



Standard Guide for Relative Intensity Correction of Raman Spectrometers¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E2911; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide is designed to enable the user to correct a Raman spectrometer for its relative spectral-intensity response function using NIST Standard Reference Materials² in the 224X series (currently SRMs 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246), or a calibrated irradiance source. This relative intensity correction procedure will enable the intercomparison of Raman spectra acquired from differing instruments, excitation wavelengths, and laboratories.

1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

1.3 Because of the significant dangers associated with the use of lasers, ANSI Z136.1 or suitable regional standards should be followed in conjunction with this practice.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*³

E131 Terminology Relating to Molecular Spectroscopy

E1840 Guide for Raman Shift Standards for Spectrometer Calibration

E2529 Guide for Testing the Resolution of a Raman Spectrometer

2.2 *ANSI Standard:*⁴

Z136.1 Safe Use of Lasers

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—Terminology used in this practice conforms to the definitions in Terminology E131.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 Generally, Raman spectra measured using grating-based dispersive or Fourier transform Raman spectrometers have not been corrected for the instrumental response (spectral responsivity of the detection system). Raman spectra obtained with different instruments may show significant variations in the measured relative peak intensities of a sample compound. This is mainly as a result of differences in their wavelength-dependent optical transmission and detector efficiencies. These variations can be particularly large when widely different laser excitation wavelengths are used, but can occur when the same laser excitation is used and spectra of the same compound are compared between instruments. This is illustrated in Fig. 1, which shows the uncorrected luminescence spectrum of SRM 2241, acquired upon four different commercially available Raman spectrometers operating with 785 nm laser excitation. Instrumental response variations can also occur on the same instrument after a component change or service work has been performed. Each spectrometer, due to its unique combination of filters, grating, collection optics and detector response, has a very unique spectral response. The spectrometer dependent spectral response will of course also affect the shape of Raman spectra acquired upon these systems. The shape of this response is not to be construed as either “good or bad” but is the result of design considerations by the spectrometer manufacturer. For instance, as shown in Fig. 1, spectral coverage can vary considerably between spectrometer systems. This is typically a deliberate tradeoff in spectrometer design, where spectral coverage is sacrificed for enhanced spectral resolution.

4.2 Variations in spectral peak intensities can be mostly corrected through calibration of the Raman intensity (y) axis.

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E13 on Molecular Spectroscopy and Separation Science and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E13.08 on Raman Spectroscopy.

Current edition approved July 1, 2013. Published July 2013. DOI: 10.1520/E2911-13

² Trademark of and available from NIST Office of Reference Materials, 100 Bureau Drive, Stop 2300, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-2300. <http://www.nist.gov/srm>.

³ For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

⁴ Available from American National Standards Institute (ANSI), 25 W. 43rd St., 4th Floor, New York, NY 10036, <http://www.ansi.org>.




FIG. 1 SRM 2241 Measured on Four Commercial Raman Spectrometers Utilizing 785 nm Excitation

The conventional method of calibration of the spectral response of a Raman spectrometer is through the use of a National Metrology Institute (NMI), for example, NIST, traceable calibrated irradiance source. Such lamps have a defined spectral output of intensity versus wavelength and procedures for their use have been published (1)⁵. However, intensity calibration using a white-light source can present experimental difficulties, especially for routine analytical work. Calibrated tungsten halogen lamps have a limited lifetime and require periodic recalibration. These lamps are often mounted in an integrating sphere to eliminate polarization effects and provide uniform source irradiance. In practice, these sources can be difficult to align with the variety of sampling arrangements that are now typical with Raman spectrometers, especially microscope based systems and process Raman analyzers where electrical safety concerns persist in hazardous areas. The advantage of a standard lamp is that it can be used for multiple excitation wavelengths.

4.3 The spectra of materials that luminesce with irradiation can be corrected for relative luminescence intensity as a function of emission wavelength using a calibrated Raman spectrometer. An irradiance source, traceable to the SI, can be used to calibrate the spectrometer. Several groups have proposed these transfer standards to calibrate both Raman and fluorescence spectrometers (1-6). The use of a luminescent glass material has the advantage that the Raman excitation laser is used to excite the luminescence emission and this emission is measured in the same position as the sample. These glasses can be used in a variety of sampling configurations and

they require no additional instrumentation. The glasses are photostable and unlike primary calibration sources, may not require periodic recalibration. NIST provides a series of fluorescent glasses that may be used to calibrate the intensity axis of Raman spectrometers. A mathematical equation, which is a description of the corrected emission, is provided with each glass. The operator uses this mathematical relation with a measurement of the glass on their spectrometer to produce a system correction curve.

