the microbicide be added into the formulation, tankside or both (see 7.1)?

6.2.6 Will the microbicide, either in-formulation or as tankside additive be used at a single or multiple end-use sites? Approved chemical lists vary among companies conducting metalworking operations. Antimicrobials to be considered for use should be listed on prospective users' approved chemicals lists.

6.2.7 Will the microbicide, either in-formulation or as tank-side additive be used domestically only, or will it be traded internationally? Industrial pesticide regulations differ around the world. Not all products approved by the U.S. EPA are approved in Canada, Europe or other industrialized regions or vice versa. Moreover, registration and reporting requirements vary amongst nations. Global acceptability may be an important consideration (see Section 10).

6.3 Completion of this needs analysis step will facilitate the balance of the microbicide selection process.

## 7. Antimicrobial Pesticide Use Strategies

7.1 Microbicides may be added either in-formulation, tank-side or both. Users, understanding how the metalworking fluids they use are formulated, should select an appropriate pesticide use-strategy for each end-use application.

7.1.1 In-formulation microbicide use means that antimicrobial(s) are formulated into coolant concentrate.

7.1.1.1 Microbicide addition at this stage may reduce or eliminate the requirement for subsequent tankside addition. It also protects high water-content formulations from spoilage during storage and transport.

7.1.1.2 When formulated into coolant, microbicides are added at concentrations sufficient to provide adequate a.i. once the formulation has been diluted to end-use strength. In-drum demand may reduce the residual microbicide concentration available by the time coolant concentrate is diluted for end-use.

7.1.1.3 With coolants intended for a variety of end-use applications, each requiring different final coolant concentrations, it may be difficult to blend a single microbicide concentration in-drum. For example, assume that the target end-use microbicide concentration is 1,000 ppm and the expected coolant finished dilution range is 5 to 10-percent. Blending microbicide into the formulation at 2-percent will yield the desired 1,000 ppm when the coolant is diluted to 5 % and 2,000 ppm when the coolant is diluted to 10-percent. The latter concentration may exceed the maximum microbicide concentration permitted under the microbicide's U.S. EPA pesticide registration. Using less microbicide in the concentrate might result in ineffective end-use strength.

7.1.1.4 Adding microbicides in-formulation requires a series of assumptions regarding antimicrobial pesticide demand during storage and in application.

7.1.1.5 Underdosing may select for microbes naturally resistant to the a.i.

7.1.2 A second treatment strategy, tankside use, refers to microbicide addition directly into the diluted coolant, in

application. Tankside usage may permit tighter control of coolant system bioburdens. It may also improve targeting and reduce the chances of selection for treatment resistant microbial communities. However, tankside use requires personnel at the use-facility to handle microbicide concentrate and increases the risks associated with unauthorized or insufficiently trained personnel handling microbicides.

7.1.2.1 When used tankside, microbicide should be added to systems at points where mixing and ventilation is adequate and splash risk is minimal.

7.1.2.2 Tankside microbicide addition should be linked to condition monitoring to reduce the risk of overdosing or underdosing.

7.1.2.3 Microbicides should not be added tankside without consulting the coolant formulator. Antagonistic reactions between tankside antimicrobials and coolant constituents might denature the microbicide or cause the release of noxious vapors.

7.1.3 A third treatment strategy is to formulate microbicide into coolant concentrate to provide in-drum and some level of end-use protection, and to augment this with tankside additions, based on condition monitoring data. This approach reduces the amount of tankside microbicide required. It compensates for the uncontrolled variables that affect microbicide demand.

## 7.2 Contamination Stage:

7.2.1 Several tankside dosing strategies may be used to control microbial contamination in metalworking fluids. Microbicide may be added in response to data excursions beyond established control limits. They may be added according to a schedule. They may be added after coolant rancidity makes conditions at end user facilities intolerable. Regardless of the strategy, antimicrobial pesticide should be added at sufficient concentration to be effective. Moreover, the duration of coolant and system exposure to an effective microbicide concentration should be sufficient to achieve contamination control.

7.2.2 Tankside addition linked to a condition-monitoring program generally provides the most cost-effective control. Data used to determine the need for biocide addition may include, but are not limited to the parameters listed in Table 1.

**TABLE 1 Diagnostic Tests for Determining Microbial Contamination in Metalworking Fluids**

| Procedure                                     | ASTM Designation |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Alkalinity                                    | D1067            |
| Odor <sup>A</sup>                             | N/A              |
| Bacterial or fungal viable count <sup>B</sup> | D5465            |
| Foaming tendency                              | D3519            |
| pH                                            | D1293            |
| Two-hour oxygen demand <sup>C</sup>           | D4478            |
| Visual inspection <sup>D</sup>                | N/A              |

<sup>A</sup> Musty, putrid, rotten egg and other atypical odors in the vicinity of MWF systems are symptomatic of uncontrolled microbial contamination.

<sup>B</sup> Alternatives for traditional viable counts may be used. See Guide E1326 for more information.

<sup>C</sup> A significant bioburden typically will deplete at least 50 % of the dissolved oxygen in a coolant sample within 2-h.

<sup>D</sup> Visible slime stringers on machine surfaces and sluice walls provide unequivocal evidence of uncontrolled microbial contamination.

7.2.3 At user facilities that lack adequate means for running condition monitoring tests, tankside microbicide treatment may be scheduled. Without data, this strategy creates two potential contamination control risks.

7.2.3.1 If the interval between microbicide additions is too short, a.i. concentration in the coolant may build-up to excessive levels.

7.2.3.2 If the interval is too great, bioburdens may overwhelm the treatment, resulting in one or more of the problems listed in 4.1.

7.2.3.3 Minimally, users choosing a tankside microbicide treatment strategy should know the average coolant turnover rate and the relative loss-rates due to dragout and evaporation respectively. Microbicide additions should then be scheduled to maintain a.i. concentrations between upper and lower control limits specified by the manufacturer or coolant supplier.

7.2.4 Tankside microbicide use as a crisis response measure is generally ineffective, and is mentioned here only because it's a common practice within the metalworking industry. Once bioburdens are excessive, microbicide demand is likely to consume the added product before it can reduce the microbial population to acceptable levels. Moreover, shock treating heavily contaminated systems will generally cause masses of slime to slough off of system walls and plug-off filters, spray nozzles or both. Frequently, treatment at this late stage must be accompanied by system cleanout.

## 7.3 Target Microbes:

7.3.1 Each U.S. EPA registered antimicrobial pesticide has a range of target microbes against which it is particularly effective. Table 2 lists antimicrobials (a.i.) approved for use in metalworking fluids as of 01 January 2000.

7.3.1.1 From time to time government agencies, such as the U.S. EPA, add or delete products to their list of antimicrobial pesticides. Table 2 is therefore illustrative of the range of active ingredients and activity spectra available, but is not considered as authoritative for regulatory purposes for the United States or elsewhere.

7.3.1.2 Activity spectra are nominal. At higher concentrations, many products inhibit microbes not included in their designated nominal activity spectra. However, MIC against these microbes may exceed permissible a.i. concentrations, may be cost prohibitive or both.

7.3.2 Bactericides target bacteria primarily. Within this class of antimicrobials, products differ in their efficacy against different groups of bacteria. Bactericide performance depends on the spectrum of target bacteria in addition to the other selection criteria discussed in this practice.

7.3.3 Fungicides control yeasts and molds. Within this class of antimicrobials, products differ in their efficacy against different groups of fungi.

7.3.4 Broad-spectrum microbicides are effective against both bacteria and fungi. Broad-spectrum products may contain a single active ingredient, but typically are formulations of at least two active ingredients. Historically, a greater variety of formulated broad-spectrum microbicides have been available in Europe and elsewhere than in the United States or Canada.

**TABLE 2 Antimicrobial Pesticides Approved for use in Metalworking Fluids in the U.S.**

| PC Code <sup>A,B</sup> | Active Ingredient(s)                                                          |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 69105                  | Alkyldimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride *(50 % C14, 40 % C12, 10...)           |
| 69104                  | Alkyldimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride *(60 % C14, 30 % C16, 5 %...)          |
| 69154                  | Alkyldimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chloride *(68 % C12, 32 % Cl)              |
| 98901                  | 1,2-Benzisothiazolin-3-one                                                    |
| 62201                  | 2-Benzyl-4-chlorophenol                                                       |
| 111001                 | 1-Bromo-1-(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanedicarbonitrile                             |
| 216400                 | Bronopol                                                                      |
| 69160                  | Cety pyridinium chloride                                                      |
| 20503                  | Chlorine dioxide                                                              |
| 107103                 | 5-Chloro-2-methyl-3(2H)-isothiazolin-3-one                                    |
| 17902                  | Z)-1-(3-Chloro-2-propenyl)-3,5,7-triaza-1-azoniatricyclo(3.3...)              |
| 64206                  | 4-Chloro-3-cresol                                                             |
| 17901                  | 1-(3-Chloroallyl)-3,5,7-triaza-1-azoniaadamantane chloride                    |
| 115501                 | DMDM Hydantoin                                                                |
| 35602                  | Dazomet                                                                       |
| 101801                 | 2,2-Dibromo-3-nitropropionamide                                               |
| 128101                 | 4,5-Dichloro-2-n-octyl-3(2H)-isothiazolin-3-one                               |
| 69208                  | Didecyl dimethyl ammonium carbonate and didecyl dimethyl ammonium bicarbonate |
| 114801                 | 4,4-Dimethyloxazolidine                                                       |
| 54702                  | Dimorpholinomethane                                                           |
| 100802                 | 4,4'-(2-Ethyl-2-nitrotrimethylene)dimorpholine                                |
| 43901                  | Glutaraldehyde                                                                |
| 83301                  | Hexahydro-1,3,5-tris(2-hydroxyethyl)-s-triazine                               |
| 88004                  | 1-Hydroxy-2-(1H)-pyridinethione, sodium salt                                  |
| 107001                 | 5-Hydroxymethoxymethyl-1-aza-3,7-dioxabicyclo(3.3.0) octane                   |
| 83902                  | 2-(Hydroxymethyl)-2-nitro-1,3-propanediol                                     |
| 99001                  | 2-((Hydroxymethyl)amino)ethanol                                               |
| 107002                 | 5-Hydroxymethyl-1-aza-3,7-dioxabicyclo(3.3.0)octane                           |
| 107003                 | 5-Hydroxypoly(methyleneoxy)-methyl-1-aza-3,7-dioxabicyclo(3...)               |
| 107801                 | 3-Iodo-2-propynyl butylcarbamate                                              |
| 47501                  | Isopropanol                                                                   |
| 115502                 | MDM Hydantoin                                                                 |
| 107104                 | 2-Methyl-3(2H)-isothiazolin-3-one                                             |
| 107107                 | 2-Methyl-4,5-trimethylene-4-isothiazolin-3-one                                |
| 68102                  | Methylene bis(thiocyanate)                                                    |
| 100801                 | 4-(2-Nitrobutyl)morpholine                                                    |
| 99901                  | Octhilinone (ANSI)                                                            |
| 128909                 | Oxazolidine E                                                                 |
| 64103                  | o-Phenylphenol                                                                |
| 111801                 | Poly(iminoimidocarbonyliminoimidocarbonyliminohexamethylene)                  |
| 69183                  | Poly(oxyethylene(dimethyliminio)ethylene(dimethyliminio)ethyl                 |
| 39002                  | Potassium N-methyldithiocarbamate                                             |
| 34803                  | Potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate                                             |
| 67300                  | 1,3-Propanediamine, N-(3-aminopropyl)-N-dodecyl                               |
| 122101                 | Propiconazole                                                                 |
| 51704                  | Sodium 2-mercaptobenzothiolate                                                |
| 20502                  | Sodium chlorite                                                               |
| 64104                  | Sodium o-phenylphenate                                                        |
| 64205                  | Sodium p-chloro-m-cresolate                                                   |
| 128997                 | Tebuconazole                                                                  |
| 35603                  | 2-(Thiocyanomethylthio)benzothiazole                                          |
| 101002                 | p-Tolyl diiodomethyl sulfone                                                  |
| 128889                 | 3,5,7-Triaza-1-azoniatricyclo(3.3.1.1(superscript3,7))decane                  |
| 88002                  | Zinc 2-pyridinethiol-1-oxide                                                  |

<sup>A</sup> USEPA/OPP Pesticide Products Database PC Code, searchable at web site: <http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/epa/m2.htm>.

<sup>B</sup> Source: National Pesticide Information Resource Service.

International efforts to harmonize pesticide registration requirements (see Section 10) may reduce the variety of formulated products available outside the United States.

## 8. Antimicrobial Pesticide Efficacy

8.1 The rate at which an antimicrobial pesticide brings a microbial community under control is its speed of action.

8.1.1 Users should understand the speed of action and persistence of effect of each antimicrobial pesticide selected. A quick-kill treatment achieves contamination control within four to eight hours. Antimicrobials used tankside for shock treatment should be capable of achieving quick-kill when used at prescribed concentrations.

8.1.2 The term quick-kill generally refers to the diminution of the free-floating (planktonic) portion of the contaminant population. Single shock treatment doses of microbicides may never come into contact with sessile microbes (attached to surfaces), particularly those embedded within protective biofilms. Consequently, the apparent efficacy of a shock treatment may be short-lived, unless the microbicide is also persistent (see 8.2). This dynamic is analogous to antibiotic use. Prescriptions are designed to first destroy the planktonic and exposed sessile pathogens, then maintain antibiotic concentrations sufficient to eradicate the sessile portions of the pathogen community of the course of treatment. Modifying Test Methods D3946 or E686 to include additional sampling and analysis at 4, 8, 24 and 72-h provide means for evaluating microbicide speed of kill in specific coolant formulations.

8.1.3 Although repeated treatments may be necessary, quick-kill performance is particularly beneficial in high turnover ( $\geq 10$  % per day) coolant systems in which contamination is controlled through tankside microbicide dosing.

8.2 Persistence refers to the span of time over which a microbicide remains at or above its MIC in a coolant. Test Method E686 provides a means for evaluating microbicide persistence in specific coolant formulations.

8.2.1 Persistent microbicides, with  $T_{1/2}$  measured in months, are used most advantageously in-drum or as tankside additives in systems with very slow turnover rates ( $\leq 5$  %).

8.2.2 Non-persistent microbicides, with  $T_{1/2}$  measured in hours or days, are preferred in high turnover systems and systems where microbicide is added tankside in accordance with a schedule. Their short  $T_{1/2}$  minimizes the risk of these antimicrobials interfering with biological waste treatment processes or accumulating to unacceptably high concentrations in treated coolant.

## 9. Chemical Compatibility

9.1 Coolant formulation components may enhance, interfere with or have no effect on microbicide performance. Chemical compatibility should be determined between the antimicrobial pesticide and the completed coolant formulation. When possible, the formulation should be diluted in water representative of water available at the use-site.

9.1.1 Interactions between microbicides and coolant constituents may be simple or complex. Complex interactions occur when multiple constituents and system conditions contribute to the effect. Most often reflected in shortened microbicide  $T_{1/2}$ , complex interactions are generally not well understood.

9.2 Microbicide  $T_{1/2}$  addresses the chemical persistence in the test medium, which may be coolant concentrate or working dilution. Microbicide producers can provide the analytical test

methods necessary to measure a.i. concentration. Other coolant constituents, pH and storage temperature affect microbicide  $T_{1/2}$ .

9.3 Microbicide bioactivity and bioavailability may be affected by interactions between antimicrobials and other coolant formulation constituents. They may also be affected by recirculating system contaminants. Some microbicides can change their structural configuration, as glutaraldehyde does in the presence of free radicals such as dissolved iron. Although the a.i. remains present at its original concentration, the reconfigured molecule is no longer biologically active. Some antimicrobials are inherently insoluble in metalworking fluids. If the solvent required to keep the a.i. in solution or suspension becomes depleted, the a.i. may precipitate out of the coolant and become unavailable. Adsorption onto particulates and absorption into biofilms also decreases microbicide bioavailability.

9.4 Microbicide solubility characteristics affect the available concentration of a.i. at the intended application site and  $T_{1/2}$  in the recirculating coolant. Non-polar antimicrobial molecules tend to be more oil soluble. Formulators may experience challenges in coupling water-soluble antimicrobials into low water-content concentrates. Recirculating systems carrying significant tramp and way oil contamination may lose a.i. through extraction from the coolant into the contaminant oils.

9.5 Microbicide may impact other coolant properties including emulsion stability, swarf transportability, odor, color, waste treatability and tendency to couple or split tramp and way oils.

## 10. Regulatory Considerations

10.1 Users shall understand the regulatory requirements for use of antimicrobial pesticides in each jurisdiction in which they operate.

10.2 Antimicrobials for use in metalworking fluids in the United States must be U.S. EPA registered pesticides (40 CFR 152) and must be used in accordance with the label Use Directions.

10.2.1 Product shall be registered for use in MWF, its label should contain specific directions for product use in MWF.

10.2.2 Product shall be registered for the type of application (for example, tankside addition; MWF concentrate, etc.).

10.2.3 Product shall be used only at the use levels on label.

10.2.4 Product shall be used only for the label specified type of contamination (for example, fungi, bacteria).

10.3 In the United States formulators of MWF containing antimicrobials shall not make antimicrobial claims for their products (PR Notice 2000-1), unless they first register their product with EPA.

10.4 Formulators seeking to register their product with EPA shall file a Formulators' Exemption.

10.5 Antimicrobials shall be registered in each state where they are used.

10.5.1 Registration requirements are described in 40 CFR 152.

10.5.2 Data requirements for pesticide registrations are described in 40 CFR 158.

10.6 A formulator shall not resell antimicrobials unless there is a supplemental registration or a distributor agreement with the manufacturer.

10.7 A formulator shall not repackage or relabel antimicrobials unless they have a Pesticide- Producing Establishment Number and an agreement with the manufacturer.

10.8 Antimicrobials used in formulating metalworking fluids in Canada shall be registered Pest Control Products under the Canadian Pest Control Products Act and shall be used in accordance with the label Use Directions:

10.8.1 Product must be registered for use in metalworking fluids.

10.8.2 Formulators of metalworking fluids containing antimicrobials shall not make antimicrobial claims for their products in Canada.

10.8.3 An antimicrobial component of a metalworking fluid imported into Canada must be listed on the Canadian Domestic Substances List (DSL) or must be a registered Pest Control Product.

10.9 The Biocide Product Directive (BPD), which will regulate pesticides in European Union countries, will go into effect March 2002. MWF formulators in Europe should determine if the suppliers of antimicrobials used in their formulations have committed to support these products under the Biocide Directive.

10.9.1 Presently, components of metalworking fluids (including antimicrobials) imported into European Union countries must be listed on EINECS.

10.10 Japan, Korea, China, Australia, New Zealand, and the Philippines each have chemical inventories. Components of imported products must appear on these inventories.

10.11 Formulators of metalworking fluids for use or manufacture in other countries are responsible for compliance with all applicable regulations.

10.12 Most antimicrobials meet the OSHA definition of "hazardous." Formulators in the United States shall review 29 CFR 1900.1200 and determine whether use of these products in a metalworking fluid at levels greater than or equal to 1 % mandates their inclusion on an MSDS.

10.13 Disposal instructions for antimicrobial products appear on the product label. In addition, disposal of formulations containing antimicrobials may be regulated.

10.14 Formulators of metalworking fluids may be subject to transportation regulations outlined in 49 CFR Parts 100-180.

## 11. Handling and Disposal

11.1 In the United States, it is a violation of Federal law to use a pesticide in a manner inconsistent with its labeling.

11.2 Always consult the manufacturer's Material Safety Data Sheet for proper handling procedures prior to using any pesticide.

11.3 *Storage*—Store antimicrobial pesticides in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. Open drums only in well ventilated areas. Keep containers closed when they are not in use.

#### 11.4 *Spill/Leak:*

11.4.1 Place damaged or leaking drums in over-pack drums for disposal.

11.4.2 Absorb spills in sawdust or sand and dispose of in a sanitary landfill, in accordance with the label instructions.

11.4.2.1 Improper disposal of excess pesticide or rinse-water is a violation of United States Federal law.

11.4.2.2 If you cannot dispose of antimicrobial pesticide wastes in accordance with the label instructions, contact your state pesticide or environmental control agency, or the Hazardous Waste representative of the nearest EPA Regional Office for guidance and the permitting authority shall be notified in writing prior to discharge. Do not discharge effluent containing this product to sewer systems without previously notifying the local sewage treatment plant authority. For guidance contact your state Water Board or Regional Office of the EPA. Do not contaminate water by cleaning of equipment or disposal of waste. Apply this pesticide only as specified on the label.

#### 11.5 *Disposal:*

11.5.1 Antimicrobial pesticide wastes may be acutely hazardous. Pesticides can be toxic to fish and wildlife. Do not contaminate water, food or feed during storage or disposal.

11.5.2 Personnel handling antimicrobial pesticides shall not discharge effluents containing pesticides into lakes, streams,

ponds, estuaries, oceans, or public waters unless in accordance with the requirements of a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit.

11.5.3 The water portion of a facility waste stream may be subject to regulation.

#### 11.6 *Container Disposal:*

11.6.1 *Metal Containers*—Triple rinse (or equivalent) and then offer for recycling or reconditioning, or puncture and dispose of in a sanitary landfill or by other procedures approved by state and local authorities.

11.6.2 *Plastic Containers*—Triple rinse (or equivalent) and then offer for recycling or reconditioning, or puncture and dispose of in a sanitary landfill or by incineration, or, if allowed by state and local authorities, by burning. If burned stay out of smoke.

11.6.3 Always consult federal, state and local disposal authorities for approved procedures.

## 12. Keywords

12.1 antimicrobial; antimicrobial pesticide; bactericide; biocide; biodeterioration control; fungicide; metalworking fluid; microbial contamination; microbicide; rancidity

*ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.*

*This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.*

*This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the ASTM website (www.astm.org/COPYRIGHT/).*