



Standard Guide for Fretting Fatigue Testing¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E2789; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide defines terminology and covers general requirements for conducting fretting fatigue tests and reporting the results. It describes the general types of fretting fatigue tests and provides some suggestions on developing and conducting fretting fatigue test programs.

1.2 Fretting fatigue tests are designed to determine the effects of mechanical and environmental parameters on the fretting fatigue behavior of metallic materials. This guide is not intended to establish preference of one apparatus or specimen design over others, but will establish guidelines for adherence in the design, calibration, and use of fretting fatigue apparatus and recommend the means to collect, record, and reporting of the data.

1.3 The number of cycles to form a fretting fatigue crack is dependent on both the material of the fatigue specimen and fretting pad, the geometry of contact between the two, and the method by which the loading and displacement are imposed. Similar to wear behavior of materials, it is important to consider fretting fatigue as a system response, instead of a material response. Because of this dependency on the configuration of the system, quantifiable comparisons of various material combinations should be based on tests using similar fretting fatigue configurations and material couples.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

- E3 Guide for Preparation of Metallographic Specimens
- E4 Practices for Force Verification of Testing Machines
- E466 Practice for Conducting Force Controlled Constant

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E08 on Fatigue and Fracture and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E08.05 on Cyclic Deformation and Fatigue Crack Formation.

Current edition approved Nov. 1, 2010. Published January 2011. DOI: 10.1520/E2789.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

Amplitude Axial Fatigue Tests of Metallic Materials

E467 Practice for Verification of Constant Amplitude Dynamic Forces in an Axial Fatigue Testing System

E468 Practice for Presentation of Constant Amplitude Fatigue Test Results for Metallic Materials

E1012 Practice for Verification of Test Frame and Specimen Alignment Under Tensile and Compressive Axial Force Application

E1823 Terminology Relating to Fatigue and Fracture Testing

E1942 Guide for Evaluating Data Acquisition Systems Used in Cyclic Fatigue and Fracture Mechanics Testing

G15 Terminology Relating to Corrosion and Corrosion Testing³

G40 Terminology Relating to Wear and Erosion

G190 Guide for Developing and Selecting Wear Tests

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions and symbols used in this guide are in accordance with Terminology E1823. Relevant definitions from Terminology G15 or G40 are provided in 3.2. Additional definitions specific to this guide are provided in 3.3.

3.2 *Definitions:* Terms from Terminologies G15 and G40.

3.2.1 *coefficient of friction (COF)*—The dimensionless ratio of the tangential force, Q , between two bodies to the normal force, P , pressing these bodies together when the two bodies are slipping with respect to each other, $\mu=Q/P$.

3.2.1.1 *Discussion*—Under partial slip conditions, the ratio of the tangential force to the normal force is less than the COF. In addition, when COF is defined as the ratio of Q to P , the measured COF is an average along the interface. In reality, the COF can vary along the interface. Hence, a local definition is often used, given by $\mu(x,y)=q(x,y)/p(x,y)$ where $q(x,y)$ is the shear traction distribution along the interface and $p(x,y)$ is the normal pressure distribution. The COF is often greater in the slip regions of a partial slip interface compared to the stick regions due to the disruptions in the surface caused by fretting.

G40

3.2.2 *fretting*—Small amplitude oscillatory motion, usually tangential, between two solid surfaces in contact.

3.2.2.1 *Discussion*—The term fretting refers only to the nature of the motion without reference to the wear, corrosion,

³ Withdrawn. The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on www.astm.org.

fatigue, or other damage that may occur. It is discouraged to use the term fretting to denote fretting corrosion or other forms of fretting wear due to the ambiguity that may arise. As the amplitude of fretting increases, the condition eventually becomes reciprocating sliding and the interaction should no longer be referred to as fretting.

3.2.3 fretting corrosion—The deterioration at the interface between contacting surfaces as the result of corrosion and slight oscillatory slip between the two surfaces. **G15**

3.2.4 fretting wear—Wear that occurs as the result of fretting action. **G40**

3.3 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.3.1 displacement amplitude—The peak-to-peak relative displacement divided by two or total cycle displacement divided by four.

