



Standard Terminology for Urban Search and Rescue Robotic Operations¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E 2521; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This terminology covers terms associated with Urban Search and Rescue (US&R) Robotics. By providing a common and consistent lexicon, the purpose of this document is to facilitate communication between individuals who may be involved in the research, design, and deployment of robots for US&R operations.

1.2 In order for the standard to be harmonious with the practices in the field, definitions have been drawn from the literature or other public sources when possible. When new concepts require definitions be drafted, or existing definitions be updated, a consensus-based approach will be employed to resolve them. The development of this standard is taking place in close coordination with the corresponding efforts in E54.08 and E54.92 to ensure comprehensive and consistent coverage.

2. Terminology

2.1 Definitions:

cache, *n*—stock of tools, equipment, and supplies stored in a designated location.

DISCUSSION—Organizations may standardize the items on the cache lists and the availability of the items. See Ref (1).²

collapse hazard zone, *n*—area established by the responsible official for the purpose of controlling all access to an area that could be impacted or affected by building collapse, falling debris, or other associated types of hazards including electrical, chemical, water, and aftershocks.

DISCUSSION—The area could contain a building or multiple structures, above ground or underground. A collapse hazard zone can also be established when an occurrence of such disasters is deemed highly probable or when a building is determined to be structurally unsustainable. See Ref (1).

emergency response team (ERT), *n*—team assembled by the involved organization in response to the occurrence of a disaster.

DISCUSSION—The composition, size, and capability of the team may vary based on the nature and size of the disaster. See Ref (1).

human robot interaction/interface (HRI), *n*—(1) physical activities that users engage with robots to perform assigned tasks; (2) physical devices that facilitate the aforementioned activities; (3) logical design and description of planned and anticipated interactions between the robot and the user. See Ref (2).

mission planning, *n*—process used to generate tactical goals, routes, tasks, commanding structures, coordination, and timing.

DISCUSSION—The mission plans can be generated either in advance or in real-time, can be generated either by an operator on an operator control unit or by the onboard software systems in either centralized or distributed ways. The mission plans can be general or specific and can be for a single robot or for teams of them. See Ref (2).

mixed initiative control, *n*—type of control for robotic systems with which both the operator and the robot can take the initiative to perform the assigned missions or tasks.

remote control, *n*—continuously controlling a robot from a separate location and under direct observation.

robot, *n*—mechanical system designed to be able to control its sensing and acting for the purpose of achieving goals in the physical world.

sensor fusion, *n*—process that combines, integrates, or correlates, or a combination thereof, data generated by multiple sensory sources to create information that fits the needs, including decision-making and display for user.

DISCUSSION—The fusion techniques include detection and classification.

teleoperation, *v*—controlling a distant robot on a continuous basis and being provided with sensory or control information, or both, through means other than direct observation. See Ref (3).

world model, *n*—robot's internal representation of the world it is aware of, consisting of the parts of the environment that are of interest to the robot and the operator.

¹ This terminology is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E54 on Homeland Security Applications and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E54.08 on Operational Equipment.

Current edition approved Aug. 1, 2007. Published August 2007. Originally approved in 2007. Last previous edition approved in 2007 as E 2521 – 07.

² The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to a list of references at the end of this standard.



DISCUSSION—The world model may include entities—including the robot itself, objects in the environment, maps, and images. The individual representations may be complete or incomplete and may be associated with various levels of confidence. The world model may also

contain information on how these individual elements might be related and how they might form certain situations given certain conditions and, thus, affect robotic operations in particular ways. See Albus et al. (4).

REFERENCES

- (1) FEMA US&R-2-FG “Urban Search and Rescue Response System Field Operations Guide,” Latest Version (September 2003 or later).
- (2) *Autonomy Levels for Unmanned Systems Framework, Volume I: Terminology, Version 1.1, NIST Special Publication 1011*, Huang, H., Ed., National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, September 2004.
- (3) U.S. DOD OUSD (AT&L) FY2005 Joint Robotics Program Master Plan. <http://www.jointrobotics.com/>
- (4) Albus, J. et al., “4D/RCS Reference Model Architecture,” *NISTIR 6910*, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland, August 2003. <http://www.isd.mel.nist.gov/projects/rcs/>

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).