



Standard Guide for Predicting Radiation-Induced Transition Temperature Shift in Reactor Vessel Materials, E706 (IIF)¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E 900; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide presents a method for predicting reference transition temperature adjustments for irradiated light-water cooled power reactor pressure vessel materials based on Charpy V-notch 30-ft-lbf (41-J) data. Radiation damage calculative procedures have been developed from a statistical analysis of an irradiated material database that was available as of May 2000.² The embrittlement correlation used in this guide was developed using the following variables: copper and nickel contents, irradiation temperature, and neutron fluence. The form of the model was based on current understanding for two mechanisms of embrittlement: stable matrix damage (SMD) and copper-rich precipitation (CRP); saturation of copper effects (for different weld materials) was included. This guide is applicable for the following specific materials, copper, nickel, and phosphorus contents, range of irradiation temperature, and neutron fluence based on the overall database:

1.1.1 Materials:

1.1.1.1 A 533 Type B Class 1 and 2, A302 Grade B, A302 Grade B (modified), A508 Class 2 and 3.

1.1.1.2 Submerged arc welds, shielded arc welds, and electroslag welds for materials in 1.1.1.1.

1.1.2 Copper contents within the range from 0 to 0.50 wt %.

1.1.3 Nickel content within the range from 0 to 1.3 wt %.

1.1.4 Phosphorus content within the range 0 to 0.025 wt %.

1.1.5 Irradiation exposure temperature within the range from 500 to 570°F (260 to 299°C).

1.1.6 Neutron fluence within the range from 1×10^{16} to 8×10^{19} n/cm² ($E > 1$ MeV).

1.1.7 Neutron energy spectra within the range expected at the reactor vessel core beltline region of light water cooled reactors and fluence rate within the range from 2×10^8 to 1×10^{12} n/cm²s ($E > 1$ MeV).

1.2 The basis for the method of adjusting the reference temperature is discussed in a separate report.³

1.3 This guide is Part IIF of Master Matrix E 706 which coordinates several standards used for irradiation surveillance of light-water reactor vessel materials. Methods of determining the applicable fluence for use in this guide are addressed in Master Matrix E 706, Practices E 560 (IC) and Guide E 944 (IIA), and Test Method E 1005 (IIIA). The overall application of these separate guides and practices is described in Practice E 853 (IA).

1.4 The values given in customary U.S. units are to be regarded as the standard. The SI values given in parentheses are for information only.

1.5 This standard guide does not define how the shift in transition temperature should be used to determine the final adjusted reference temperature. (That would typically include consideration of the initial starting point, the predicted shift, and the uncertainty in the shift estimation method.)

1.6 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:⁴

E 185 Practice for Design of Surveillance Programs for Light-Water Moderated Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels

E 560 Practice for Extrapolating Reactor Vessel Surveillance Dosimetry Results, E 706(IC)

E 693 Practice for Characterizing Neutron Exposures in Iron and Low Alloy Steels in Terms of Displacements Per Atom (DPA), E 706(ID)

E 706 Master Matrix for Light-Water Reactor Pressure

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E10 on Nuclear Technology and Applications and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E10.02 on Behavior and Use of Nuclear Structural Materials.

Current edition approved July 15, 2007. Published August 2007. Originally approved in 1983. Last previous edition approved in 2002 as E 900 – 02.

² The Charpy surveillance data were originally obtained from the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Power Reactor-Embrittlement Database (PR-EDB) and subsequently updated by ASTM Subcommittee E10.02, May 2000.

³ *Charpy Embrittlement Correlations—Status of Combined Mechanistic and Statistical Bases for U.S. Pressure Vessel Steels (MRP-45), PWR Materials Reliability Program (PWRMRP)*, EPRI, Palo Alto, CA, 2001, 1000705.

⁴ For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.



Vessel Surveillance Standards, E 706(0)

E 853 Practice for Analysis and Interpretation of Light-Water Reactor Surveillance Results, E706(IA)

E 944 Guide for Application of Neutron Spectrum Adjustment Methods in Reactor Surveillance, E 706 (IIA)

E 1005 Test Method for Application and Analysis of Radiometric Monitors for Reactor Vessel Surveillance, E 706(IIIA)

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.1.1 *A, B*—material fitting coefficients that are a function of material type.

3.1.2 *best-estimate chemical composition*—the best-estimate chemical composition (copper [Cu] and nickel [Ni], in wt %) may be established using one of the following methods: (1) Use a simple mean for a small set of uniformly distributed data; that is, sum the measurements and divide by the number of measurements; (2) Use a weighting process for a non-uniformly distributed data set, especially when the number of measurements from one source are much greater in terms of material volume analyzed. For a plate, a unique sample could be a set of test specimens taken from one corner of the plate. For a weldment, a unique sample would be a set of test specimens taken from a unique weld deposit made with a specific electrode heat. A simple mean is calculated for test specimens comprising each unique sample, the sample means are then summed, and the sum is divided by the number of unique samples to get the sample weighted mean; (3) Use an alternative weighting scheme when other factors have a significant influence and a physical model can be established. For the preceding, the best estimate for the sample should be used if evaluating surveillance data from that sample.

3.1.2.1 *Discussion*—For cases where no chemical analysis measurements are available for a heat of material, the upper limiting values given in the material specifications to which the vessel was built may be used. Alternately, generic mean values for the class of material may be used.

3.1.2.2 *Discussion*—In all cases where engineering judgment was used to select a best estimate copper or nickel content, the rationale shall be documented which formed the basis for the selection.

3.1.3 *CRP*—the copper rich precipitate term of the transition temperature shift equation and is based on the knowledge of copper-enriched clustering that occurs in RPV steels.

3.1.4 *F(Cu)*—a copper term in the transition temperature shift equation that is a function of the measured copper content and material, and is subject to a saturation level at a high copper content.

3.1.5 *fluence* (Φ)—the number of neutrons per square centimeter with energy greater than 1.0 MeV at the location of interest.

3.1.6 *G* (Φ)—a fluence function term in the transition temperature shift equation.

3.1.7 *SMD*—the stable matrix damage term of the transition temperature shift equation and is based on an assumed understanding of matrix damage mechanisms in RPV steels.

3.1.8 T_c —irradiation temperature at full power, in °F, and is the estimated time-weighted average (based on the mean

temperature over each fuel cycle) cold leg temperature for PWRs and recirculation temperature for BWRs.

3.1.9 *TTS*—the predicted mean value of the transition temperature shift from the correlation.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 Operation of commercial power reactors must conform to pressure-temperature limits during heatup and cooldown to prevent over-pressurization at temperatures that might cause nonductile behavior in the presence of a flaw. Radiation damage to the reactor vessel beltline region is compensated for by adjusting the pressure-temperature limits to higher temperature as the neutron damage accumulates. The present practice is to base that adjustment on the increase in transition temperature produced by neutron irradiation as measured at the Charpy V-notch 30-ft-lbf (41-J) energy level. To establish pressure temperature operating limits during the operating life of the plant, a prediction of adjustment in transition temperature must be made.

4.1.1 In the absence of surveillance data for a given reactor (see Practice E 185), the use of calculative procedures will be necessary to make the prediction. Even when credible surveillance data are available, it will usually be necessary to extrapolate the data to obtain an adjustment in transition temperature for a specific time in the plant operating life. The embrittlement correlation presented herein has been developed for those purposes.

4.2 Research has established that certain elements, notably copper and nickel, cause a variation in radiation sensitivity of steels. The importance of other elements, such as phosphorus (P), remains a subject of additional research. Copper and nickel are the key chemistry parameters used in developing the calculative procedures described here.

4.3 Only power reactor surveillance data were used in the derivation of these procedures. The measure of fast neutron fluence used in the procedure is n/cm^2 ($E > 1$ MeV). Differences in the neutron fluence rate and neutron energy spectra experienced in power reactors and test reactors have not been applied in these procedures. The manner in which these factors were considered is addressed elsewhere.³

5. Calculative Procedure for Transition Temperature Shift

5.1 This guide presents a calculative procedure for estimating the transition temperature shift caused by neutron radiation. The form of the correlation involves two major embrittlement terms. The form of the terms is mechanistically guided, and the terms represent the hardening contribution from small microstructural defects and clusters created during irradiation.

5.1.1 Mean Transition Temperature Shift:

5.1.1.1 The mean value of TTS, in °F, is calculated as follows:

$$TTS = SMD + CRP \quad (1)$$

where

$$SMD = A \exp[20730/(T_c + 460)](\Phi)^{0.5076} \quad (2)$$

$$CRP = B[1 + 2.106Ni^{1.173}]F(Cu)G(\Phi) \quad (3)$$

and



$$A = 6.70 \times 10^{-18}$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 234, \text{ welds;} \\ 128, \text{ forgings;} \\ 208, \text{ Combustion Engineering plates;} \\ 156, \text{ other plates} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \tanh \left[\frac{\log(\Phi) - 18.24}{1.052} \right]$$

$$F(Cu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0, Cu \leq 0.072 \text{ wt \%} \\ (Cu - 0.072)^{0.577}, Cu > 0.072 \text{ wt \%} \end{pmatrix}$$

subject to

$$Cu_{max} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.25 \text{ wt \%}, \text{ for welds with Linde 80 or Linde 0091 flux;} \\ 0.305 \text{ wt \%}, \text{ for other welds} \end{pmatrix}$$

6. Attenuation Through the Vessel Wall

6.1 The effective fluence should be used to calculate the transition temperature shift for all locations within the vessel wall, rather than the actual fluence ($E > 1$ MeV) for those locations.