3.3.1.1 Discussion—The displacement amplitude is typically based on a remote reference location. Note that the definition of displacement amplitude in the context of fretting wear and tribosystems testing sometimes refers to the full peak-to-peak relative displacement, rather than the definition given here, which is consistent with the use of the term amplitude in Terminology **E1823**. Hence, whenever the term displacement amplitude is used, it should be clearly defined or a reference made to this guide.

3.3.2 fretting damage—The pits, scarring, disruptions and material transfer on the surface due to fretting.

3.3.2.1 Discussion—Cracks may be associated with the fretting damage, though in many cases they may not be present or be sufficiently small, such that the fatigue life is not significantly degraded. Hence, the disturbed appearance and level of roughness of the fretting damage cannot be reliably used to determine whether the fatigue life is reduced. In some cases the directionality of roughness, also called the surface texture, can be determined via profilometry methods. This texture may be correlated to the directionality of fretting and in some cases the characteristics of the texture can provide a useful screening metric for fretting damage.

3.3.3 fretting fatigue—The process of crack formation at a fretting damage site, progressive crack growth, possibly culminating in complete fracture, occurring in a material subjected to concomitantly fretting and fluctuating stresses and strains.

3.3.3.1 Discussion—Fretting fatigue is generally characterized by a sharp decrease in the fatigue life at the same stress level of a standard specimen, attributed to the shortened time to form a crack and the acceleration of the crack growth under the coupling of the fretting and bulk cyclic stresses and strains.

3.3.4 fretting fatigue knockdown factor—The reduction in fatigue strength due to the presence of fretting, defined as the difference in the fatigue limit and fretting fatigue limit divided by the fatigue limit.

3.3.4.1 Discussion—This knockdown factor may also be based on the fretting fatigue strength defined either as the stress level (maximum stress or stress amplitude for a given mean stress or stress ratio) for failure at a certain number of cycles or the stress level at which a percentage of the population would survive a certain number of cycles.

3.3.5 fretting fatigue limit—The limiting value of the median fatigue strength when fretting is present as the fatigue life becomes very large.

3.3.5.1 Discussion—The fretting fatigue limit strongly depends on the fretting conditions.

3.3.6 fretting fatigue reduction factor—The reduction in fatigue strength due to the presence of fretting, defined as the ratio of the fretting fatigue limit and fatigue limit.

3.3.6.1 Discussion—This reduction factor may also be based on the fretting fatigue strength defined either as the stress level (maximum stress or stress amplitude for a given mean stress or stress ratio) for failure at a certain number of cycles or the stress level at which a percentage of the population would survive a certain number of cycles.

3.3.7 fretting fatigue damage threshold—The combination of fretting fatigue loading conditions and number of fretting cycles that can be sustained before degradation of fatigue life is observed.

3.3.7.1 Discussion—The fretting fatigue loading conditions may include combinations of the normal force, the displacement amplitude, the tangential force amplitude, and the bulk fatigue loading. The concept of a fretting fatigue damage threshold is related to the development of an initial crack characterized with a maximum and range in stress intensity that exceeds the threshold value for crack growth. Generally, after the fretting fatigue damage threshold has been reached, removing the source of fretting, while maintaining the fatigue loading, in configurations where they can be separated, has minimal effect on the remaining life.

3.3.8 gross slip—The condition for which all points in contact experience relative slip over a complete cycle, as illustrated in **Fig. 1**.

3.3.9 normal force—Force normal to the contact interface.

3.3.9.1 Discussion—Due to the accumulation of debris within the contact or wear in the slip regions, this force may not remain constant but change during the test.

3.3.10 normal pressure—Resultant of the normal force divided by the contact area.

3.3.10.1 Discussion—To be considered an average only. The true distribution of pressure within the contact area depends on the exact profile and roughness of the contacting surfaces. Analytical or computational methods may be used to determine this pressure; for example, see Ref. **(1)**⁴. Wear will cause the profiles of the contacting bodies to change during the test. If wear occurs, the size of the non-conforming contacts (for example, flat on cylindrical, cylindrical on cylindrical, sphere on flat, and so on) will typically increase.

3.3.11 partial slip—The condition for which only a portion of the interface of the contacting bodies experience relative slip over a complete cycle, as illustrated in **Fig. 1**.

3.3.12 plain fatigue—Often used to describe fatigue without presence of fretting.