4.4 This guide describes the steps required to produce a relative intensity correction curve for a Raman spectrometer using a calibrated standard source or a NIST SRM and a means to validate the correction.

5. Reagents

5.1 Standard Reference Materials, SRM 2241, SRM 2242, SRM 2243, SRM 2244, SRM 2245, and SRM 2246 are luminescent glass standards designed and calibrated at NIST for the relative intensity correction of Raman spectrometers operating with excitation laser wavelengths of 785 nm, 532 nm, 488 nm/514.5 nm, 1064 nm, 632.8 nm and 830 nm, respectively (3-5). The corrected luminescence spectra of each is shown in Fig. 2.

5.2 Raman shift reagents (see Guide E1840).

6. Raman Shift Verification (X-Axis)

6.1 Verification of the calibration of the spectrometer's x-axis in Raman shift wavenumbers ($\Delta\nu$ cm⁻¹) is necessary before intensity correction of the y-axis is performed. The Raman shift axis is calculated from Eq 1:

$$\Delta\nu = (\nu_0 - \nu_s) \quad (1)$$

⁵ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this standard.




FIG. 2 Certified Models of the Corrected Luminescence Spectra of SRMs 2241 through 2246 as a Function of Raman Shift from the Specified Laser Excitation Wavelength

where:

$\Delta\nu$ = the wavenumber in units of Raman shift (cm^{-1}),
 ν_o = the laser frequency in wavenumbers (cm^{-1}),
 ν_s = the wavelength axis of the spectrometer expressed in wavenumbers (cm^{-1}),

6.2 The laser frequency can be measured using a wavemeter while the absolute wavenumber axis of the spectrometer is calibrated with emission pen lamps. Several references (7-10) have detailed the use of the appropriate emission lamps for the relevant Raman frequency range. Users should defer to the vendor's instructions for the purpose of Raman shift axis calibration or verification. However, independent validation of the Raman shift axis may be performed by referring to Guide E1840-96(2007).

7. Relative Instrument Response Function Calibration (Y-Axis):

7.1 General Procedure for Relative Response Calibration:

7.1.1 The most practical approach to calibrating a relative instrumental response function (IRF) involves the use of a standard of known spectral flux (intensity versus wavelength). The standard source is aligned to the spectrometer such that the emitted optical radiation is directed into the optical path to emulate Raman scattered radiation collected by the spectrometer from the sample position. The best accuracy is achieved when the calibration source radiation and Raman scatter of the sample travel the same illumination path through the collection optics of the spectrometer. The standard source spectrum is measured using, as nearly as possible, the same instrumental parameters (for example, spectral coverage, slit width, filters, or other optical elements) as used for sample data collection.

Excitation laser power, however, is sample dependent and the relative response correction of the spectrometer will be independent of this parameter. Acquisition time should be adjusted to optimize the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).

7.1.2 The relative IRF is defined as the ratio of the measured spectrum of the standard source, $S_L(\Delta\nu)$, to the known standard output, $I_L(\Delta\nu)$. The inverse of this relation is used to calculate a relative intensity correction curve as in Eq 2:

$$I_{CORR}(\Delta\nu) = I_L(\Delta\nu)/S_L(\Delta\nu) \quad (2)$$

where:

$I_{CORR}(\Delta\nu)$ = the relative intensity correction curve,
 $I_L(\Delta\nu)$ = the known standard output,
 $S_L(\Delta\nu)$ = the measured spectrum of the standard source (see 7.1.4).

7.1.3 Once determined, this correction curve is used to correct the measured Raman spectrum of a sample, $S_{MEAS}(\Delta\nu)$, for the system dependent response according to Eq 3:

$$S_{CORR}(\Delta\nu) = I_{CORR}(\Delta\nu) \times S_{MEAS}(\Delta\nu) \quad (3)$$

where:

$S_{CORR}(\Delta\nu)$ = the corrected Raman spectrum of the sample,
 $I_{CORR}(\Delta\nu)$ = the relative intensity correction curve,
 $S_{MEAS}(\Delta\nu)$ = the measured Raman spectrum of the sample (see 7.1.4).