6.2 To calculate the shift at some location within the vessel wall away from the inside surface, it is necessary to account for the change in neutron spectrum. Due to these changes in neutron energy spectrum, the use of neutron fluence ($E > 1$ MeV) may give a non-conservative estimate of the neutron damage attenuation within the vessel wall. The preferred exposure parameter for accommodating this change is displacements per atom (*dpa*). Since *dpa* can be calculated through the vessel wall thickness following Practice E 693 during normal surveillance program evaluations, each plant could have the calculated *dpa* as a function of depth into the vessel wall. The calculated *dpa* can be used to obtain the effective vessel wall fluence for use with the embrittlement correlation in this guide as shown below:

$$(\Phi)_x = (\Phi)_{IS} [dpa_x/dpa_{IS}] \quad (4)$$

where $(\Phi)_x$ and dpa_x are the effective vessel wall fluence (units of n/cm^2 for $E > 1.0$ MeV) and calculated *dpa*, respectively, at any distance x (in inch units) into the vessel wall from the inside surface (*IS*); and $(\Phi)_{IS}$ and dpa_{IS} are the calculated fluence and *dpa*, respectively, at the inside surface of the pressure vessel wall.

6.3 Alternately, the following exponential attenuation formula may be used:⁵

$$(\Phi)_x = (\Phi)_{IS} \exp(-0.24 x) \quad (5)$$

where $(\Phi)_x$ is the effective vessel wall fluence (units of n/cm^2 for $E > 1.0$ MeV) at any distance x (in inch units) into the vessel wall from the inside surface (*IS*); and $(\Phi)_{IS}$ is the calculated fluence at the inside surface of the pressure vessel wall. A recent review has confirmed that this formula provides a reasonable estimation of through wall attenuation.⁶

6.4 Other forms of attenuation of embrittlement through the wall of the reactor vessel can be used if they can be technically justified.

7. Evaluation of Uncertainty

7.1 The following guidance on uncertainty is provided for use in applying the predicted transition temperature shift to determine an adjusted reference temperature.

7.2 The procedure outlined in 5.1.1.1 provides an estimate of the irradiated Charpy transition temperature shift based on analysis of reactor pressure vessel surveillance data. When this procedure is applied to the original database, the standard error of the correlation is 22.0°F (12.2°C). Principal contributors to this error include the uncertainty in the input parameters (Cu, Ni, fluence and irradiation temperature uncertainties). The remainder of the error is attributed to uncertainties in the Charpy shift determinations and model errors.

7.3 The standard error describes how well the model describes the Charpy transition temperature shift. A significant portion of this error may be attributed to the actual shift measurement. The statistical regression averages the material response over the entire database. In this case, the model will describe mean material behavior more accurately than it describes an individual measurement of shift. The 22.0°F (12.2°C) standard error of the original analysis provides an upper limit on the error for the mean behavior of an individual surveillance material.

7.4 In the application of the model, the uncertainty in the model will depend on the uncertainty in the input parameters. If the uncertainties in the input parameters for the specific application are similar to those in the surveillance capsule database, it is conservative to assume that the standard error of the original analysis applies to the application. Adjustments may be required if the uncertainties in the input data significantly exceed the uncertainties in the surveillance capsule database.

7.5 Although phosphorus has been identified as a potential embrittling agent in reactor pressure vessel steels, it has not been included in this procedure. Independent analysis has demonstrated that the *P* effect cannot be unambiguously identified in the surveillance capsule database. Phosphorus levels in the surveillance capsule database ranged from 0.003 to 0.024 wt % with a mean of 0.012 wt % and a standard deviation of 0.004 wt %; there are no apparent material type differences even between welds and base metals. Within the range of the database, additional adjustments for phosphorus uncertainty generally are not required; a simple uncertainty analysis for the effect of *P* revealed an estimated one sigma uncertainty of 5°F (2.8°C), which when appropriately combined with other uncertainties makes a difference of 1 to 2°F (0.6 to 1.1°C) in the global uncertainty.

7.6 The neutron fluence rate is not included in the model. Although the surveillance capsule database includes neutron fluence rates ranging from 2×10^8 n/cm^2 -s to 1×10^{12} n/cm^2 -s, the preponderance of the data lies between 3×10^9 n/cm^2 -s and 2×10^{11} n/cm^2 -s. Within the limitations of the surveillance capsule database and a thorough review of mechanistic understanding, a neutron fluence rate effect could not be unambiguously identified. No additional adjustments to uncertainty are required for typical reactor pressure vessel beltline neutron fluence rates.

⁵ Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials, Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C., May 1988.

⁶ Materials Reliability Program: Attenuation in U.S. RPV Steels (MRP-56), EPRI, Palo Alto, CA: 2002. 1006584.



7.7 If future surveillance data provide unambiguous evidence that the effects of additional mechanistic phenomenon should be considered (for example, phosphorus, fluence rate,

etc.) a revision of the calculative procedure presented in Section 5 may be warranted. Alternately, additional adjustments in the prediction uncertainty may be appropriate.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).