3.3.13 reciprocating sliding—The condition when the contact area at the two extremes of the cycle do not overlap, as illustrated in **Fig. 1**.

⁴ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to a list of references at the end of this standard.




FIG. 1 Illustration of the Meanings of Slip and Reciprocating Sliding

3.3.13.1 *Discussion*—Under fretting conditions, at least a portion of the contact areas always overlap at the extremes of the cycle.

3.3.14 *relative slip*—The amount of tangential displacement between a point on the interface of one body and a point on the surface of the second body.

3.3.14.1 *Discussion*—The point on one of the bodies serves as a reference, which is often defined as the location when the two bodies first come into contact under application of the normal pressure at the interface. The relative slip may be defined as a local or remote reference. Fundamentally, a local measure is desired, however, experimentally a remote displacement is measured and in many times controlled.

3.3.15 *slip*—Local movement of surfaces in contact.

3.3.16 *tangential force*—Force acting parallel to the contact interface.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 Fretting fatigue tests are used to determine the effects of several fretting parameters on the fatigue lives of metallic materials. Some of these parameters include differing materials, relative displacement amplitudes, normal force at the fretting contact, alternating tangential force, the contact geometry, surface integrity parameters such as finish, and the environment. Comparative tests are used to determine the effectiveness of palliatives on the fatigue life of specimens with well-controlled boundary conditions so that the mechanics of the fretting fatigue test can be modeled. Generally, it is useful to compare the fretting fatigue response to plain fatigue to obtain knockdown or reduction factors from fretting fatigue. The results may be used as a guide in selecting material combinations, design stress levels, lubricants, and coatings to alleviate or eliminate fretting fatigue concerns in new or existing designs. However, due to the synergisms of fatigue, wear, and corrosion on the fretting fatigue parameters, extreme care should be exercised in the judgment to determine if the test conditions meet the design or system conditions.

4.2 For data to be comparable, reproducible, and correlated amongst laboratories and relevant to mimic fretting in an

application, all parameters critical to the fretting fatigue life of the material in question will need to be replicated. Because alterations in environment, metallurgical properties, fretting loading (controlled forces and displacements), compliance of the test system, etc. can affect the response, no general guidelines exist to quantitatively ascertain what the effect will be on the specimen fretting fatigue life if a single parameter is varied. To assure test results can be correlated and reproduced, all material variables, testing information, physical procedures, and analytical procedures should be reported in a manner that is consistent with good current test practices.

4.3 Because of the wear phenomenon involved in fretting, idealized contact conditions from which the fretting contact area and pressure may be calculated exist only at the onset of the test. Although it is still possible to calculate an average fretting pressure using the initial contact area, the pressure within the contact area may vary considerably.

4.4 Results of the fretting fatigue tests may be suitable for application to design when the test conditions adequately mimic the design service conditions.

5. Background

5.1 Interfacial Conditions:

When designing a test program to mimic the design service conditions, one must first identify whether the interface conditions are partial slip or gross slip. This will help determine which type of fretting fatigue test may be more relevant. In Fig. 2, a running condition fretting map is shown (2). Two primary variables in fretting are the normal force and displacement amplitude. The latter is linearly related to the tangential force amplitude under partial slip conditions. On this map, three fretting regimes can be identified: the partial slip regime (PSR), the mixed fretting regime (MFR), and the gross slip regime (GSR). In the partial slip regime, part of the interface between the two bodies always remains in contact, hence the interface experiences partial slip each cycle from the beginning of the test. In the gross slip regime, the interface experiences gross slip each cycle. In the mixed fretting regime, the interface experiences gross slip in the early cycles and transitions to




FIG. 2 Fretting Maps

partial slip in the later cycles as the coefficient of friction increases due to fretting damage. The boundaries between these regimes are controlled by the other fretting parameters including surface finishes, environment, compliance of the test system, and so on.

5.2 Degradation due to fretting fatigue is most prevalent for fretting conditions located in the shaded region denoted as “cracking” on the material response fretting map shown in Fig. 2. When the displacement amplitude is large and well within the gross slip regime, fretting wear becomes the dominant mechanism. There is an overlap region where there is a competition between fretting fatigue and fretting wear. The boundary of the shaded region represents the fretting fatigue damage threshold. If the material response is in the fretting wear regime, a fretting wear test may be more relevant. See Guide G190 on developing and selecting wear tests.