7.1.4 Prior to calculating the relative intensity correction curve or corrected sample Raman spectrum, the measured spectra should be corrected by removing contributions to the signal not originating from the sample or calibration source being measured. Background signal can arise from processes independent of light incident on the detector, such as detector

bias and thermal charge generation. Correction for these processes is often referred to as dark correction or dark subtraction. Corrections for other sources of background interference, such as ambient lighting or luminescence from optical components in a system, can also be performed. These procedures may be combined into a single measurement and automated during the spectral acquisition. Throughout the remainder of this guide, the term “background” will be used generally to refer to spectral background contributions both dependent and independent of light incident on the detector. The suitability of a particular approach to background correction depends on instrumentation as well as application and, therefore, a specific procedure cannot be universally prescribed (see 7.4.4.1). For the calibration source, the measured spectrum is typically corrected by subtraction of a background spectrum recorded by blocking or removing the calibration source or leaving the detector shutter closed and measuring a spectrum using the same acquisition time used to measure the calibration source. The measured sample spectrum is similarly corrected by subtraction of a background spectrum; however, since the spectrum depends on integration time, this will typically be different from the background spectrum used for the calibration source. Furthermore, the procedure for measuring a background spectrum may differ between a calibration source and sample spectrum. Other variables, such as environmental conditions, can also be important (for example, correction at one temperature may not be universal).

7.1.5 Due to polarization biases that can be present in Raman instrumentation, typically due to the diffraction grating and sample orientation dependent components of the Raman tensor, a polarization scrambler is recommended in the Raman light-collection optics, most preferably in a region of collimated light. Raman spectral bands that exhibit various degrees of polarization will not be properly intensity-corrected without the use of a scrambler (see 7.4.1).

7.1.6 Calibration data for light sources are typically provided in energy output versus wavelength. While the SI unit for spectral irradiance has units of W m^{-2} , numerous other units are in common use with energy in terms of mW or μW , area in terms of cm^2 , and spectral bandpass in terms of cm, μm or nm. Modern Raman spectrometer systems generally count photons and the wavelength axis is expressed in Raman shift wavenumbers ($\Delta\nu$, cm^{-1}). More appropriate units in this case are in terms of photon flux as a function of wavenumber (cm^{-1}). A generalized relationship between spectral irradiance in energy versus wavelength and photons versus wavenumbers can be expressed as Eq 4:

$$\Phi_p(\nu) = C \cdot \lambda^3 \cdot \Phi_e(\lambda) \quad (4)$$

where:

$\Phi_p(\nu)$ = a photon flux in terms of absolute wavenumbers (cm^{-1}),
 $\Phi_e(\lambda)$ = an energy flux in terms of wavelength,
 C = a constant that depends on the energy and wavelength calibration units.

7.1.7 Conventional units used for Raman spectrometers employing photon counting detectors are photons $\text{sec}^{-1} (\text{cm}^{-1})^{-1}$ on a relative scale. Additional units relating to area and solid

angle may also be included. With the excitation laser wavelength known, the photon flux in terms of Raman shift, $\Phi_p(\Delta\nu)$, may be calculated for a spectrometer system. This approach is utilized for the corrected luminescence spectra of the NIST SRM series for relative Raman intensity correction.

7.2 Relative Response Calibration using NIST SRMs:

7.2.1 SRMs 2241 through 2246 are glass artifact standards that transfer a relative irradiance calibration from a NIST certified spectral irradiance source to a user's spectrometer. Under laser excitation at the specified wavelength the SRM luminescence provides a source of known relative spectral intensity, described by a certified mathematical model, over the spectral range of certification. Shown in Fig. 2 are the certified models of the corrected luminescence spectra of SRMs 2241 through 2246 as a function of Raman shift from the specified laser excitation wavelength. The corrected spectra of these SRMs were determined by the general response calibration procedure described above using a NIST calibrated primary standard source and/or calibrated black-body furnace radiators. With the SRM a relative intensity correction curve is generated using the certified mathematical model obtained from the appropriate SRM certificate (11) and a measured luminescence spectrum of the glass standard on the spectrometer system.

