



Standard Guide for Conducting Wear Tests Using a Rotary Platform, Double- Head Abraser¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation G 195; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide covers and is intended to assist in establishing procedures for conducting wear tests of rigid or flexible materials utilizing the rotary platform, double-head abraser (RPDH).

1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

1.2.1 *Exception*—English units are used when determining coating thickness.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

- C 501** Test Method for Relative Resistance to Wear of Unglazed Ceramic Tile by the Taber Abraser
- C 1353** Test Method Using the Taber Abraser for Abrasion Resistance of Dimension Stone Subjected to Foot Traffic
- D 1044** Test Method for Resistance of Transparent Plastics to Surface Abrasion
- D 3389** Test Method for Coated Fabrics Abrasion Resistance (Rotary Platform Abrader)
- D 3451** Guide for Testing Coating Powders and Powder Coatings
- D 3730** Guide for Testing High-Performance Interior Architectural Wall Coatings
- D 3884** Guide for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Rotary Platform, Double-Head Method)

D 4060 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Organic Coatings by the Taber Abraser

D 4685 Test Method for Pile Fabric Abrasion

D 4712 Guide for Testing Industrial Water-Reducible Coatings

D 5034 Test Method for Breaking Strength and Elongation of Textile Fabrics (Grab Test)

D 5035 Test Method for Breaking Force and Elongation of Textile Fabrics (Strip Method)

D 5144 Guide for Use of Protective Coating Standards in Nuclear Power Plants

D 5146 Guide to Testing Solvent-Borne Architectural Coatings

D 5324 Guide for Testing Water-Borne Architectural Coatings

D 6037 Test Methods for Dry Abrasion Mar Resistance of High Gloss Coatings

D 7255 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Leather (Rotary Platform, Double-Head Method)

F 362 Test Method for Determining the Erasability of Inked Ribbons

F 510 Test Method for Resistance to Abrasion of Resilient Floor Coverings Using an Abrader with a Grit Feed Method

F 1344 Specification for Rubber Floor Tile

F 1478 Test Method for Determination of Abrasion Resistance of Images Produced from Copiers and Printers (Taber Method)

F 1978 Test Method for Measuring Abrasion Resistance of Metallic Thermal Spray Coatings by Using the Taber Abraser

G 40 Terminology Relating to Wear and Erosion

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.1.1 *abraser*—wear testing instrument to evaluate abrasion resistance, also referred to as an abrader.

3.1.2 *abrasion cycle*—in abrasion testing, one or more movements of the abradant across a material surface, or the material surface across the abradant, that permits a return to its

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee G02 on Wear and Erosion and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee G02.30 on Abrasive Wear. Current edition approved May 1, 2008. Published May 2008.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

starting position. In the case of the rotary platform test method, it consists of one complete rotation of the specimen.

3.1.3 *resurface*—procedure of cleaning and refreshing the running surface of an abrasive wheel prior to use in testing.

3.2 For definitions of other wear terms used in this test method, refer to Terminology G 40.

4. Summary of Practice

4.1 A specimen is abraded using rotary rubbing action under controlled conditions of pressure and abrasive action. The test specimen, mounted on a turntable platform, turns on a vertical axis, against the sliding rotation of two abrading wheels. One abrading wheel rubs the specimen outward toward the periphery and the other, inward toward the center. The resulting abrasion marks form a pattern of crossed arcs over an area of approximately 30 cm². Resistance to abrasion is evaluated by various means which are described in Section 12.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 This test guide provides a means to quantify the abrasion resistance of material surfaces, and may be related to end-use performance, or used to comparatively rank material performance, or both. The resistance of material surfaces to abrasion, as measured on a testing machine in the laboratory, is generally only one of several factors contributing to wear performance as experienced in the actual use of the material. Other factors may need to be considered in any calculation of predicted life from specific abrasion data.

5.2 The resistance of material surfaces to abrasion may be affected by factors including test conditions; type of abradant; pressure between the specimen and abradant; mounting or tension of the specimen; and type, kind, or amount of finishing materials.


5.3 Abrasion tests utilizing the rotary platform, double head abramer may be subject to variation due to changes in the abradant during the course of specific tests. Depending on abradant type and test specimen, the abrading wheel surface may change (i.e. become clogged) due to the pick-up of finishing or other materials from test specimens. To reduce this variation, the abrading wheels should be resurfaced at regularly defined intervals. See Appendix X2.

5.4 The measurement of the relative amount of abrasion may be affected by the method of evaluation and influenced by the judgment of the operator.

6. Apparatus

6.1 *Rotary Platform, Double-Head (RPDH) Abraser*, consisting of the elements described in 6.1.1 to 6.1.5 (see Fig. 1).

6.1.1 A turntable specimen platform, which is removable, that includes a rubber pad, clamp plate, centrally located threaded post and nut. When testing flexible specimens, the specimen platform will also include a clamping ring. The turntable shall be motor driven, and mounted so as to produce a circular surface travel of a flat specimen in the plane of its surface. The specimen platform should rotate with no visible wobble. This can be checked with a dial indicator at the top outer edge of the platform to make sure it runs true within 0.5 mm.



Note: Vacuum Suction System not shown

FIG. 1 Rotary Platform, Double-Head (RPDH) Abraser

6.1.2 A motor capable of rotating the turntable platform at a speed of either 72 ± 2 rpm or 60 ± 2 rpm.

6.1.3 A pair of pivoted arms to which the abrasive wheels and accessory weights or counterweights are attached.

6.1.4 A vacuum suction system and vacuum pickup nozzle to remove debris and abrasive particles from the specimen surface during testing. The vacuum suction force shall be 137 millibar (55 in. of water column) or greater, as measured by a vacuum gage at the vacuum pick-up nozzle port. The height of the vacuum pickup nozzle shall be adjustable, and the nozzle will have two 8 mm openings. One opening shall be positioned between the two wheels and over the wear path and the other placed diametrically opposite, with the distance between the axes of the two openings 76.0 ± 1.0 mm.

6.1.5 A counter to record the number of abrasion cycles (revolutions) made by the turntable platform.

6.2 *Abrasive Wheels*,³ which are attached to the free end of the pivoted arms and are able to rotate freely about horizontal spindles.

6.2.1 The wheels shall be 12.7 ± 0.3 mm thick and have an external diameter of 51.9 ± 0.5 mm when new, and in no case less than 44.4 mm. The abrasive wheels are either resilient or vitrified based, with both types of wheels consisting of hard particles embedded in a binder material and manufactured in different grades of abrasive quality. Other types of wheels, which do not include hard particles embedded in a binder material, may also be used.

6.2.2 The internal faces of the abrasive wheels shall be 52.4 ± 1.0 mm apart and the hypothetical line through the two spindles shall be 19.05 ± 0.3 mm away from the central axis of the turntable (see Fig. 2). The wheels should be spaced equally on both sides from the wheel-mounting flange to the center of the specimen holder. The distance from the inside of the wheel mounting flange to the center of the specimen holder shall be 38.9 ± 0.5 mm.

³ The sole source of supply of the apparatus known to the committee at this time is Taber Industries, 455 Bryant Street, North Tonawanda, NY 14120. If you are aware of alternative suppliers, please provide this information to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee,¹ which you may attend.




FIG. 2 Central Axis of the Turntable

6.2.3 When resting on the specimen, the wheels will have a peripheral engagement with the surface of the specimen, the direction of travel of the periphery of the wheels and of the specimen at the contacting portions being at acute angles, and the angles of travel of one wheel periphery being opposite to that of the other. Motion of the abrasive wheels, in opposite directions, is provided by rotation of the specimen and the associated friction therefrom.

6.2.4 Prior to testing, ensure the expiration date has not passed for resilient wheels.

6.3 *Accessory Weights*, can be attached to the pivoted arms to increase or decrease the force at which the wheel is pressed against the specimen, exclusive of the mass of the wheel itself. Commonly used masses are 250 ± 1 g, 500 ± 1 g, and 1000 ± 1 g. Accessory weight references are per arm (not combined), and include the mass of the pivoted arm.

6.4 Auxiliary Apparatus:

6.4.1 Refacing disc, for resurfacing of resilient wheels. The refacing disc shall be 150 grit silicon carbide coated abrasive product, approximately 102 mm diameter with a 7-mm center hole, such as type S-11 or equivalent.

6.4.2 Refacing stone (for example, fine side of ST-11³), for resurfacing of CS-10F³ resilient wheels when testing transparent materials.

6.4.3 Wheel refacer, with a diamond tool for resurfacing vitrified wheels or correcting out of round wheels.

6.4.4 A soft bristle brush, to remove loose particles from the surface of the specimen after testing.

6.4.5 Specimen mounting cards, approximately 108 mm round or square with a 7-mm center hole and one side coated with pressure sensitive adhesive to secure specimens.

7. Specimen Preparation

7.1 *Materials*—It is the intent of this test guide to allow for the abrasion testing of any material form, provided it is essentially flat. The field of application is varied and includes solid materials, metals, plastics, coated surfaces (for example, paint, lacquer, electroplated), textiles (ranging from sheer silks to heavy upholstery), leather, rubber, linoleum, and the rest.

7.1.1 Accepted industry practice should be employed for specimen preparation. Specific recommendations for specimen preparation may be available from the ASTM subcommittee responsible for that material. Selection and use should be agreed upon between the interested parties.

7.2 *Specimen Thickness*—The standard material thickness that can be evaluated with the rotary platform abraser is 6.35 mm or less.

NOTE 1—For materials thicker than 6.35 mm but less than 12.7 mm, an extension nut such as type S-21³ or equivalent may be used. Alternatively, an arm height extension kit³ will permit testing of specimens up to 40 mm.

7.3 *Specimen Size*—The width of the resulting wear path is 12.7 mm, and is located 31.75 mm from the center of the specimen. The size of the specimen may vary depending on the material being evaluated:

7.3.1 For most rigid materials, a sample approximately 100 mm square is recommended with a 6.35 mm diameter center hole.

7.3.2 Flexible specimens are typically circular and require the use of the clamp ring. If a mounting card is used, the specimen should be approximately 105 mm in diameter with a 6.35 mm diameter center hole. If no mounting card is used, an approximately 135 mm specimen is required such that the clamp ring will grip overlapped material. The specimen should include a 6.35 mm diameter hole in the center of the specimen. A sample cutter or die has been found useful for preparing flexible specimens.

7.4 *Mounting Card*—Certain flexible specimens may wrinkle or shift during testing. To prevent this, a mounting card with a pressure sensitive adhesive may be used. Prior to adhering, clean the back of the specimen with a soft bristle brush to remove any loose debris. Position the specimen on the card such that the specimen is free of folds, creases, or wrinkles and the center holes align.

8. Preparation and Set-Up of Apparatus

8.1 The following set-up parameters are dependent on the type of material being evaluated and shall be agreed upon by the interested parties. See [Appendix X1](#) for guidance.

8.1.1 *Selection of Wheels*—Abrasive wheels manufactured in different grades are commercially available.³

8.2 *Vacuum Suction*—The vacuum suction force should be adjusted to lift the abraded particles, but not lift the specimen.

8.3 *Vacuum Pickup Nozzle*—The gap between the vacuum pickup nozzle and the specimen surface should be adjusted after the specimen has been mounted. A gap of 7 ± 1 mm has been found to be sufficient for most materials. On certain rigid materials, a setting of 3 mm has been found to be more effective.

8.4 *Abrading Wheel Loading*—The mass selected should permit a minimum number of abrasion cycles (i.e. 150) before the end of the test occurs. A mass of 500 g or 1000 g applied per wheel has been found to be sufficient for materials that are considered to be durable. A mass of 250 g or 500 g applied per wheel has been found to be sufficient for less durable materials.

9. Standardization of Abrasive Wheels

9.1 *Preparation of Resilient Abrading Wheels*—To ensure that the abrading function of the wheels is maintained at a constant level, prepare the resilient wheels according to the manufacturer's recommendations. See [Appendix X2](#).

9.2 *Preparation of Vitrified Abrading Wheels*—Vitrified wheels do not require resurfacing unless the abrading surface becomes clogged, chipped or out of round. A wheel refacer should be used to correct any of these conditions.

10. Conditioning

10.1 Prior to testing, condition all specimens according to established procedures specific to the material being evaluated or as agreed upon by the interested parties.

11. Procedure

11.1 Mount the wheels on their respective flanged holders, taking care not to handle them by their abrasive surfaces. Prior

to testing, ensure that the wheels have been resurfaced according to Section 9 if necessary.

11.2 Depending on the type of evaluation criteria being utilized (see Section 12), it may be necessary to measure and record specific parameters of the unabraded specimen prior to conducting the test:

11.2.1 Mass loss method, weigh the specimen to the nearest mg. If using a mounting card, weigh after the specimen has been affixed to the card and conditioned in the standard testing environment.

11.2.2 Wear cycles per mil or depth of wear method, use a thickness gage or other appropriate device to measure the specimen thickness on four points along the path to be abraded, approximately 38 mm from the center hole and 90° apart. Calculate the average of the readings.

11.3 *Mounting of Specimen*—Place the test specimen face up over the rubber mat on the turntable platform, unless otherwise specified. If the turntable platform was previously removed, ensure it is properly replaced on the motor drive shaft of the abramer prior to testing.

11.3.1 For rigid materials, secure the clamp plate and nut in place to hold the specimen.

11.3.2 For textile and other flexible materials, lightly secure the clamp plate and nut in place to hold the center of the specimen. Place the clamping ring over the turntable platform with the screw of the clamping ring at one end of the warp. Partially tighten the screw, and push the clamping ring half way down the edge of the turntable platform. Draw the specimen taut over the turntable platform by pulling on the corners and edges of the specimen. Tighten the clamping ring ¼ turn and push the ring all the way down over, thus putting tension on the specimen as it is secured on holder. Finish tightening the nut and clamping ring. Avoid buckling the fabric when tightening. Trim off excess fabric around the edges. It is recommended not to remove the specimen from the specimen holder until the entire test is completed.

11.4 *Abrading Wheel Loading*—Select the mass to be used and affix it to the rotary platform, double head abramer (see 8.4).

11.5 *Number of Abrasion Cycles*—The number of rotations of the turntable platform to which the specimen is to be subjected will depend on the type of material being tested, the type of abrading wheels used, the abrading wheel loading, and the type of test employed. The number of cycles should be predetermined by mutual agreement by the interested parties.

11.6 Lower the abrading heads carefully onto the surface of the specimen.

11.7 *Cleaning of Specimen*—The RPDH vacuum system is used to clean the specimen of debris and abrasive particles during the test. Position the nozzle above the specimen surface as determined in 8.3 and adjust the vacuum suction as outlined in 8.2. For some materials, it may be necessary to use a soft bristle brush to clean the specimen surface at set intervals during the test.

11.8 Start the RPDH abramer and subject the test specimen to abrasion for the specified number of cycles as established in 11.5.

11.8.1 Depending on the type of evaluation criteria being utilized (see Section 12), the rate of wear may be calculated or

plotted on a graph, or both. If required, take measurements periodically during testing with the frequency to be determined by the interested parties.

NOTE 2—In calculating Wear Index (see 12.3) or Wear Cycles Per Mil (see 12.4) of surface coatings and similar materials, it may be advisable to discard the final reading if results are affected by abrasion of the exposed substrate.

11.8.2 If cycles to a specific end point is used as the evaluation criteria (see 12.7), it may be necessary to stop the instrument at intervals during the test to examine the test specimen.

11.9 *Resurfacing of Wheels*—Due to uneven wear and clogging of the surface crevices with abraded debris (for example, fiber particles, finishing materials, and the like), the abrading wheels should be resurfaced at established intervals during tests, the frequency depending on the type of material being tested and the type of wheel used (see Appendix X2).

11.10 *Cleaning of Specimen After Test*—After the test is complete, a soft bristle brush may be used to remove any loose debris and abraded material remaining on the test specimen.

11.11 After testing, raise the abrader arms and vacuum nozzle then remove the specimen for evaluation. If desired, the turntable platform may be removed from the abraser by lifting straight up. This will permit a closer inspection of the specimen prior to removal from the turntable platform. Use a soft bristle brush or cloth to clean the rubber pad after each test.

12. Calculation or Interpretation of Results

NOTE 3—This guide does not recommend any specific interpretation of results but does provide procedures commonly used by industry.

12.1 After the specimens have been abraded to the set number of cycles or other specified endpoint, evaluate as directed in 12.2-12.10 as appropriate. If a mounting card was used and any evaluation technique described in 12.8-12.10 is employed, carefully remove the specimen from the mounting card.

12.2 *Mass Loss*—Change in mass caused by abrasion. Weigh the specimen after abrasion and compute mass loss, L , of the test specimen as follows:

$$L = A - B \quad (1)$$

where:

A = mass of test specimen before abrasion, mg, and
 B = mass of test specimen after abrasion, mg.

12.3 *Wear Index*—Loss in mass in milligrams per thousand cycles of abrasion. Weigh the specimen after abrasion and compute the wear index, I , of a test specimen as follows:

$$I = \frac{(A - B) 1000}{C} \quad (2)$$

where:

A = mass of test specimen before abrasion, mg,
 B = mass of test specimen after abrasion, mg, and
 C = number of cycles of abrasion recorded.

12.4 *Wear Cycles per mil (0.001 in.)*—Abrasion cycles required to wear through a coating of a known thickness. Compute the wear cycles per mil, W , of the test specimen as follows:

$$W = \frac{D}{T} \quad (3)$$

where:

D = number of cycles of abrasion required to wear coating through to substrate, and
 T = thickness of coating, mils.

12.5 *Volume Loss*—In comparing the wear resistance of materials having different specific gravities, a correction for the specific gravity of each material should be applied to the mass loss to give a true measure of the comparative wear resistance. Calculate the wear index as shown in 12.3 and divide the result by the material's specific gravity. The use of this correction factor provides a wear index related to the loss in volume of the material to which it is applied. When comparing materials of different specific gravities, test parameters shall be the same including wheel selection and abrading wheel loading.

12.6 *Depth of Wear Method (Thickness)*—Repeat the measurements taken in 11.2.2 and record the difference. Calculate the average of the four readings. Alternatively, an instrument such as an optical micrometer may be used to measure the depth of wear.

12.7 *Cycles to a Specific End-Point*—The number of cycles required to reach a predetermined end point, or the appearance or condition of the specimen after a fixed number of cycles. The evaluation criteria may include: loss in breaking strength, yarn breakage, loss in coating, change in gloss, color loss, or other changes in appearance. In these cases, the abraded sample is usually compared to a known standard of the material tested. Aesthetic evaluations can be made using an agreed upon rating system such as a visual grading scale (for example, five-step) or pass/fail criteria.

12.8 *Residual Breaking Force*—The effective strength of the fabric or force required to break a specific width of fabric. If residual breaking force is required, calculate the individual breaking force of the individual abraded specimens and the unabraded specimens to the nearest 0.5 kg significant digits. Use Test Method D 5034 and D 5035, as appropriate, except that the distance between clamps shall be 25 mm and path of the abrasion on the abraded specimen is horizontally placed midway between the clamps of the machine.

12.9 *Average Breaking Strength*—If average breaking strength is required, calculate the average breaking strength of the abraded specimens and the unabraded specimens separately to the nearest 0.5 kg for the laboratory sampling unit and for the lot using the procedure described in 12.8.

12.10 *Percent Loss in Breaking Strength*—If percent breaking strength is required, calculate the percentage loss in breaking strength to the nearest 1 % of the abrasion resistance separately for each the lengthwise and widthwise directions using Eq 4, for the laboratory sampling unit and for the lot.

$$AR = \frac{100(A - B)}{A} \quad (4)$$

where:

AR = abrasion resistance, %, and
 A = average breaking force of the unabraded specimens, g (lb), and



B = average breaking force of the abraded specimen, g (lb).

13. Report

13.1 State that the specimens were tested as directed in Guide G 195. Describe the product and the method used for sample collection.

13.2 Report the following information:

13.2.1 Temperature and humidity during conditioning and at time of testing.

13.2.2 Type of wheel used and manufacturing lot number.

13.2.3 Abrading wheel loading.

13.2.4 Vacuum suction level.

13.2.5 Height of vacuum pickup nozzle above specimen surface.

13.2.6 Specimen mounting card (only when used).

13.2.7 Evaluation criteria used to obtain failure or other end point, and results as determined in Section 12. If any other means of evaluating the effect of abrasion are used, describe evaluation criteria used to obtain failure or other end point.

13.3 Any deviation from the procedure described in this guide.

14. Keywords

14.1 abraser; abrasion; abrasion resistance; rotary platform; Taber; wear

APPENDIXES

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. ABRADING WHEEL AND LOAD SELECTION

X1.1 Material specifications and test methods have been developed for many different types of specimens. If you are following a particular material specification, please refer to it for the appropriate wheel and load combination.

X1.2 The following information is intended to serve as a guideline only, when an abrasive wheel is not specified. Deciding which abrading wheel and load combination is appropriate for your application is best determined with preliminary testing on the actual material. The ideal outcome using this selection criterion is to reproduce the resulting wear that occurs in actual use. A correction factor may be necessary if there is no absolute fit between lab test results and field results. It is important to recognize that abrasive wheels represent a limited subset of actual contact conditions that material surfaces will be subjected to while in service.

X1.3 The following standardized abrasive wheels are commercially available:³

X1.3.1 Resilient Wheels:

CS-10F	Light abrasive action
CS-10	Medium abrasive action

CS-17	Medium to coarse abrasive action
-------	----------------------------------

X1.3.2 Vitrified (Clay) Wheels:

H-38	Light abrasive action (requires multi-point diamond tool for refacing)
H-10	Light to medium abrasive action
H-18	Medium abrasive action
H-22	Coarse abrasive action

X1.4 Specialty wheels may also be used for unique applications:

CS-0	Resilient material, containing no abrasive grain. Used when a very mild abrasive action is required. Alternatively, sandpaper strips (for example, S-33 or S-42) may be adhered to outer periphery for aggressive abrasive action.
CS-5	Densely, compacted wool felt. Suggested when the service wear of textile fabrics involves one fibrous material rubbing against another.
S-35	Tungsten Carbide with sharp, helical teeth cut in its periphery (25 per inch set at 45° spiral pitch). Intended for use on resilient materials only (for example, rubber, linoleum, and leather) when a cutting and tearing action / severe abrasion is required.
S-39	Leather strip adhered to a brass hub.

X1.5 Abrading Wheel and Load Selection Chart—See Table X1.1.

TABLE X1.1 Abrading Wheel and Load Selection Chart^A

	Ref.	CS-10F	CS-10	CS-17	H-38	H-10	H-18	H-22	Mass (g) per Wheel	Vacuum Nozzle Gap
Carpet, Automotive	D 3884		x						1000	7 ± 1 mm
Carpet, Broadloom	D 3884				x		x	x	500 or 1000	7 ± 1 mm
Ceramic, Unglazed Tile	C 501							x	1000	1/16 – 1/8 in.
Ceramic, Whitewares & related	C 501							x	1000	1/16 – 1/8 in.
Coatings, High Gloss	D 6037		x ^B						500	Not specified
Coatings, High Perf. Interior Architectural Wall (ref. D 4060)	D 3730		x	x					1000	6.5 mm (1/4 in.)
Coatings, Industrial Water-Reducible (ref. D 4060)	D 4712		x	x					1000	6.5 mm (1/4 in.)
Coatings, Metallic Thermal Spray	F 1978							x	250	Not specified
Coatings, Organic	D 4060		x	x					1000	6.5 mm (1/4 in.)
Coatings, Powder (ref. D 4060)	D 3451		x	x					1000	6.5 mm (1/4 in.)
Coatings, Protective (ref. D 4060)	D 5144		x	x					1000	6.5 mm (1/4 in.)
Coatings, Solvent-Borne Architectural (ref. D 4060)	D 5146		x	x					1000	6.5 mm (1/4 in.)
Coatings, Water-Borne Architectural (ref. D 4060)	D 5324		x	x					1000	6.5 mm (1/4 in.)
Dimension Stone	C 1353							x	1000	1/16 – 1/8 in.
Inked Ribbons, Erasability of	F 362	x							500	Not specified
Leather, Dyed	D 3884		x	x					500 or 1000	7 ± 1 mm
Leather, Durable	D 3884						x	x	1000	7 ± 1 mm
Leather, Upholstery	D 7255		x	x			x		1000 ^B	7 ± 1 mm
Nonwovens	D 3884					x			250	7 ± 1 mm
Plastic, Transparent	D 1044	x							500	1/32 – 1/16 in.
Printing, Images Produced by Copiers and Printers	F 1478	x							250	Not specified
Resilient Floor Coverings (w/Grit Feeder Attachment)	F 510								1000	≤ 1/4 in.
Rubber Floor Tile (ref. D 3389)	F 1344						x		500	1/4 in. ^B
Textile Fabrics	D 3884						x	x	1000	7 ± 1 mm
Textile Fabrics, Coated	D 3389						x ^B		1000 ^B	1/4 in. ^B
Textile Fabrics, Delicate	D 3884		x		x				250 or 500	7 ± 1 mm
Textile Fabrics, Medium Wgt.	D 3884		x	x					500 or 1000	7 ± 1 mm
Textile Fabrics, Automotive	D 3884		x				x		1000	7 ± 1 mm
Textile Fabrics, Pile	D 4685		x						250 or 500	6.35 mm
Upholstery	D 3884				x		x	x	500 or 1000	7 ± 1 mm

^A This table describes the results of using a particular test apparatus with a specific vendor's abrasive wheels. Users of this guide may find this information useful for comparison with their own conditions.

^B Or other as agreed upon by interested parties.

X2. RESILIENT WHEEL REFACING PROCEDURE

X2.1 Mount the selected resilient wheels on their respective flange holders, taking care not to handle them by their abrasive surfaces. Adjust the mass on the abrading wheels to the load agreed upon between the interested parties for testing (see 8.4).

X2.2 Mount the refacing disc on the specimen platform and affix using the clamp plate and nut, and clamp ring. Lower the abrading heads until the wheels rest on the refacing disc. Place the vacuum pick-up nozzle in position and adjust it to the appropriate distance above the surface of the disc (see 8.3).

NOTE X2.1—When using CS-10F³ wheels to evaluate transparent materials, resurface using the fine side of the ST-11³ refacing stone in place of the refacing disc. No clamp plate or clamp ring is required.

X2.3 Set the counter to ‘zero’ and adjust the vacuum suction force to 100.

X2.4 Ensure the vacuum system is operating, and resurface the wheels by running them for the appropriate number of cycles against the refacing disc as shown in Table X2.1. Each refacing disc is good for one 25 or 50 cycle resurfacing operation, after which it shall be discarded.

X2.4.1 New Set of Wheels (Break-In Procedure)—Before placing a new set of resilient wheels in service they shall be subjected to two (2) resurfacings of 50 cycles to ensure perfect contact of the abrading faces with the specimen surface.

X2.4.2 Starting a Test with Previously Used Wheels—Before testing a specimen with previously used wheels, resurface on a new refacing disc. When the previous test was short in duration (<1000 cycles), resurfacing of 25 cycles is sufficient. When the previous test was 1000 cycles or more, a resurfacing of 50 cycles is recommended. Wheels that have not been used for an extended period of time may require a break-in resurfacing like a new set of wheels.

X2.4.3 Resurfacing During Testing—To maintain consistency and avoid clogging of wheel faces, the wheels may need to be resurfaced periodically during the test (see 5.3). For tests of 1000 cycles or more, resurfacing every 500 cycle interval has been found to be sufficient for most materials. Remove the specimen and resurface the wheels for 50 cycles with a new refacing disc. The sample should be carefully replaced on the specimen holder after resurfacing of the wheels. To prevent the integrity of the test from being jeopardized when removing and replacing flexible samples, specimens may be affixed to a mounting card.

NOTE X2.2—To determine if more frequent resurfacing is required, plot the total mass loss every 50 cycles. If a significant negative change in slope is observed prior to 500 cycles, the point at which the slope changes determines the resurfacing frequency.



TABLE X2.1 Preparation of Abrasive Wheels

Wheel Status	Resurfacing Cycles
New	2 resurfacings of 50 cycles
Used	25 or 50 cycles
During Test	50 cycles

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).