5.3 It may be helpful to use fretting only tests (that is, fretting without addition of bulk fatigue loading) to help identify the damage regimes on the fretting maps. This approach is especially useful in situations where specimen material is limited, a large number of interfacial conditions are varied for design screening purposes, or the interfacial condition in actual components is sought.

6. Preparing a Test Program

6.1 *Contact Configuration*—Selection of the contact configuration and test apparatus depends to a large extent on the objective of the test program. Fretting contacts can generally be characterized by one of three configurations shown in Fig. 3. A point contact is generated using a spherical profile as the fretting pad. A crossed-cylinder arrangement also is classified as a point contact. Line contact is made using a cylindrical profile as the fretting pad. The advantage of these first two non-conforming contacts is the existence of closed-form Hertzian contact solutions that can be used to determine the tractions at the interface and hence the cyclic stresses in the bodies (3). However, as wear increases beyond the first few cycles the Hertzian contact boundary conditions may no longer exist. The third contact configuration is the conforming area contact. With area contacts, the profile of the two bodies in the region of contact is generally flat. Since the fretting response may be sensitive to the geometry near the edge of contact, the transition radii at the edge of the pad, for example, as shown in Fig. 4, shall be measured and reported. If the loading is two-dimensional, the tractions at the interface and hence the cyclic stresses in the bodies can be determined knowing the




FIG. 3 Fretting Contact Configurations




FIG. 4 Two Possible Fretting Pad Geometries with Area Contacts Showing Dimensions of Area Contact (c_0) and Bending Radii (R_c)

geometry of the pad (4). At the microscopic scale, the surfaces are not perfectly smooth, and hence the real tractions depend on the roughness (3).

6.1.1 It is recommended that in the case of line and area contacts, the edges of the two bodies perpendicular to the direction of fretting be aligned, as illustrated in Fig. 3, to minimize the concentration of pressure at this edge, unless the purpose of the test is to investigate this edge effect.

6.2 Loading Configurations:

6.2.1 Fretting fatigue tests are generally one of three types of loading configurations, shown in Fig. 5. Each loading configuration targets specific regimes as noted on the fretting map shown in Fig. 2. In this description, the fatigue specimen is designed to undergo axial loading similar to Practice E466. The merits of bending loading are discussed later. A description of the unique features of each configuration follows.

6.2.2 *Bridge-type fretting fatigue test*—This test typically involves clamping two bridgeshaped fretting pads to the gage section of a fatigue specimen as shown in Fig. 5(a) (5, 6). The clamp and fretting pads are not attached to the frame and hence are free of any additional external loading. The displacement

amplitude is generated when the fatigue specimen is cycled. The displacement amplitude depends on the differential between the cyclic strain in the fatigue specimen between the fretting pad feet and the cyclic strain induced in the fretting pads from the frictional force at the contacting interfaces. Therefore, the displacement amplitude depends on the elastic properties of the fatigue specimen and pads as well as the coefficient of friction at the contacting interface. For a given set of materials and coefficient of friction, the displacement amplitude can be adjusted by changing the span S between the contacting locations (i.e., the feet of the fretting pads). Increasing the span increases the displacement amplitude. Hence, in this test configuration, the displacement amplitude is not directly controlled but is quasi-controlled through these other parameters.

6.2.2.1 One configuration of this type of test has been standardized by the Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers (JSME) (6). Presently, there are no ASTM standard test methods or standard practices for specific fretting fatigue test configurations.




FIG. 5 Fretting Fatigue Test Configurations

6.2.2.2 The normal force is measured using an instrumented proving ring (5), small force transducer, or instrumented bolt. The fretting clamping apparatus should have low mass to reduce inertia loading if running the experiments at higher frequencies. The fretting test should be operated at test frequencies below this frequency-affected regime. The upper limit on test frequency may be determined by modal analysis or it may be determined by increasing the frequency until the tangential force – displacement hysteresis response significantly changes. Since displacement is proportional to axial force in the bridge-type fretting fatigue test configuration, assuming the fatigue specimen behavior is linear elastic, the hysteresis response can be seen by plotting tangential force (or fretting pad strain) vs. axial force (or axial strain) in the fatigue specimen.