7.2.2 To acquire the luminescence spectrum of the SRM, the surface of the glass should be placed at approximately the same position from which the Raman spectrum of the sample is collected. It is important that the laser excitation be incident only on the frosted surface of the glass. The shape of the spectral luminescence will have some sensitivity to the placement of the glass surface relative to the collection optics of the spectrometer, which is minimized by scattering from the frosted surface (see 7.4.2). Measurement conditions should be set to obtain a spectrum of optimum signal-to-noise ratio. The luminescence spectrum of the glass must be acquired over the same Raman range as that of the sample and with the same data point density and in the same manner (for example, static, stepping or scanning acquisition modes).

7.2.3 The certified relative spectral intensity of the SRM, $I_{SRM}(\Delta\nu)$, is calculated using the certified equation listed in the appropriate SRM certificate. $I_{SRM}(\Delta\nu)$ has been normalized to unity and is a relative unit expressed in terms of photons $\text{sec}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} (\text{cm}^{-1})^{-1}$. The elements of $I_{SRM}(\Delta\nu)$ are obtained by evaluating the certified equation at the same data point spacing used for the acquisition of the luminescence spectrum of the SRM and of the Raman spectrum of the sample. Together with the measured luminescence spectrum of the SRM, $S_{SRM}(\Delta\nu)$, the relative intensity correction curve is calculated using Eq 5:

$$I_{CORR}(\Delta\nu) = I_{SRM}(\Delta\nu)/S_{SRM}(\Delta\nu) \quad (5)$$

where:

$I_{CORR}(\Delta\nu)$ = the relative intensity correction curve,
 $I_{SRM}(\Delta\nu)$ = the calculated certified relative spectral intensity of the SRM,
 $S_{SRM}(\Delta\nu)$ = the measured luminescence spectrum of the SRM.

7.2.4 This relative intensity correction curve, $I_{CORR}(\Delta\nu)$, corresponds to that derived in Eq 2 of the general procedure described in 7.1 and the intensity corrected Raman spectrum is

calculated as in Eq 3. An example of the procedure for correction of instrumental response variation is illustrated in Fig. 3. Shown in the top two panels on the left are the certified (corrected) spectrum of SRM 2241, $I_{SRM}(\Delta\nu)$, generated from the certified model (a 5th degree polynomial for SRM 2241) provided in the SRM certificate and the measured luminescence spectrum of SRM 2241, $S_{SRM}(\Delta\nu)$, obtained on a commercial Raman system using 785 nm laser excitation. The system-dependent relative intensity correction curve, $I_{CORR}(\Delta\nu)$, calculated using Eq 5, is shown in the middle panel. The bottom two panels show the measured sample Raman spectrum, $S_{MEAS}(\Delta\nu)$, and the corrected sample Raman spectrum, $S_{CORR}(\Delta\nu)$, calculated as the product $I_{CORR}(\Delta\nu) \times S_{MEAS}(\Delta\nu)$. Both the SRM and sample spectra were corrected by subtraction of background spectra collected using identical acquisition parameters but with the SRM/sample removed. Comparisons of the uncorrected spectra and corresponding background spectra are shown on the right hand side of Fig. 3. Some minor but discernible structure is observed in the background spectra, which is attributable to luminescence from the optical elements in the excitation and collection optic paths since the excitation laser was on during acquisition of background spectra. In addition, there are contributions from imperfect filtering of Rayleigh scatter.

7.2.5 Fig. 4 shows uncorrected spectra of cyclohexane acquired with four different laser excitation wavelengths. Comparison of the relative intensities of the 801 cm^{-1} band to the -CH stretch region (2800 cm^{-1} to 3000 cm^{-1}) clearly demonstrates the effect of the wavelength dependent instrument response function unique to each spectrometer and excitation wavelength. Shown in Fig. 5 are the Raman spectra in Fig. 4 corrected using the appropriate SRM. For each, the uncorrected sample Raman spectrum, $S_{MEAS}(\Delta\nu)$, was multiplied by the system-dependent relative intensity correction curve, $I_{CORR}(\Delta\nu)$, to produce the corrected spectrum, $S_{CORR}(\Delta\nu)$. In addition, to facilitate comparison of spectra across laser excitation wavelengths, a frequency dependent scatter correction (see 7.3) was applied to each spectrum to reduce them to wavelength independent cross-sections.

7.3 Validation of the Relative Intensity Correction:

7.3.1 Once the relative intensity correction curve for a spectrometer system has been obtained, the determination of the ratios of chosen band areas of a measured Raman spectrum has been suggested as a simple means to provide validation of the intensity correction. Cyclohexane is one of the materials selected as a Raman shift standard in Guide E1840, since it has a number of bands that span the Raman shift regions of interest. These same features make cyclohexane a material of interest for intensity validation, and several labs have published the peak area ratios for cyclohexane as well as for a number of these Raman shift standards (6, 7). For validation of the intensity correction using cyclohexane it has been customary to select the five prominent bands and ratio the peak area of these to the area of the 801 cm^{-1} ring breathing mode of cyclohexane. Approximate values of these four bands ratios to the 801 cm^{-1} band are listed in Table 1 as a function of wavelength of excitation. The integration range for each of the bands is listed in column 2. The first column represents a

reference Raman shift value for each band. Listed in column 3 are average ratios obtained from frequency independent cross sections (for example, corrected for the $\nu_0(\nu_0-\nu_i)^3$ scattering dependence) of corrected data using excitation wavelengths 514.5 nm, 532 nm, 632.8 nm and 785 nm. The remaining columns list the theoretical value of the ratio incorporating the $\nu_0(\nu_0-\nu_i)^3$ scattering dependence (7) for various common excitation lasers wavelengths. These laser wavelength dependent peak area ratios relative to the 801-band (A_i/A_{801}) are calculated from the values in column 2 according to Eq 6:

$$\frac{A_i}{A_{801}} = \frac{\sigma_i^o}{\sigma_{801}^o} \frac{(\nu_0 - \nu_i)^3}{(\nu_0 - 801)^3} \quad (6)$$

where:

- σ_i^o = the laser independent Raman cross section of band i ,
- σ_{801}^o = the laser independent Raman cross section of the 801-band,
- ν_0 = the excitation laser wavenumber (cm^{-1} , absolute),
- ν_i = the position of band i in Raman shift wavenumbers (cm^{-1}).

7.3.2 After correction of the spectrum of cyclohexane with the appropriate SRM, this table can provide a validation of the correction process. Note variance of the integrated band area ratios, especially of the -CH region (2567 to 3068 cm^{-1}) to 801 cm^{-1} region of 20 % or more can be expected. This variance can be due to systematic errors that are uncorrected by the SRM. These include the lack of adequate baseline compensation, polarization dependence of the spectrometer when a depolarizer is not used, and integration errors due to low data point density.

7.4 Common Issues Encountered Correcting Raman Spectra:

7.4.1 *Polarization Biases*—For a spectrometer system, a polarization bias is the variation in instrument response to light of different polarization orientations, usually measured at orthogonal polarizations with respect to a reference axis of the instrument. The predominant source of polarization bias in the instrument response is the polarization dependence of the efficiency curves of the diffraction grating. The polarization dependence of diffraction gratings is typically characterized as absolute or relative diffracted efficiency as a function of wavelength for P-plane and S-plane polarizations. P-plane (or TE) polarization is light polarized parallel to the grating grooves and S-plane (or TM) polarization is light polarized perpendicular to the grating grooves. Grating efficiency curves can be rather complex and a detailed discussion is beyond the scope of this document (12). In general, higher dispersion diffraction gratings have greater disparities between the S and P polarization dependent efficiency curves than lower dispersion gratings. Other optical elements, such as mirrors and filters, can also influence the polarization state of reflected or transmitted light. Accordingly, nearly all optical instrumentation exhibits some degree of polarization bias. As a general rule, the polarization orientation of the excitation light is maintained to some extent in Raman scattered light (the theoretical limit of depolarization ratios of Raman bands is 0.75). The selection rules for Raman activity of vibrational modes favor symmetric transitions, which can result in highly






FIG. 3 Example of the Procedure for the Correction of Instrumental Response



The spectra have been normalized to maximum of unity and offset for comparison.

FIG. 4 Uncorrected Raman Spectra of Cyclohexane Measured with Four Different Excitation Laser Wavelengths: 532 nm, 632.8 nm, 785 nm, 1064 nm



The spectra have been normalized to maximum of unity and offset for comparison.

FIG. 5 Corrected Raman Spectra of Cyclohexane Using SRM 2242 (532 nm), SRM 2245 (632.8 nm), SRM 2241 (785 nm), and SRM 2244 (1064 nm)

TABLE 1 Calculated Peak Area Ratios for Cyclohexane, Relative to 801 cm⁻¹ Band, for Different Excitation Laser Wavelengths.

Band (cm ⁻¹)	Integration Range (cm ⁻¹)	Frequency Independent Cross Section ^A	Theoretically Calculated Area / 801 Area ^B					
			488 nm 20492 cm ⁻¹	514.5 nm 19436 cm ⁻¹	532 nm 18797 cm ⁻¹	632.8 nm 15803 cm ⁻¹	785 nm 12739 cm ⁻¹	1064 nm 9398 cm ⁻¹
All -CH (2900)	2567 to 3068	15.92	11.35	11.12	10.97	10.13	8.91	6.87
1444	1380 to 1525	0.685	0.620	0.616	0.614	0.600	0.580	0.542
1267	1180 to 1325	0.524	0.488	0.486	0.484	0.477	0.465	0.443
1028	925 to 1125	0.599	0.579	0.578	0.577	0.573	0.566	0.553
801	700 to 900	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

^A This column is an experimental average of frequency independent cross sections of corrected cyclohexane spectra using laser excitations of 514.5 nm, 532 nm, 632.8 nm and 785 nm.

^B These columns are calculated with $\nu_0(\nu_0 - \nu_i)^3$ dependence from the column of frequency independent values.


polarized Raman scatter when the incident excitation light is polarized. This is the case for laser sources, which are typically linearly polarized, and the polarization orientation of the excitation will normally be maintained for strong bands of liquids and amorphous solids in Raman scattered light. The consequence of polarization-dependent responsivity in the detection system and polarized scatter is that spectra corrected with an unpolarized calibration source can exhibit significant discrepancies in relative band intensities.

7.4.1.1 An example of the impact of polarization bias is demonstrated in Fig. 6. Shown in this figure are several normalized intensity corrected cyclohexane Raman spectra collected under different instrumental configurations without polarization compensation. The spectra were collected on a single Raman spectrometer system utilizing 632.8 nm excitation and two available holographic surface relief gratings with the plane of polarization of the laser S- and P-polarized with respect to the grating plane and intensity corrected using a uniform sphere calibrated irradiance source. For 632.8 nm excitation the expected ratio of the -CH stretching region to the 801 cm⁻¹ band area for cyclohexane is approximately 10 (see Table 1) but ranges from 6.5 to 15.4 in these corrected spectra. The series of NIST SRMs exhibit some degree of luminescence anisotropy (for example, the luminescence will be partially polarized when polarized excitation is used). Consequently, minor differences in spectra corrected using these luminescent standards or calibrated irradiance sources can result in the absence of compensation for polarization biases. For effective inter-instrumental comparisons of Raman spectra, minimizing the effects of polarization bias is recommended. Due to the complexity, it is beyond the scope of this guide to prescribe the appropriate procedures for accomplishing this on all types of systems. In addition, in cases where orientation/polarization phenomena are being studied, it will be necessary to acquire intensity correction curves for the two polarization orientations. The anisotropy associated with the series of NIST SRMs is predominantly associated with absolute intensities of the orthogonal components of the emission polarization and not the relative spectral profiles. Thus, they can be effectively used to calibrate the relative spectral responsivity of the detection system for both polarization orientations; however, comparison of absolute intensities of corrected spectra between polarization orientations will present biases.

7.4.2 *Positioning Dependence of Calibration Standards*—The following discussion pertains primarily to the use of the NIST SRM series for Raman relative intensity correction.

Whether using these SRMs or a calibration accessory users should defer to vendor's instructions for the intensity calibration procedure when available. The SRMs are designed for Raman spectrometers utilizing a 180° backscatter sampling geometry and should be placed such that the laser is incident at the glass surface normal. The general recommendation is to position the SRM along the illumination/collection optical path to yield a measurement of optimal SNR under laser illumination. The shape of the measured spectral luminescence from the glass SRMs often exhibits some sensitivity to the placement of the glass surface relative to the collection optics of the spectrometer. Examples of disparities in SRM luminescence spectra associated with sample positioning from two Raman spectrometer systems are shown in Fig. 7. For both examples, spectra are shown corresponding to SRM placement yielding maximum signal and at translations in opposing directions along the optical axis from that position. In both examples, equidistant translations in opposing directions from the maximum signal position result in comparable reductions in signal level, however, distortions in the shape of the observed spectrum are considerably greater with translation into the far field (designated by negative units in the figure) of the collection optic. While not universal, similar trends have been observed on other commercial Raman spectrometer systems. Accordingly, if the calibration standard cannot be accurately positioned on a routine basis an alternative position may be preferred where minor translations have minimal impact on the relative intensity of the observed luminescence spectrum. These SRMs have been evaluated using 90° measurement geometries during the certification process and found to have the same relative luminescence spectrum within the certification uncertainties. However, greater positioning sensitivity has been observed for measurements at this geometry compared to the 180° backscatter geometry. To date, the SRMs have not been evaluated for the purposes of calibrating transmission Raman systems.

7.4.3 *Spectral Resolution*—The spectral resolution of a Raman spectrometer is another instrument or acquisition parameter dependent attribute that impacts intercomparison of spectra across spectrometer systems. Differences in the intrinsic line widths of Raman bands and proximity or overlap of nearby bands can give rise to significant differences in relative band heights for corrected spectra acquired at different spectral resolutions. Shown in Fig. 8 is an example of the impact of spectral resolution on peak height for intensity corrected cyclohexane spectra at approximate spectral resolutions of 4



The spectra were collected on a single Raman spectrometer system using two available holographic diffraction gratings with the plane of polarization of the laser S- and P-polarized with respect to the grating plane. Curves (A) and (B) correspond to a 1200 grv/mm grating with S- and P-polarized excitation, respectively. Curves (C) and (D) correspond to a 1800 grv/mm grating with S- and P-polarized excitation, respectively. While the spectra were corrected using a calibrated irradiance source, no polarization scrambler was used in the emission beam path. The spectra have been cropped, normalized to a maximum of unity and offset to facilitate comparison.


FIG. 6 632.8 nm Raman Spectra of Cyclohexane Corrected Using a Calibrated Uniform Sphere Irradiance Source

cm^{-1} , 8 cm^{-1} and 12 cm^{-1} . The spectra have been normalized to a peak maximum of unity for the 801 cm^{-1} band. This band is relatively narrow compared to most other bands in the cyclohexane spectrum and, as a result, the peak height is more sensitive to changes in spectral resolution. Conversely, relative peak areas should not be impacted by spectral resolution. In practice, however, differences in relative peak areas can arise from sources described above or due to spectral processing steps such as baseline determination. For more information concerning spectral resolution see Guide E2529.

7.4.4 Signal, Noise and Background Effects—In principle, intensity correction factors are independent of the signal level from the calibration spectrum used to generate them. However, noise from various sources or departures from response linearity can have significant impacts on intensity correction. Noise present in intensity calibration spectra is inevitably propagated into the correction factors and, accordingly, calibration spectra of high SNR are recommended. Integration times and, in the case of luminescent standards, laser power for collection of intensity calibration data should be set to provide reasonably high detector signal levels with care taken to avoid detector saturation. Typically, this approach will yield the highest SNR spectrum for a single acquisition. Poor signal levels can exacerbate noise propagation issues in corrected spectra. High frequency fixed-pattern noise (for example, pixel-to-pixel sensitivity variations or spectroscopic etaloning on CCD detectors) and other spectral artifacts (for example, filter transmission ripple) can largely be corrected by intensity calibration (13) so data smoothing techniques should be used with caution,


if at all, to reduce noise in calibration data. Intensity correction can adversely impact SNR on instruments with low detector noise due to the propagation of the shot noise from the calibration source spectrum into the correction factor, but the effect is typically small. Signal averaging over multiple accumulations can be performed to improve SNR of the calibration data.

7.4.4.1 An appropriate background set, preferably acquired using the same measurement parameters, should be subtracted from the measured calibration data set (see also 7.1.4). For luminescent standards, acquisition of a background set with the standard removed but the laser on at the same power used to collect the calibration data is recommended. Otherwise, users should verify that contributions from background luminescence and Raman scatter from optical components under laser illumination are negligible. Note that background subtraction procedures are often automated during data acquisition in modern Raman systems. These can include correction using a spectrum collected with the excitation laser off but optical path open to minimize interferences from ambient lighting or collection of a true dark spectrum using the same acquisition time as for the sample but with the spectrograph shutter closed to prevent light from reaching the detector. For measured Raman spectra of samples, this background subtraction procedure does not correct for other potentially interfering optical phenomena, such as luminescence or Raman scattering that arise from sample cell materials or optical elements in the illumination and collection paths and stray light resulting from imperfect filtration of the excitation laser from the collected



Positions are relative to the maximum intensity position with (+) and (-) indicating translation into the near and far field of the collection optic from this position. All spectra have been normalized to unit maximum intensity for comparison and overlaid spectra that are indistinguishable in the plot are identified together.

FIG. 7 Examples of the Impact of Sample Positioning on Measured Spectra of (top) SRM 2241 Collected with a 785 nm Fiber Probe Based Raman Spectrometer and (bottom) SRM 2244 on a 1064 nm FT-Raman Spectrometer



The spectra were cropped and normalized to a peak maximum of unity for the 801 cm^{-1} band to show disparities in relative peak height arising from differences in spectral resolution.

FIG. 8 632.8 nm Corrected Raman Spectra of Cyclohexane with Different Spectral Resolution

emission. In practice, it is not straightforward to completely correct for these interferences unless an appropriate blank is available but the impacts are relatively minor in many cases and can be minimized with suitably selected optical components or sample containers. Background interferences arising from intrinsic luminescence of the sample itself are a distinct matter, but a detailed discussion of these topics is beyond the scope of this guide.

8. Keywords

8.1 cyclohexanes; intensity calibrations; Raman spectroscopy; SRMs; standard reference materials

REFERENCES

- (1) Ray, K. G. and McCreery, R. L., Simplified calibration of instrument response function for Raman spectrometers based on luminescent intensity standards. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 51(1), 1997, p. 108-116.
- (2) Gardecki, J. A. and Maroncelli, M., Set of secondary emission standards for calibration of the spectral responsivity in emission spectroscopy. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 52(9), 1998, p. 1179-1189.
- (3) Choquette, S., Standard reference materials for relative intensity correction of Raman spectrometers. *American Laboratory*, 37(22), 2005.
- (4) Etz, E. S., Choquette, S.J., and Hurst, W.S., Development and certification of NIST standard reference materials for relative Raman intensity calibration. *Microchimica Acta*, 149(3-4), 2005, p. 175-184.
- (5) Choquette, S. J., Etz, E. S., Hurst, W. S., Blackburn, D. H., and Leigh, S. D., Relative intensity correction of Raman spectrometers: NIST SRMs 2241 through 2243 for 785 nm, 532 nm, and 488 nm/514.5 nm excitation. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 61(2), 2007, p. 117-129.
- (6) Frost, K. J. and McCreery, R. L., Calibration of Raman spectrometer instrument response function with luminescence standards: An update. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 52(12), 1998, p. 1614-1618.
- (7) McCreery, R. L., *Raman Spectroscopy for Chemical Analysis*. Chemical Analysis, A Series of Monographs of Analytical Chemistry and Its Applications, Wiley Interscience, 2000, 420.
- (8) Tseng, C. H., Ford, J. F., Mann, C. K., and Vickers, T. J., Wavelength calibration of a multichannel spectrometer. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 47(11), 1993, p. 1808-1813.
- (9) Fountain, A. W., Mann, C. K., and Vickers, T. J., Routine wave-number calibration of an FT-Raman spectrometer. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 49(7), 1995, p. 1048-1053.
- (10) Vickers, T. J., and Mann, C. K., Raman shift calibration of a compact multichannel spectrometer. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 53(12), 1999, p. 1617-1622.
- (11) SRM Certificates can be found online at <http://www.nist.gov/srm>.
- (12) Hutley, M. C., *Diffraction Gratings*, 1982, Academic Press
- (13) Jones, J. P., Wambles, R. E., Mann, C. K., and Vickers, T. J., Effect on noise of intensity-axis correction of spectra recorded with charge-coupled device detectors. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 56(5), 2002, p. 564-569.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the ASTM website (www.astm.org/COPYRIGHT/).

Copyright ASTM International
Provided by IHS under license with ASTM
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS