



Standard Practice for Identification of Seized Drugs¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E2329; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This practice describes minimum criteria for the qualitative analysis (identification) of seized drugs.

1.2 Listed are a number of analytical techniques for the identification of seized drugs. These techniques are grouped on the basis of their discriminating power. Analytical schemes based on these groupings are described.

1.3 Additional information is found in Guides E1968, E1969, and E2125 and Practices E2326 and E2327.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*²

E1968 Guide for Microcrystal Testing in the Forensic Analysis of Cocaine

E1969 Guide for Microcrystal Testing in the Forensic Analysis of Methamphetamine and Amphetamine

E2125 Guide for Microcrystal Testing in the Forensic Analysis of Phencyclidine and Its Analogues

E2326 Practice for Education and Training of Seized-Drug Analysts

E2327 Practice for Quality Assurance of Laboratories Performing Seized-Drug Analysis

2.2 *Other Document:*

Scientific Working Group for the Analysis of Seized Drugs—Recommendations for: Education and Training, Quality Assurance, Methods of Analysis

3. Significance and Use

3.1 These are minimum requirements applicable to the identification of seized drugs.

3.2 It is recognized that the correct identification of a drug or chemical depends on the use of an analytical scheme based on validated methods and the competence of the analyst. It is expected that in the absence of unforeseen error, an appropriate

analytical scheme effectively results in no uncertainty in reported identifications.

3.3 This practice requires the use of multiple uncorrelated techniques. It does not discourage the use of any particular method within an analytical scheme. Unique requirements in different jurisdictions may dictate the actual practices followed by a particular laboratory.

4. Categories of Analytical Techniques

4.1 For the purpose of this practice, techniques for the analysis of drug samples are classified into three categories (see Table 1) based on their maximum potential discriminating power. However, the classification of a technique may be lower, if the sample, analyte, or mode of operation diminishes its discriminating power.

4.1.1 Examples of diminished discriminating power may include:

4.1.1.1 An infrared spectroscopy technique applied to a mixture which produces a combined spectrum, and

4.1.1.2 A mass spectrometry technique which only produces molecular weight information.

5. Identification Criteria

5.1 This practice requires that the following minimum criteria be followed when making analytical identifications:

5.1.1 When a validated Category A technique is incorporated into an analytical scheme, then at least one other technique (from either Category A, B, or C) shall be used.

5.1.2 When a Category A technique is not used, then at least three different validated techniques shall be employed. Two of the three techniques shall be based on uncorrelated techniques from Category B.

5.1.2.1 For cannabis, macroscopic and microscopic examinations will be considered as uncorrelated techniques from Category B when observations include documented details of botanical features.

5.1.2.2 For exhibits of cannabis that lack sufficient observable macroscopic and microscopic botanical detail (for example, extracts or residues), Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) or other cannabinoids shall be identified utilizing the principles set forth in 5.1.1 and 5.1.2.

5.1.3 An identification of botanical material may be made utilizing morphological characteristics (Category B) alone provided sufficient botanical features appropriate for identification

¹ This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E30 on Forensic Sciences and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E30.01 on Criminalistics.

Current edition approved Dec. 15, 2010. Published December 2010. Originally approved in 2004. Last previous edition approved in 2009 as E2329 – 09. DOI: 10.1520/E2329-10

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

TABLE 1 Categories of Analytical Techniques

Category A	Category B	Category C
Infrared Spectroscopy	Capillary Electrophoresis	Color Tests
Mass Spectrometry	Gas Chromatography	Fluorescence Spectroscopy
Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy	Ion Mobility Spectrometry	Immunoassay
Raman Spectroscopy	Liquid Chromatography	Melting Point
X-Ray Diffractometry	Microcrystalline Tests	Ultraviolet Spectroscopy
	Pharmaceutical Identifiers	
	Thin Layer Chromatography	
	Cannabis Only:	
	Macroscopic Examination	
	Microscopic Examination	

are observed. Such examinations shall be made by analysts competent in botanical identifications. In this context botanical competence applies to those examiners recognized as professional botanists or those assessed to be competent by such. Identifications of chemical components contained in botanicals (mescaline, opiates, psilocin, etc.) should rely on principles of chemical identification set forth in 5.1.1 and 5.1.2.

5.1.4 All Category A and botanical identifications shall have data that are reviewable. Where a Category A technique is not used, the requirements for reviewable data applies to Category B techniques.

5.1.5 Examples of reviewable data are:

5.1.5.1 Printed spectra, chromatograms and photographs, and digital images or photocopies (color where appropriate) of thin layer chromatography (TLC) plates;

5.1.5.2 Contemporaneous documented peer review, as well as photographs and digital images, for microcrystalline tests;

5.1.5.3 Recording of detailed descriptions of morphological characteristics for cannabis and botanical materials (only); and

5.1.5.4 Reference to published data for pharmaceutical identifiers.

5.1.6 For the use of any method to be considered of value, test results shall be considered “positive.” While “negative” test results provide useful information for ruling out the presence of a particular drug or drug class, these results have little value toward establishing the forensic identification of a drug.

5.1.7 The laboratory shall employ quality assurance measures to ensure the results correspond to the exhibit.

5.1.8 Examples of quality assurance measures are:

5.1.8.1 The use of two separate samplings,

5.1.8.2 Sample identification procedures such as bar-coding and witness checks, and

5.1.8.3 Good laboratory practices (for example, positive and negative controls, one sample opened at a time, procedural blanks).

5.1.9 In cases where hyphenated techniques are used (for example, gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, liquid chromatography-diode array ultraviolet spectrophotometry), they will be considered as separate techniques provided that the results from each are used.

5.1.10 The chosen analytical scheme shall demonstrate the identity of the specific drug present and shall preclude a false positive identification and minimize false negatives. Where a scheme has limitations, this shall be reflected in the final interpretation.

6. Keywords

6.1 identification; qualitative analysis; seized drugs

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the ASTM website (www.astm.org/COPYRIGHT/).