6.2.2.3 The tangential force transmitted to the pads is typically inferred from the displacement in the pad, which is usually measured with a strain gage. The tangential force is calibrated to the strain measured on the pad using a split specimen arrangement so that all of the force in the fatigue specimen is transmitted through the pad.

6.2.3 *Single clamp fretting fatigue test*—In this test illustrated in Fig. 5(b), in contrast to the bridge-type fretting fatigue test, there is a single fretting contact on each side of the fatigue specimen, though in some cases, a roller or other non-fretting material (for example, mica or TFE-fluorocarbon) is placed on one side to prevent or minimize fretting to one side of the fatigue specimen. The fretting loading device is attached to the test system frame in some manner. Hence, the displacement amplitude depends on the compliance of both the fretting chassis and fatigue specimen. There are two general configurations that allow for the generation of tangential forces that are in phase with the force applied to the fatigue specimen. One involves arms that are attached to the axial loading train at some point. The other configuration involves using a specially designed fretting chassis that is attached to the test frame to press fretting pads symmetrically about a fatigue specimen (7-9). The chassis is designed to be stiff axially yet compliant transversely so that little of the normal pressure loading is transmitted through the rigid frame of the fretting chassis. At least 98% of the pressure should be transmitted to the fatigue specimen instead of the fretting chassis, verified by finite

element analysis (7). In the latter configuration, the displacement amplitude is primarily controlled by the compliance of the fatigue specimen, which can be adjusted by changing the length and cross section of the fatigue specimen.

6.2.3.1 The normal force is applied by springs, bolts, weights hanging from cantilever beam (10), pneumatic, or hydraulic actuators. The method of normal force application should be actively controlled or have sufficient compliance such that the normal force remains approximately constant despite surface evolution due to wear or material transfer. To determine the tangential force, the axial force is measured in the loading train on both sides of the fretting site with the difference corresponding to the tangential force transmitted at the interface (8). The axial force is measured using force transducers or is determined from strain gages attached to the fatigue specimen sufficiently far from the fretting site at a location where the stress state is uniform in the specimen. The tangential force transmitted through the fretting apparatus can also be determined by either strain gages or force transducers strategically located on the fretting chassis (9).

6.2.3.2 Typically, the displacement amplitude depends on fretting specimen compliance. The displacement amplitude should be measured since it is not directly controlled.

6.2.3.3 A modification of this test arrangement includes active control of the fretting pad displacement (9, 11). This modification increases the magnitude of the displacement amplitudes that can be tested as shown on the fretting map in Fig. 2.

6.2.4 *Grip-type fretting fatigue test*—In this type of test, fretting fatigue occurs in the grip section as illustrated in Fig. 5(c). The fretting pads are typically flat with blending radii at the edges (12), though other contact configurations could be used. This fretting fatigue test is limited to partial slip conditions, since gross slip would result in slip out of the grips. The normal clamping force is typically measured by instrumented bolts or force transducers. The tangential force at the interface is simply the axial force applied in the test system. Fretting fatigue conditions may be generated at both ends of the fatigue specimen if desired, though the cross-section of one grip section may be larger so fretting is just promoted at the other grip section (12). A comparative study of the grip-type and single clamp fretting fatigue test configurations is provided in Ref. (13).

6.2.5 *Uniaxial vs. bending loading configuration*—Generally, uniaxial loading is preferred because the interface conditions can be better controlled and modeled (7). Under certain circumstances, a bending loading may be desirable. A bending loading has particular utility when evaluating surface treatments that induce compressive residual stresses when fatigue cracks could form at internal sites where the residual stresses are tensile. Bending loading may also be appropriate when attempting to better mimic applications that have a large bending component in the loading. A bending loading is acceptable as long as the boundary conditions and geometries between the boundaries are reported.

6.2.6 In a well-designed fretting fatigue test, the following should be controllable or monitored throughout the duration of the test: the mean and alternating forces on the fatigue

specimen, the normal force applied to the fretting pads, the relative displacement of the two bodies, the alternating tangential force, and frequency of cycling.

6.2.6.1 The test equipment should have a means of monitoring the fatigue loading and the forces at the contact interface. Monitoring of the normal force at the contact interface can be accomplished through either a force transducer in-line with the normal force or using calibrated strain gages on the loading device. If the normal force is applied by means of a constant displacement method, such as a proving ring or bolt, care should be taken to continuously monitor the normal force due to the possibility of it changing as wear debris becomes entrapped within or is released from the contact area. If the normal force is not adjusted during the test, the evolution of this normal force should be reported. Monitoring of the axial forces in the fatigue specimen should be accomplished by means of a transducer in series with the fatigue specimen, calibrated and verified in accordance with Practice E4.

NOTE 1—If the test system is such that the forces seen by the fatigue specimen are influenced by the system dynamics (that is, massive grips and high frequency), a dynamic force verification of the axial force should be performed per Practice E467.

6.2.7 *Local vs. reference displacement*—Measured values of displacement do not represent the actual relative slip displacement at the interface because of compliance of the bodies between the displacement measurement location and contact interface. Hence, measured values of displacement amplitude are in reality reference values that depend on test method, geometries, contact configurations, etc. Wear scars and hysteresis loops are the best indicators of the slip condition and hence should be reported. The local response is typically determined through modeling (for example, finite element model).

6.2.7.1 Hence, it is critical to clearly report dimensions of the test configuration between locations where force and displacement measurements are made (that is, the boundary conditions). Then it is possible to simulate the experimental configuration and determine the local relative slip at the interface. The morphology of the scar aids in determining the coefficient of friction to use in the analysis. In reality, the interface conditions evolve during the test, hence the local coefficient of friction often varies along the interface (see definition of coefficient of friction in 3.2.1).

6.3 *Preparations/cleaning of surfaces*—Since the surface condition can affect the fretting response, every means should be made to establish a specimen preparation technique and maintain it. If the intent is to mimic a surface condition in an application, it is recommended that the actual process of manufacturing and preparing the surface particularly at the location of fretting should be used. A suggested specimen machining procedure is described in Practice E466, though the final surface preparations at the location of fretting may be modified as noted previously. Surfaces should be cleaned to remove residual machining fluids and other contaminants, unless the intent is to purposely evaluate these effects.

6.4 *Storage of specimens*—Specimens prone to corrosion in room atmospheric conditions should be protected in an inert medium or dry air environment. If necessary, any storage

medium residues should be easily removed prior to testing using solvents without any adverse effects upon the life of the specimen. A visual inspection for discoloration attributable to corrosion should be made prior to testing.

6.5 Alignment:

6.5.1 *Mounting the specimen*—Specimen grips should be designed to assure good and consistent alignment from specimen to specimen. Grip design should preclude any possibility of misalignment due to either twist or displacement in the axes of symmetry.

6.5.2 *Alignment verification*—For the fatigue specimen under axial loading, the bending stresses should be minimized, following Practice E1012.

6.5.3 *Alignment of contacting bodies*—One of the challenges of fretting fatigue testing is the alignment of the contacting bodies. A design that enables some elements of self-alignment or a means of adjustment during test set-up is desirable. It is recommended that pressure-sensitive films be used to verify the initial alignment between the two bodies. In addition, an evaluation of the fretting scar geometries will indicate whether sufficient alignment was maintained during the test.

6.6 *Data acquisition*—The tangential force and the displacement of the bodies, measured at a reference point, should be periodically recorded in bursts so that the complete tangential force – displacement hysteresis response can be accurately reproduced. It is recommended that the sampling frequency be set at least 100 times higher than the test frequency to adequately determine the shape of the hysteresis loop. The intervals of acquiring bursts of data should be sufficient to capture the evolution of peak-valley values as a function of cycles. In addition, other control and measured values, such as the normal force, should be recorded. The data acquisition system should be in accordance with Practice E1942.

7. Report

7.1 Fretting fatigue is a system response. Hence, when reporting fretting fatigue test specimens, procedures, and results, it is necessary to give a complete description of the fretting fatigue system. Report the following information:

7.1.1 The fatigue test specimens, procedures, and results should be reported in accordance with Practice E468. In addition, additional procedures and results specific to fretting fatigue as itemized below should be reported.

7.1.2 Preparation of both the fatigue specimens and fretting pads should be reported. The surface texture (for example, direction of polishing marks) should be reported along with the final steps used in the preparation process (see Guide E3). A roughness profile of both the fatigue specimen at the fretting site and the fretting pad prior to testing should be measured in representative specimens. In addition, report how the surfaces were cleaned prior to testing and describe any surface treatments, coatings, or lubricants, including procedures for application.

7.1.3 A complete description of the fretting fatigue apparatus including a schematic with dimensions should be reported. Locations of all force and displacement measurements should be noted. For fretting tests that involve fretting pads, the

dimensions of the fretting pad shall be reported, including the transition radii at the edge of the pad.

7.1.4 The fretting fatigue test configuration usually dictates which parameters are controlled and which ones are monitored or dependent on one of the controlled variables. If the fretting fatigue test is not typical of one of the three test configurations, then further details on the controlled and monitored variables should be provided. The controlled parameters should be reported along with typical stabilized values of the monitored or dependent parameters.

7.1.5 If the material of the fatigue specimen or fretting pad is not isotropic, report the orientation of the material with respect to fretting direction in accordance with Terminology E1823.

7.1.6 Describe the method and procedure used to calibrate the normal force and alignment of the contacting bodies.

7.1.7 *Wear scar morphology and debris*—Images or profiles of fretting scars should be reported since they often indicate the regime of fretting (that is, partial or gross slip). This is extremely valuable in correlating the wear behavior between test and application. The morphology of the scar, the presence or absence of oxidized or other surface layers, wear debris size, shape, and composition can be compared and hence should be reported. Any observations of significant material transfer from one body to another at the fretting site should be reported.

7.1.8 In addition to reporting the ambient temperature, it is recommended that the temperature near the fretting site be reported. A rise in temperature may be due to frictional heat generation near the fretting site as well as heat generated by the test system. When testing materials with low thermal diffusivities or highly temperature-sensitive properties, the temperature rise may be significantly influenced by the test frequency. Measuring the temperature at the interface is usually not possible. Hence, the temperature should be measured at a location near the fretting contact, using a thermocouple or other method. If the temperature reaches a stabilized value, that value can be reported. The location and method of the temperature measurement should be reported, particularly since the measured temperature is sensitive to the distance between the temperature measurement and the fretting interface.

NOTE 2—This guide is primarily concerned with fretting fatigue tests conducted at room temperature and does not completely address the additional temperature control and thermal displacement compensation that may be necessary for conducting fretting fatigue tests at elevated temperatures.

7.1.9 As suggested in Practice E468 for plain fatigue, it is important to report humidity. The level of humidity is known to influence the fretting, fatigue, and fretting fatigue response. The measurement should be made near the site of fretting, where the humidity level may be reduced due to the temperature rise.

7.1.10 Failure may be defined as complete separation or as the detection of a crack by some specified method. One potential criterion unique to fretting fatigue testing when the displacement amplitude is controlled is the percent drop in the tangential force amplitude from the stabilized level, resulting from the compliance change at the interface when a crack or

multiple cracks are present. The failure criterion including parameters such as the percentage drop in the tangential force amplitude should be reported. In addition, the location of the final fatigue crack and its relationship to the fretting scar should be reported. Cracks located outside of the fretting scar region should be highlighted, because this may indicate that the failure is not due to fretting fatigue.

7.1.11 Suggested plots for individual tests under displacement amplitude control conditions:

7.1.11.1 Hysteresis loops of the tangential force vs. reference displacement at different cycle counts during the test.

7.1.11.2 *Tangential force amplitude vs. cycles*—The evolution of the fretting response can be observed with this plot.

7.1.12 Suggested plots for a set of fretting fatigue test data:

7.1.12.1 Stress amplitude (or maximum stress) vs. cycles, showing both plain and fretting fatigue data if available. If constant amplitude tests were performed, report the stress ratio or mean stress, whichever was maintained constant.

8. Keywords

8.1 fretting; fatigue; slip; sliding

REFERENCES

- (1) McVeigh, P. A., Harish, G., Farris, T. N., and Szolwinski, M. P. (1999). "Modeling interfacial conditions in nominally flat contacts for application to fretting fatigue of turbine engine components," *International Journal of Fatigue*, Vol. 21, pp. S157-S165.
- (2) Fouvry, S., Kapsa, P., and Vincent, L. (2003). "A Global Methodology to Quantify Fretting Damages." In: *Fretting Fatigue: Advances in Basic Understanding and Applications*, ASTM STP 1425, Y. Mutoh, S. E. Kinyon, and D. W. Hoepfner, eds., ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, pp. 17-32.
- (3) Hills, D. A. and Nowell, D. (1994). *Mechanics of Fretting Fatigue*, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- (4) Ciavarella, M., Demelio, G., and Hills, D. A. (1999). "The Use of Almost Complete Contacts for Fretting Fatigue Tests." In: *Fatigue and Fracture Mechanics: Twenty-Ninth Volume*, ASTM STP 1332, T. L. Panontin and S. D. Sheppard, eds., American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA, pp. 696-709.
- (5) Rayaprolu, D. B. and Cook, R. (1992). "A Critical Review of Fretting Fatigue Investigations at the Royal Aerospace Establishment," In: *Standardization of Fretting Fatigue Test Methods and Equipment*, ASTM STP 1159, M. Helmi Attia and R. B. Waterhouse, Eds., American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, pp. 129-152.
- (6) JSME *Standard Method of Fretting Fatigue Testing*, JSME S 015-2002, May 2002, The Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers.
- (7) Hills, D. A. and Nowell, D. (1992). "The Development of a Fretting Fatigue Experiment with Well-Defined Characteristics," In: *Standardization of Fretting Fatigue Test Methods and Equipment*, ASTM STP 1159, M. Helmi Attia and R. B. Waterhouse, Eds., American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, pp. 69-84.
- (8) Murthy, H., Rajeev, P. T., Okane, M., and Farris, T. N. (2003). "Development of Test Methods for High Temperature Fretting of Turbine Materials Subjected to Engine-Type Loading," In: *Fretting Fatigue: Advances in Basic Understanding and Applications*, ASTM STP 1425, pp. 273-288.
- (9) Wittkowsky, B. U., Birch, P. R., Dominguez, J., and Suresh, S. (1999). "An apparatus for quantitative fretting fatigue testing," *Fatigue and Fracture of Engineering Materials and Structures*, Vol. 22, pp. 307-320.
- (10) Attia, M. H. (1992). "Fretting Fatigue Testing: Current Practice and Future Prospects for Standardization," In: *Standardization of Fretting Fatigue Test Methods and Equipment*, ASTM STP 1159, M. Helmi Attia and R. B. Waterhouse, Eds., American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, pp. 263-275.
- (11) Favrow, L. H., Werner, D., Pearson, D. D., Brown, K. W., Lutian, M. J., Annigeri, B. S., and Anton, D. L. (2000). "Fretting Fatigue Testing Methodology Incorporating Independent Slip and Fatigue Stress Control." In: *Fretting Fatigue: Current Technology and Practices*, ASTM STP 1367, D. W. Hoepfner, V. Chandrasekaran, and C. B. Elliott, eds., American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA, pp. 391-403.
- (12) Hutson, A. L., Ashbaugh, N. E., and Nicholas, T. (2003). "An investigation of fretting fatigue crack nucleation life of Ti-6Al-4V under flat-on-flat contact." In: *Fretting Fatigue: Advances in Basic Understanding and Applications*, ASTM STP 1425, pp. 307-322.
- (13) Golden, P. J., Hutson, A. L., Bartha, B. B., and Nicholas, T. (2008). "Fatigue loading and life prediction in three fretting fatigue fixtures," *Experimental Mechanics*, Vol. 48, pp. 253- 263.

GENERAL REFERENCES

- (1) Attia, M. H. and Waterhouse, R. B. (1992). *Standardization of Fretting Fatigue Test Methods and Equipment*, ASTM STP 1159.
- (2) Hoepfner, D. W., Chandrasekaran, V., and Elliott, C. B. (2000). *Fretting Fatigue: Current Technology and Practices*, ASTM STP 1367.
- (3) Mutoh, Y., Kinyon, S. E., and Hoepfner, D. W. (2003). *Fretting Fatigue: Advances in Basic Understanding and Applications*, ASTM STP 1425.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the ASTM website (www.astm.org/COPYRIGHT/).

Copyright ASTM International
Provided by IHS under license with ASTM
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS