


Characterization of Arc Welding Fume



AMERICAN WELDING SOCIETY, INC.

Characterization of Arc Welding Fume

Research performed by the Mellon Institute Materials Characterization Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, under contract with the American Welding Society and supported by industry contributions.

Performed By:

Edward J. Fasiska
Howard W. Wagenblast
Margaret Nasta
Mellon Institute MCC
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

February, 1983

Prepared for:

Safety and Health Committee
AMERICAN WELDING SOCIETY
550 N.W. LeJeune Road
Miami, FL 33126

International Standard Book Number: 0-87171-229-6

American Welding Society, 550 N.W. LeJeune Rd., Miami, FL 33126

© 1983 by American Welding Society
All rights reserved

This report is published as a service and convenience to the welding industry and is the product of an independent contractor (Mellon Institute) which is solely responsible for its contents. The materials in this report have not been independently reviewed or verified and are only offered as information. AWS assumes no responsibility for any claims that may arise from the use of this information. Users must make independent investigations to determine the applicability of this information for their purposes.

Printed in the United States of America

Contents

<i>Personnel</i>	v
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>List of Figures</i>	ix
<i>List of Tables</i>	ix
<i>Abstract</i>	xi
 <i>I. Introduction</i>	 1
<i>II. Sampling Techniques</i>	1
A. Bulk Samples	1
B. Dispersed Samples	2
1. Nuclepore Filter Samples	2
2. Electrostatic Collector	2
3. Sticky Films	2
<i>III. Analytical Techniques and Sample Preparation</i>	2
A. X-ray Diffraction	2
B. Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometry	3
C. Suspended Particulate Evaluation and Classification System	3
1. Generation of a Search Grid System	3
2. Detection of Particles Intersecting the Search Grid System	3
3. Size and Shape Analysis of Particles	3
4. Chemical Analysis of Particles	4
5. Data Reduction and Particle Type Classification	4
D. Transmission Electron Microscopy and Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy	5
E. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry	6
<i>IV. Results and Discussion</i>	6
A. Particle Size	6
B. Particle Chemistry	7
1. E6010	7
2. E7018	8
3. E70S-3	8
4. E70T-1	9
5. E308-16	9
6. E5356	10
<i>V. Summary</i>	11

Personnel

AWS Research Committee

Dr. A.N. Ward, Chairman
K.L. Brown, Vice-Chairman
M.E. Kennebeck, Jr., Secretary
J.S. Gorski
E. Mastromatteo
P.W. Ramsey
G.E. Wiggs

Caterpillar Tractor Company
Lincoln Electric Company
American Welding Society
Kemper Insurance Companies
INCO, Ltd.
A.O. Smith Corporation
The Heil Company

Acknowledgements

Ken Brown and Kevin Lyttle of the AWS Fumes and Gases Committee carefully monitored the project, and were ably assisted by Bill DeLong, Al Lesnewich and Gene Wiggs of the Safety and Health Committee.

Funds for this project were provided by the American Welding Society.

The American Welding Society gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the program by industry contributions.

Supporting Organizations

Air Products and Chemicals, Incorporated
Airco Welding Products
Allis-Chalmers
Alloy Rods Division, The Chemetron Corporation
AWS Detroit Section
AWS New Orleans Section
Arcos Corporation
The Binkley Company
Caterpillar Tractor Company
Chicago Bridge and Iron Company
Grove Manufacturing Company, Division of Kidde, Incorporated
General Electric Company
The Heil Company
Hobart Brothers Company
Huntington Alloys, Incorporated
Lincoln Electric Company
Miller Electric Manufacturing Company
National-Standard Company
A.O. Smith Corporation
Teledyne - McKay, Incorporated
Trinity Industries, Incorporated
Truck Trailer Manufacturers Association
Walker Stainless Equipment Company
Weld Tooling Corporation

Many other organizations have made contributions to support the ongoing program from May 1979 to the present.

List of Tables

1.	Specific definitions for the Steel Fume Categories.....	13
2.	Specific definitions for the Aluminum Fume Categories.....	14
3.	Particle Size Distributions for the Total Fume Sample.....	15
4.	Particle Average Diameters for the Total Fume Sample.....	16
5a-e.	Percent Composition of the Steel Weld Fumes	17
6a-e.	Particle Distribution of the Steel Weld Fumes in Percent by Number	22
7a-e.	Particle Distribution of the Steel Weld Fumes in Percent by Mass.....	27
8a-e.	Average Particle Dimensions for Steel Weld Fumes.....	32
9a-e.	Data for the Aluminum Fume	37
10.	Chemical Analysis of Bulk Welding Fume	39

List of Figures

1a-e.	SEM Photographs of Welding Fumes (20,000X)	41
2a-f.	Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectra of the Bulk Welding Fumes.....	44
3.	Size Distribution Graph for the E6010 Fume	47
4.	Size Distribution Graph for the E5356 Fume	47
5.	Size Distribution Graph for the E7018 Fume	48
6.	Size Distribution Graph for the E70S-3 Fume	48
7.	Size Distribution Graph for the E70T-1 Fume	49
8.	Size Distribution Graph for the E308-16 Fume.....	49
9.	STEM Microanalysis for the E6010 Fume.....	50
10.	STEM Microanalysis for the E7018 Fume.....	51
11.	STEM Microanalysis for the E70S-3 Fume.....	52
12.	STEM Microanalysis for the E70T-1 Fume	53
13.	STEM Microanalysis for the E308-16 Fume	54
14.	STEM Microanalysis for the E5356 Fume.....	55

Abstract

Six welding fumes, representing a variety of welding rods and wires, were analyzed for particle size and chemistry by X-ray diffraction, energy dispersive X-ray analysis, scanning transmission electron microscope, and automated electron beam analysis. Four carbon steel fumes (E6010, E7018, E70S-3, E70T-1), a stainless steel fume (E308-16) and an aluminum fume (E5356) were tested. It was found that particle average diameters are all in the respirable range — between 0.1 and 1.0 μ M. Few individual particles were greater than 1 μ M, but STEM pictures revealed many particles as small as 0.01 μ M. The particles appeared to be spheres or clusters of spheres. Even though no crystalline features were observed, all particles examined produced electron diffraction patterns, indicating that they contained crystalline material. There was no correlation between average diameter and particle chemistry or between average diameter and fume type. An analysis of particle chemistry indicates that the potential toxicity of the fumes does vary appreciably.

Characterization of Arc Welding Fume

I. Introduction

During the process of welding, metal vapors are produced in the electric arc. As these vapors cool and solidify, a fume is formed that may be a potential health hazard to the welder and to others working in the same area. Such fine aerosols are all irritating to the respiratory system. Yet some fumes may potentially be more dangerous than others because of the specific substances present.

The purpose of this study is to provide a data base of chemical, crystallographic, and physical data for representative welding fume types which will aid in the understanding of the interactions of these particles with the human respiratory system. Such interactions are affected by many variables. Therefore, a simple percent weight analysis for various elements does not provide adequate information since individual particle size and chemistry affect toxicity. For example, a few large particles may dominate a percent by weight analysis. However, if these particles were over $10\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ in diameter, they might not reach the lower respiratory system at all, while compounds present in thousands of fine particles would penetrate to the alveoli of the lungs and could be absorbed into the blood. Particle morphology is also significant since particles with sharp edges or fibers are more irritating to the lungs than smooth, sphere-shaped objects. Finally, specific compounds must be identified since such factors as crystallinity, solubility, and oxidation state affect toxicity. Such information may influence the determination of federal standards for occupational exposure.

These objectives were accomplished by using various macro and micro scale techniques. Initially, energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDXA) and X-ray diffraction (XRD)

were used to obtain background information on bulk fume properties. The focus of this work was the analysis of the welding fume on a particle by particle basis. Automated electron beam analysis (SPEC) was used to analyze large numbers of particles, and specially designed computer software sorted the particle data by size and chemistry. Finally, a scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) was used for a manual examination of a smaller number particles for size, chemical composition, and crystallinity. An examination of all of the data available for a fume can then be used to decide whether toxicological testing may be advisable.

II. Sampling Techniques

Two general types of samples were required for the investigation: bulk fume samples which could be used for the analytical techniques requiring large amounts of sample material, and lightly dispersed samples for the techniques which provide analyses of individual particles.

A. Bulk Samples

The bulk samples were collected by AWS in a conical chamber as described in AWS F1.1-79, *Laboratory Method for Measuring Fume Generation Rates and Total Fume Emission of Welding and Allied Processes*. This provides a sample of several grams needed for certain analytical procedures such as X-ray diffraction.

2/CHARACTERIZATION OF ARC WELDING FUME

B. Dispersed Samples

For the analytical techniques which provide data from individual particles of the fume, it is required that the particles be dispersed in such a manner that the individual particles are not contingent so that individual particle data is not altered by adjacent particles. In principle, this can be accomplished in two ways. One method would be to redeposit portions of bulk samples to provide a non-contingent dispersion. This approach, however, has some danger in that there is no absolute assurance that the redeposition process does not break up naturally occurring particle agglomerates, dissolve certain particle types, or lose either large or small particle sizes.

The other approach is to collect the particles in a dispersed state on a suitable substrate directly from the weld fume. The only concern about this approach is that the collection times are necessarily short (a few seconds) and may not accurately represent an 8-hour average.

Three direct sampling techniques were investigated in order to determine a method that would reliably sample representative portions of the various arc welding fumes.

1. Nuclepore Filter Samples

Fume samples were collected by suction (2 liter/min) onto polyester Nuclepore filters (pore size $0.2\text{ }\mu\text{M}$) loaded into standard plastic cassettes. There was some tendency for particles to agglomerate around the pores, but this was not a serious problem if sampling times were kept relatively short and the filter loadings were light. It was most convenient to collect several samples 18 inches above the arc at times such as 1, 3, and 5 seconds in order to bracket the optimum filter loadings needed for the various analytical techniques such as SPEC and STEM.

This technique is a standard method for collection of ambient air particulates analyzed in this laboratory. It also appears to be the best method for collecting fume samples defined for characterization of the individual particles rather than by bulk analysis.

2. Electrostatic Collector

With this technique, the particles were collected on smooth surfaces such as a glass slide or plastic tape using an electrostatic collector and charge neutralizer system. Although this technique reduced the tendency of the particles to agglomerate, the method was discarded because some agglomeration was still observed and because the long sampling tube needed for this instrument may be causing some size and chemical discrimination in particle collection. Further, it was felt that the electrostatic

charge neutralizer in the system might be breaking up naturally-occurring agglomerates and, therefore, altering the actual state of the weld fumes.

3. Sticky Films

Samples were collected simply by holding a glass slide coated with a very thin film of a sticky substance such as Vaseline directly in the fume. This method was also discarded because the smallest particles are not representatively collected due to the air stagnation zone that develops at the surface of the slide.

Therefore, Method 1, the collection of the fume on Nuclepore filters, was selected as the primary sampling technique. The samples that were collected were compatible with the scanning electron microscope and the scanning transmission electron microscope, the instruments needed to measure size and chemistry of the submicron particles that are characteristic of welding fume.

III. Analytical Techniques and Sample Preparation**A. X-ray Diffraction (XRD)**

Portions of the as-received bulk fume samples were loaded into glass trays to provide a smooth, even surface of the material. The trays were then placed in an X-ray diffractometer and exposed to copper K-alpha radiation over the Bragg reflection angle of 6 degrees to 100 degrees using a focusing graphite crystal, diffracted-beam monochromator. The output data from an X-ray diffraction analyses typically consists of peaks of different intensities at various Bragg reflection angles. These peaks result from the unique structures of crystalline materials with definite relationships of the distances and angles between the constituent atoms. Thus, proper indexing of the reflections from an X-ray diffraction pattern will reveal the specific crystalline compounds and phases which are present. It is important to mention that X-ray diffraction will not indicate the presence of amorphous materials or materials with particle sizes less than approximately $0.03\text{ }\mu\text{M}$.

In the present study, the peaks on the patterns were generally relatively sharp indicating that at least portions of the sample materials were fairly well crystallized. The results of the analyses for the six samples are described in the Discussion Section of the report.

B. Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometry (EDXA)

Portions of the bulk samples were mounted on high purity graphite wafers with Duco Cement, coated with 0.02 μM of carbon, and examined in the scanning electron microscope (SEM) using a 24 KV accelerating voltage and a tilt angle of approximately 45 degrees. The microscope is equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray analyzer which detects and semi-quantitatively measures all elements with atomic numbers greater than ten. (The elements not detected by EDXA are: hydrogen, helium, lithium, beryllium, boron, carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, fluorine, and neon. It is sometimes possible to detect fluorine if the concentration is at least 5 percent.) EDXA works by virtue of the fact that the high energy electron beam excites all elements in the sample and causes them to emit characteristic X-rays whose energies are unique to the emitting element. The X-rays are detected and energy-sorted by a solid-state detector, providing a spectral presentation of all elements present in concentrations greater than approximately one-half percent.

Further, the analysis can be confined to specific sample features as small as approximately 1 micron or, as in the present case, scanned over areas as large as approximately 4 mm x 4 mm to provide averages typical of the bulk concentration.

C. Suspended Particulate Evaluation and Classification (SPEC) System

The SPEC system combines three basic analytical instruments to produce its particle-by-particle particulate analysis. An electron beam image analyzer is joined to a scanning electron microscope (SEM) and an energy-dispersive X-ray analyzer (EDXA). The motion of the electron beam is digitized, allowing the position of the beam to be completely controlled by a mini computer. Using contrast variations resulting from differences in chemistries between the particles and the substrate, a description of particle size, shape, and chemistry can be rapidly generated and stored in a computer for the subsequent retrieval.

The fume samples were prepared for analysis by cutting a segment of approximately one square centimeter from the middle of the Nuclepore filter. These were mounted on an aluminum SEM stub using an amorphous graphite solution, then coated with approximately 0.02 μM of carbon by exposure to a carbon arc in vacuum. At least 1000 particles were analyzed for each fume type. Particle density on the filters ranged from 10^5 to 10^7 particles per square centimeter. Because of the random distribution of the fume particles, the analytical results

are equivalent to those that would be obtained if all of the particles on the filter were analyzed.

The basic operational steps of the SPEC system are as follows:

- Generation of a search grid system
- Detection of particles intersecting the search grid system
- Size and shape analysis of particles
- Chemical analysis of particles
- Data reduction and particle type classification.

1. Generation of a Search Grid System

A digital scan generator is used to convert the normal SEM beam scanning motion of a stepping motion with regular intervals. The spacing between grid points is chosen in such a manner as to intersect a representative fraction of the particles on the SEM viewing screen. For this study, a grid size was selected such that all particles greater than 0.07 μM diameter would be detected 100 percent of the time. The grid size was chosen after examination of high magnification SEM and STEM pictures. Particles as small as 0.01 μM were observed, but the vast majority were 0.1 μM or larger. Subsequent analysis of particle size distribution graphs for the six fumes tested in this study indicated that particulates smaller than 0.07 μM constituted 0.1 to 1 percent by weight and 1 to 25 percent by number. After the grid is defined, the computer instructs the electron beam to pause at each grid point while a particle detection is performed.

2. Detection of Particles Intersecting the Search Grid System

The particles are detected on the grid points by monitoring a backscattered electron signal. A signal above an adjustable threshold value indicates that the beam is on a particle. If the signal is below the threshold, the computer selects the next coordinate of the grid.

3. Size and Shape Analysis of Particles

After a particle is located, a subroutine is used to drive the beam in a preset pattern to determine the particle size and shape. The preset pattern consists of eight diagonals, each of which is terminated when a grid point is monitored and found to be off the particle. The pattern is repeated twice — once to locate the particle and once to determine the lengths of the diagonals through its centroid. The minimum diagonal becomes the particle Width. The maximum diagonal becomes the particle Length. Its Average Diameter is the arithmetic average of the eight diagonals. Finally, the data is stored and the beam is positioned to chemically analyze the particle.

4/CHARACTERIZATION OF ARC WELDING FUME

4. Chemical Analysis of Particles

The chemical analysis of a particle is performed by a computer command which positions the electron beam at the measured centroid of the particle for a preset time, usually one or two seconds. The electron beam excites X-rays characteristic of all the elements present in the particle. All elements present in the particle above atomic number ten (neon) in the periodic table are detected simultaneously. Their signal levels are stored in the memory of the computer for subsequent retrieval. For this study, the following 18 elements were monitored: sodium, magnesium, aluminum, silicon, phosphorus, sulfur, chlorine, potassium, calcium, titanium, vanadium, chromium, manganese, iron, copper, zinc, bromine, and lead. Unfortunately, this original list of elements did not contain nickel, an element present in stainless steel. Therefore, the one stainless steel fume was reanalyzed using a 19-element file that monitored nickel.

5. Data Reduction and Particle Type Classification

Typically, a thousand particles per sample are analyzed. Additional information other than linear dimensions is derived by calculating the volume and surface area. Each particle is assumed to be a prolate spheroid with the Length as the major axis and the Width as the minor axis.

The volume equation is:

$$V = \frac{4}{3} \pi a b^2$$

where: $a = 1/2$ Length

$b = 1/2$ Width

The surface area equation is:

$$S = 2\pi [b^2 + ab/e \sin^{-1}e]$$

where: e , Eccentricity, $= [1 - (b^2/a^2)]^{1/2}$

Next, the particles are separated into different types based on present chemistries derived from the X-ray spectra.

Two new chemistry definition files have been developed for the present study — one for the ferrous base fumes containing thirty nine particle categories, the other for the aluminum base fume containing twenty one particle categories. These categories are expressed in elemental form, and not as compounds. EDXA identifies only elements with atomic number greater than ten. Therefore, low atomic number elements (e.g., oxygen, fluorine) are not mentioned in the chemical categories since they cannot be observed. It is to be understood, however, that the elements mentioned in a particular category are virtually always present as complex oxides and not as metals. In addition, fluorides may be present in certain fumes such

as E7018 and E308-16, where fluorides were used as fluxes in the original welding consumable.

The ferrous base fume categories are:

- (1) Carbon/Organic
- (2) Iron
- (3) Iron with Low Silicon
- (4) Iron with High Silicon
- (5) Iron-Manganese
- (6) Iron-Chromium
- (7) Iron-Chromium-Manganese
- (8) Iron-Copper
- (9) Iron-Vanadium
- (10) Iron-Zinc
- (11) Iron-Titanium
- (12) Iron-Aluminum
- (13) Iron-Sulfur
- (14) Iron-Chlorine
- (15) Potassium-Chromium
- (16) Potassium-Iron
- (17) Potassium-Chromium-Iron
- (18) Calcium-Iron
- (19) Potassium-Calcium-Iron
- (20) Potassium-Manganese
- (21) Potassium-Titanium
- (22) Calcium-Chromium
- (23) Calcium-Manganese
- (24) Calcium-Titanium
- (25) Potassium-Calcium
- (26) Potassium-Rich
- (27) Calcium-Rich
- (28) Lead-Rich
- (29) Chromium-Rich
- (30) Manganese-Rich
- (31) Copper-Rich
- (32) Titanium-Rich
- (33) Vanadium-Rich
- (34) Iron-Rich
- (35) Sodium-Rich
- (36) Magnesium-Rich
- (37) Aluminum-Rich
- (38) Silicon-Rich
- (39) Miscellaneous

The aluminum base fume categories are:

- (1) Carbon/Organic
- (2) Aluminum
- (3) Aluminum-Copper
- (4) Aluminum-Magnesium
- (5) Aluminum-Iron
- (6) Aluminum-Silicon
- (7) Aluminum-Chromium
- (8) Aluminum-Titanium
- (9) Aluminum-Vanadium
- (10) Aluminum-Sulfur

- (11) Aluminum-Chlorine
- (12) Aluminum-Potassium
- (13) Aluminum-Calcium
- (14) Aluminum-Manganese
- (15) Copper-Rich
- (16) Chromium-Rich
- (17) Manganese-Rich
- (18) Iron-Rich
- (19) Magnesium-Rich
- (20) Aluminum-Rich
- (21) Miscellaneous

Category definitions can range from very specific to very general. For example, a particle identified as *Iron* shows an iron peak with a relative X-ray intensity of at least 85 percent. It is to be understood that the iron is present as an oxide. Other elements are present only in trace amounts. For the "Iron with Low Silicon" category, up to 50 percent may come from silicon with the rest from iron. Other elements are present only in trace amounts. For "Iron with High Silicon", silicon produces 50 percent or more of the X-rays. Again, these are complex mixtures of iron oxides and silicon oxides. For categories such as "Iron-Manganese" or "Iron-Titanium", the elements mentioned may be present in any proportion. These categories also allow for aluminum and silicon to be present. Specific definitions were developed when an analysis of the particle-by-particle printout showed that these species were present in relatively large numbers. Other particles are collected into more generally defined categories such as "Chromium-Rich" or "Copper-Rich". The element mentioned will produce at least 25 percent of the X-rays, but particles such as Copper-Phosphorus, Copper-Manganese, and Copper-Silicon will all be collected into the same Copper-Rich category.

The Carbon/Organic category collects particles composed of elements of atomic numbers less than ten, primary carbonaceous material, and the final Miscellaneous category collects those which do not fit into any previous category. After the particles are sorted by chemical category, the program completes its work by calculating size distributions for each particle type and for the whole sample.

The specific definitions of the categories will be found in Tables 1 and 2.

In addition, the data was re-analyzed to investigate the distribution of specific elements: copper, chromium, lead, and manganese. Only three simple categories were used: High, with the element producing over 50 percent of the X-rays; Medium, 25-50 percent; and Low, 1-24 percent. The Miscellaneous category then collected all of the particles that did not contain that element.

D. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) and Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy (STEM)

Samples for the TEM and STEM were prepared by overlaying a portion of the Nuclepore collection filter with approximately $0.02 \mu\text{M}$ of carbon by evaporation. The fume particles were retained in the carbon film while the filter substrate was dissolved in chloroform. The carbon film was transferred to a standard 300 mesh copper TEM grid. The grids were washed in chloroform before use. The grid and sample were washed again to insure complete removal of the filter media. The STEM was used to obtain electron diffraction and EDXA data.

The prepared grids of each fume were examined in a scanning transmission electron microscope operating at 120 kV. The microscope was equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray analyzer.

STEM analysis was undertaken because it can obtain morphological, chemical and crystallographic information from the same region. While it thus has a great potential for this type of problem, it is inherently more time-consuming than the SPEC analysis, and it was realized that it could not be compared statistically with the SPEC data. The approach chosen, therefore, was to perform analyses on 5-10 particles per fume to determine if the chemistries detected by SPEC could be directly related to the crystal structures determined by XRD. The identification of electron diffraction patterns is, in many cases, more ambiguous than X-ray patterns since only a single slice of reciprocal space is obtained in a single pattern. For this reason, the crystal structures were not determined directly from the electron diffraction data. Instead, the electron diffraction patterns were indexed against the structures observed by XRD.

Of the several modes of electron diffraction available in the STEM, convergent beam diffraction in the TEM mode was chosen. In this technique, the electron beam is focused down to a spot about $0.1 \mu\text{M}$ in diameter which is then placed on the particle to be analyzed. In this way, the electron diffraction pattern and the X-ray spectrum of the particle were recorded simultaneously. The X-ray spectrum records the elements present. The diffraction pattern determines the crystal structure and interatomic distances. Particular compounds are identified by indexing this data against values for known substances. While smaller spot sizes are attainable in this type of instrument, they were not used here for two reasons. First, they produce a high contamination rate on the specimen which leads to a rapid deterioration of the diffraction pattern and second, the angle of view in the diffraction pattern is somewhat restricted.

The particles analyzed in each fume were about $0.1 \mu\text{M}$

6/CHARACTERIZATION OF ARC WELDING FUME

in diameter or larger. The particles were chosen to encompass the range of morphologies observed. Since the diffraction data was important, many particles of each type were often examined before one with a diffraction pattern suitable for indexing was found. Then the X-ray spectrum was taken and the particle's image recorded. In some cases no satisfactory diffraction patterns could be obtained for any of the particles in a given morphological class. This occurred both for particles that were too small (so that diffraction patterns showed spots from too many individual particles) and too thick (so that the transmitted intensity was too weak or non-existent).

Because of the relatively complex structure of some of the phases, the indexing of the electron diffraction patterns was performed with the aid of a computer program.

E. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS)

During the analysis of size and chemistry by the SPEC system, a portion of the particles could not be classified by chemical composition because they yielded an X-ray count below the threshold limit. This could result from a very small particle that did not produce enough X-rays to trigger the detector or from a particle containing carbon compounds that would not be detected by EDXA.

Since carbon combustion products can be carcinogenic, a brief study was undertaken to eliminate the possibility of these substances existing in the fume. 0.5-gram samples of two of the bulk fumes (E7018 and E70S-3) were extracted with 20 ml of dichloromethane for 8 hours using a Soxhlet extractor. The solvent was concentrated to one milliliter, and a portion of this concentrate was analyzed by GC-MS. No organic compounds were observed. Thus, it is more likely that the unclassified particles are simply too small for a good EDXA analysis. [AWS comment: Organics would not be expected to be present because of composition when exposed to arc temperatures that can exceed 6000°K.]

IV. Results and Discussion

The fumes from six different types of welding rods and wires have been analyzed to determine the size and shape of the particles and the crystalline phases present. These included low carbon steel solid, coated, and flux cored rods and wires (E6010, E7018, E70S-3, E70T-1), a stainless steel coated rod (E308-16), and an aluminum wire (E5356). The properties of the bulk fumes were analyzed by EDXA and X-ray diffraction. Then, particles collected on Nuclepore filters were analyzed for their in-

dividual size and chemistry. Data for approximately 1000 particles were stored on floppy disc and size distributions by number, by surface area, and by mass were calculated. Finally, selected particles were examined by electron diffraction using STEM analysis.

In the following sections, the data from the SPEC system are compared with other properties, such as the EDXA spectra and crystalline compound/phase constituents. SEM photographs of the fumes are shown in Figs. 1a through 1f, EDXA spectra in Figs. 2a through 2f. Table 3 lists the size distributions for the total fume sample from the SPEC analysis by number, by surface area, and by mass. Table 4 shows the average particle diameters for the total fume sample. Values for percent composition by category are given in Tables 5a through 5e for the steel fumes. Tables 6a through 6e list size distributions by number, and Tables 7a through 7e list size distributions by mass for the steel fumes. Average values for diameter, volume, and surface area by category are given in Tables 8a through 8e for the steel fumes. Data for the aluminum fume given in Tables 9a through 9d. The composition of these fumes by standard wet chemical analysis techniques is given in Table 10.

A. Particle Size

The particle size distributions were similar for all six fumes and can be discussed together. (Graphs of the size distributions by number, by surface area, and by mass are given in Figs. 3-8.)

The particles were all very small. Less than 1 percent of the particles were larger than $1\mu\text{M}$ (see Figs. 9-14). The largest particle observed was $2.8\mu\text{M}$. STEM pictures showed that particles as small as $0.01\mu\text{M}$ exist. A study done by the Task Group on Lung Dynamics in 1966 indicated that particles approximately 5 to $10\mu\text{M}$ or smaller are respirable. The exact point varies with the individual. Thus, all of the fume particles observed were in the respirable range.

The size distributions for the six fumes tested were all very similar. There seems to be little variation with the type of welding consumable or with individual particle chemistry.

For example: Number Average Diameter 0.14-0.33 μM
Area Average Diameter 0.21-0.41
Volume Average Diameter 0.34-0.64

There is little variation in average diameter among the chemical categories within a given fume.

For example: E6010 0.09-0.54 μM
E70S-3 0.06-0.30
E308-16 0.06-0.27

The reverse is also true. There is little variation among the different fumes for a given chemical category.

For example: Fe 0.14-0.17 μM
 Fe-Mn 0.13-0.20
 K-Fe 0.11-0.18

None of these size variations would greatly influence the amount of each type of material that reaches the lower respiratory system.

The particles from all six fume types seem to obey the log normal distribution. This can be seen from the straight lines produced when the values for cumulative percent composition (for particles less than 1 μM) are plotted against the log of the particle diameter on probability graph paper. (See Figs. 3 through 8 and Tables 3 and 4.) Values for the geometric mean diameters are located at the points where the lines intersect 50 percent. For these graphs, 1 μM was used as an arbitrary upper limit. Ninety nine percent of the particles are less than 1 μM in diameter. There are so few particles greater than 1 μM that average diameters derived from this data would not be statistically significant.

B. Particle Chemistry

The chemical composition of the fumes was evaluated by three methods: X-ray diffraction of the bulk fume, STEM analysis of a few individual particles, and SPEC analysis of a large number of particles. A wet chemical analysis was also provided by the AWS. These particles appeared as spheres or as chains or clusters of spheres. Some appeared to be just touching, attracted by static electricity or by magnetic forces. Others appeared to have fused at high temperatures. Even though no crystal planes were evident, particles examined by STEM produced electron diffraction patterns, indicating that crystalline material was present. Some of the particles might even be single crystals.

1. E6010

The wet chemical analysis showed 46.0 percent iron, 4.0 percent manganese, 6.3 percent sodium, 7.5 percent silicon, and 0.2 percent titanium. Analysis of the elements present in the bulk sample by EDXA showed a large amount of iron, intermediate amounts of silicon and manganese, and a trace amount of titanium. XRD of the bulk sample showed that the major crystalline phase was magnetite (Fe_3O_4).

The SPEC system was used to analyze approximately 1000 individual particles by chemistry and by size. The mean diameter (by number) for all particles was $0.192 \pm 0.146 \mu\text{M}$. The main categories identified by the computer search are shown in the following table.

E6010 Composition by Category						
Category	Percent by Number	Average Diameter	Composition (Volume Weighted X-ray %)			
3. Fe/Low Si	31%	0.188 μM	Si(36)	Mn(4)	Fe(56)	
4. Fe/High Si	10	0.245	Al(21)	Si(46)	K(9)	Fe(21)
5. Fe-Mn	16	0.202	Si(36)	Mn(14)	Fe(44)	
18. Ca-Fe	8	0.169	Si(37)	Ca(13)	Fe(43)	

Chromium is present at low concentrations in 7 percent of the particles. The chromium seems to replace iron in the various types of iron-silicon particles. Copper is present at low concentrations in 3 percent of the particles and it is also found in iron-silicon mixtures. Lead is present at low concentrations in only 2 percent of the particles containing aluminum, silicon, potassium, and iron. The behavior of manganese is similar to chromium and copper. Thirty percent of the particles contain some manganese in mixtures of iron and silicon. Only 0.5 percent of the particles contain intermediate amounts of manganese. These are also iron-silicon-manganese mixtures, and pure manganese oxides is unlikely to exist. (Details of the other particle categories are given in Tables 5a, 6a, 7a, and 8a.)

STEM analysis showed particles that were predominantly iron with varying amounts of manganese and silicon. All of the electron diffraction patterns were indexable as $(\text{Fe,Mn})_3\text{O}_4$.

Only 3 percent of the particles were categorized as "Iron," probably as Fe_3O_4 . Nearly half of the particles were iron-manganese oxides listed either as Fe/Low Si" or "Fe-Mn". A pure manganese oxide is unlikely to exist since no particles with a very high manganese concentration were observed. Even though most particles contained some silicon, no crystalline silica or transition metal silicates could be detected. Particles analyzed by STEM contained iron with varying smaller amounts of manganese and silicon. (See Fig. 9.) However, all of the electron diffraction patterns are similar, indicating a mixed iron-manganese oxide $(\text{Fe,Mn})_3\text{O}_4$. Silicon must be present either as an amorphous oxide or in solid solution in the magnetite lattice. The water glass binder of the electrode contains sodium and perhaps potassium oxides and silicon dioxide. Other silicates and ferro-silicon are also present. These and all other materials in the electrode are partially vaporized by the arc and interact to form new compounds as the fume condenses. Iron compounds appear to crystallize, but the silicon compounds do not. Thus, a particle in the "Fe/High Si" category is probably a magnetite sphere either coated with condensed amorphous silicate or fused to another sphere which is primarily amorphous silicate. Particles in the "Ca-Fe" category are mixtures of magnetite and binder containing a calcium im-

8/CHARACTERIZATION OF ARC WELDING FUME

purity. Although sodium is known to be present in the binder, it does not appear in any SPEC chemical category. Elements are detected by EDXA in the SPEC system. Sodium can be detected, but the sensitivity is low. When X-rays from very small particles are counted for short times (1 second), the likelihood for observing Na above background noise is not very good.

2. E7018

The wet chemical analysis showed 0.9 percent aluminum, 20.6 percent calcium, 19.5 percent fluorine, 24.6 percent iron, 12.5 percent potassium, 4.6 percent manganese, 3.3 percent sodium, and 2.8 percent silicon. Analysis of the elements present in the bulk sample by EDXA showed major amounts of potassium, calcium and iron, intermediate amounts of silicon and manganese, and trace amounts of aluminum, sulfur, and chlorine. XRD analysis of the bulk sample showed that the major crystalline phase is potassium-calcium fluoride, $\text{KF} \cdot \text{CaF}_2$. Intermediate phases include magnetite, Fe_3O_4 , calcium fluoride, CaF_2 , and jacobite, MnFe_2O_4 . It should be mentioned again that the element fluorine, although prominent in the crystal phases, is very difficult to detect by EDXA.

The SPEC system was used to analyze approximately 1000 particles by chemistry and by size. The average diameter (by number) for all particles was $0.182 \pm 0.117 \mu\text{M}$. The categories identified by computer search are shown below.

E7018 Composition by Category				
Category	Percent by Number	Average Diameter	Composition (Volume Weighted X-ray %)	
16. K-Fe	14%	$0.179 \mu\text{M}$	Si(18)	K(36) Fe(28)
18. Ca-Fe	15	0.158	Al(7) Si(17)	Ca(25) Fe(37)
19. K-Ca-Fe	48	0.188	Al(4) Si(10) K(28)	Ca(22) Fe(23)

Chromium, copper, and manganese are present in the particles in low concentrations in 8, 8, and 23 percent, respectively. These elements are found in mixtures of the particles classified in the three main categories. In a typical particle, for example, X-ray percentage may be either 35 Ca, 14 Cr, and 51 Fe, or 22 Si, 15 K, 14 Ca, 21 Mn, and 27 Fe. Lead is found in low concentrations in only 5 percent of the particles in mixtures similar to those described above. (Details of the other particle categories are given in Tables 5b, 6b, 7b, and 8b.)

The STEM analysis of this fume was made difficult by the irregularities of the particles. They were either too thick to obtain diffraction patterns or, where thin enough, appeared to be multi-crystalline giving diffraction patterns too irregular to be indexable. Occasionally, particles could be found that showed only an Fe peak (see Fig. 10). These

patterns were again consistent with Fe_3O_4 .

The particles in this fume are mixtures of potassium/calcium fluorides and iron/manganese oxides. Fe_3O_4 and MnFe_2O_4 are observed and probably non-stoichiometric oxides of iron and manganese are present as well. No pure manganese oxide is likely since particles with a very high Mn concentration are not found. No crystalline silicon compounds are observed, and the behavior of silicon is similar to that discussed for the E6010 fume.

3. E70S-3

The wet chemical analysis showed 0.4 percent copper, 57.0 percent iron, 7.8 percent manganese, and 3.3 percent silicon. Analysis of the elements present in the bulk fume by EDXA showed the elements iron and minor amounts of silicon and manganese. XRD analysis of the bulk sample showed that the major crystalline phase was magnetite, Fe_3O_4 . XRD also indicated a trace of metallic iron.

The SPEC system was used to analyze approximately 1000 particles by chemistry and by size. The average diameter (by number) for all particles was $0.135 \pm 0.114 \mu\text{M}$. The main categories identified by the computer search are shown below.

E70S-3 Composition by Category				
Category	Percent by Number	Average Diameter	Composition (Volume Weighted X-ray %)	
2. Fe	9%	$0.153 \mu\text{M}$	Si(7)	Fe(90)
3. Fe/Low Si	14	0.173	Si(10)	Mn(6) Fe(76)
5. Fe-Mn	17	0.129	Si(12)	S(4) Mn(13) Fe(62)
6. Fe-Cr	5	0.129	Si(16)	Cr(10) Fe(53) Zn(5)
12. Fe-Al	6	0.103	Al(18)	Fe(69)
16. K-Fe	6	0.111	Si(6)	K(16) Mn(6) Fe(58)
18. Ca-Fe	10	0.118	Al(7) Si(13)	Ca(15) Mn(5) Fe(48)
34. Fe-Rich	8	0.148	Al(4)	Si(5) K(6) Fe(71)

Copper, chromium, and lead are found in low concentrations in 8, 10, and 7 percent of the particles, respectively. Once again, these trace elements are not found as a small number of particles of the pure oxide, but rather spread in small amounts through a large number of particles. For example, in the bulk fume 0.4 percent by weight is copper (see Table 8). Yet copper is found in 8.5 percent of the particles which make up 13.3 percent by weight of the fume sample analyzed by SPEC. Manganese comprises 7.8 percent by weight of the bulk fume, but 25 percent of the particles contain manganese at low concentrations in mixtures of iron, manganese, and silicon. An additional 3 percent contain intermediate amounts of manganese in particles of similar chemistry. It is unlikely that pure oxides of manganese are present. (Details of the other particle types are given in Tables 5c, 6c, 7c, and 8c.)

STEM analysis showed particles containing iron with 6-12 percent manganese and 3-7 percent silicon. The crystal structure of all of the particles was the same (space group FD3M) and could be indexed as $(\text{Fe}, \text{Mn})_3\text{O}_4$. (See Fig. 11.)

This fume is quite similar to the E6010. Most of the iron exists as Fe_3O_4 . Other transition metals easily substitute for iron in the magnetite lattice. Manganese is most commonly observed in these substitutions since its concentrations are the highest. Manganese constitutes 8 percent by weight of this fume, but no individual particles with a very high Mn concentration were found, indicating that a pure Mn oxide is unlikely. Other transition metals, present at even lower concentrations, are also not likely to be found as the pure oxide. For example, copper was found in low concentrations in 8 percent of the particles even though it made up only 0.4 percent by weight of the fume. Copper then exists as a very dilute $(\text{Fe}, \text{Cu}) \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ solid solution. The XRD data also showed a weak peak that resulted from the presence of traces of metallic iron. This is the only fume in which the metallic form of an element was observed.

In the original electrode, silicon was present in the steel, not as an amorphous water glass binder. Nevertheless, no crystalline silica or silicates were observed. Silicon appears to become trapped in the rapidly condensing magnetite fume, possibly substituting for iron at random sites. It is also possible that amorphous silicates are present which cannot be detected by the methods used in this study.

4. E70T-1

The wet chemical analysis showed 38.1 percent iron, 0.3 percent potassium, 11.1 percent manganese, 8.9 percent sodium, 5.1 percent silicon, and 0.8 percent titanium. Analysis of the elements present in the bulk fume by EDXA showed iron and manganese, an intermediate amount of silicon, and trace amounts of sodium and titanium. XRD analysis of the bulk fume showed that the major crystalline phase was jacobite, MnFe_2O_4 with an intermediate amount of magnetite, Fe_3O_4 .

The SPEC system was used to analyze approximately 1000 particles by chemistry and by size. The average diameter (by number) was $0.163 \pm 0.126 \mu\text{M}$. The main categories identified by the computer search are shown below.

E70T-1 Composition by Category				
Category	Percent by Number	Average Diameter	Composition (Volume Weighted X-ray %)	
2. Fe	14%	0.140 μM	Fe(99)	
3. Fe/Low Si	25	0.160	Si(25) Fe(72)	
5. Fe-Mn	37	0.178	Si(8) Ti(11) Mn(19) Fe(59)	

Manganese is present in just over half of the particles. Thirty two percent contain low amounts of manganese and are similar to the "Fe-Mn" particles described above. 18 percent contain intermediate amounts of silicon, iron, and manganese. One percent of the particles contain over 50 percent manganese. Half of these particles are mixtures of iron and manganese, or iron, manganese, and silicon. The others seem to be a mixture of manganese and silicon. These may be a manganese silicate or a manganese oxide covered with an amorphous silica layer. However, the small number of these particles makes their exact identification impossible by techniques such as XRD or STEM.

Copper, chromium, and lead are present in low concentrations in 1, 2, and 0.5 percent of the particles, respectively. Particles with higher concentrations of these elements are present in less than 0.5 percent of the particles. They are, in general, included in mixtures of iron, manganese, and silicon. (Details of other particle types are given in Tables 5d, 6d, 7d, and 8d.)

STEM analysis showed particles containing iron with 6-12 percent manganese. Only two particles contained silicon (3-4 percent). The crystal structure of all of the particles was the same (space group FD3M) and could be indexed as $(\text{Fe}, \text{Mn})_3\text{O}_4$. (See Fig. 12.)

In fumes in which the manganese to iron ratio is low, no particles with a high manganese concentration are observed. On the other hand, if the manganese to iron ratio is high enough, manganese oxides may form. In the E70T-1 fume the Mn/Fe ratio is 1/3.5 by wet chemical analysis. One percent of the particles contained manganese in large amounts (>50 X-ray percent). Since the other oxides present (Fe_3O_4 , MnFe_2O_4) have the X_3O_4 stoichiometry, Mn_3O_4 may be present, but in concentrations too small to be observed by XRD. No crystalline silicates were observed. Silicon is present either as an amorphous silicate or in solid solution in the metal oxides.

5. E308-16

The wet chemical analysis showed 5.6 percent chromium, 16.8 percent fluorine, 10.8 percent iron, 18.9 percent potassium, 6.2 percent manganese, 10.4 percent sodium, 0.75 percent nickel, and 4.9 percent silicon. Analysis of the elements present in the bulk fume by EDXA showed silicon, potassium, chromium, manganese, and iron with trace of fluorine, sodium, and titanium. XRD analysis of the bulk fume showed mixed oxides of manganese and iron $(\text{Fe}, \text{Mn})_3\text{O}_4$ and MnFe_2O_4 , jacobite. Transition metals were also observed as potassium salts, potassium chromate, K_2CrO_4 , and potassium ferrate, K_2FeO_6 . Villiumite, NaF, was present in intermediate amounts.

The SPEC system was used to analyze approximately

10/CHARACTERIZATION OF ARC WELDING FUME

1000 particles by chemistry and by size. The average diameter (by number) was $0.160 \pm 0.090 \mu\text{M}$. The main categories identified by computer search are shown below.

E308-16 Composition by Category

Category	Percent by Number	Average Diameter	Composition (Volume Weighted X-ray %)
5. Fe-Mn	5%	0.166 μM	Si(32) Ti(5) Mn(26) Fe(26)
15. K-Cr	5	0.155	Al(6) Si(19) K(31) Cr(17) Mn(9)
16. K-Fe	18	0.169	Si(22) K(27) Mn(10) Fe(22)
17. K-Cr-Fe	5	0.176	Si(14) K(28) Ti(10) Cr(24) Fe(21)
18. Ca-Fe	7	0.144	Si(24) Ca(18) Mn(12) Fe(23)
19. K-Ca-Fe	7	0.159	Si(25) K(20) Ca(15) Mn(6) Fe(17)
20. K-Mn	8	0.161	Al(6) Si(25) K(29) Mn(22)
26. K-Rich	7	0.174	Si(26) Cl(5) K(50) Ca(5)
38. Si-Rich	6	0.161	Si(56) S(8) K(8)

Copper is present in low concentrations (12 X-ray percent) in 7 percent of the particles and in medium concentrations (28 X-ray percent) in 1 percent of the particles. These are primarily K-Cu or K-Fe-Mn-Cu particles. Lead is present in low concentrations in 6 percent of the particles. These are composed of K, Fe, Mn, and Pb. Only 1 percent contained mixtures of chromium and lead (together with iron and manganese) that might be present as lead chromate. Chromium is present in low concentrations in 16 percent of the particles and in medium concentrations in 5 percent of the particles. These appear to be K_2CrO_4 or mixtures of K_2CrO_4 and K_2FeO_4 . In addition, slightly less than 1 percent of the particles contained nearly pure chromium oxide. Manganese was present in 40 percent of the particles. Thirty percent contain low amounts of manganese usually in particles which would be categorized as "K-Fe" or "K-Cr." Nine percent contained intermediate amounts of manganese, usually as "K-Mn" and "Fe-Mn" particles. One percent of the particles contained high concentrations of manganese. Some of these particles are mixtures of metal oxides (Mn with Fe, V, Cu). However, in this fume, particles of pure manganese oxide are observed in very small numbers (0.4 percent). Once again the very small numbers of these particles make their location and identification very difficult. (Details of the other particle categories are given in Tables 3e, 4e, 5e, and 6e.)

Since nickel was not among the elements in the original analysis, the stainless steel fume was reanalyzed to look for nickel-bearing particles. Nickel was observed in low concentrations in 2 percent of the particles. Like copper at low concentrations, nickel seems to be substituting for the more abundant transition metals in Fe_3O_4 or $\text{K}_2(\text{CrFe})\text{O}_4$.

The STEM data for this fume differed from those discussed previously because of the elemental complexity of the particles analyzed. For example, Fig. 13 shows a particle high in Cr, Ca, and S with smaller amounts of

Fe, Mn, K, and Na. In no case was nickel detected. No identifiable diffraction patterns could be obtained for any of these particles.

The E308-16 fume was the most complex examined. Fluorine was observed (XRD) only as NaF, although it is not impossible that other alkali fluorides are present. The "K-Rich" category is probably KF. Iron and manganese are again observed as $(\text{Fe,Mn})_3\text{O}_4$. The Mn/Fe ratio is only one-half by wet chemical analysis. One percent of the particles contained high manganese concentrations and Mn_3O_4 may be present. The nickel in the stainless steel fume, like copper in the E70S-3 fume, is present only in low concentrations, probably as $(\text{Fe,Ni})\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$. Chromium exists as a $\text{K}_2(\text{Fe,Cr})\text{O}_4$ solid solution. Manganese is probably also present in this solution. Some KMnO_4 may also exist since a few percent of the particles are categorized as "K-Mn", although other explanations are possible. The Cr/Fe ratio is also one-half. One percent of the particles contained Cr in high concentrations (>50 X-ray percent). These may well be a chromium oxide, although the concentration was too small to be observed by XRD. Another one percent of the particles contained mixtures of Pb and Cr and may be PbCrO_4 . Once again no crystalline silicates are observed.

6. E5356

The wet chemical analysis showed 45.0 percent aluminum, 0.5 percent copper, 0.2 percent iron, and 5.4 percent magnesium. EDXA analysis of the elements present in the bulk sample showed a large amount of aluminum with trace amounts of iron and copper. The XRD data from the bulk sample showed that the major crystalline phase was α -alumina (Al_2O_3). Smaller amounts of magnetite (Fe_3O_4) and $(\text{Cu,Fe})\text{Al}_2\text{O}_4$ were present.

The SPEC system was used to analyze approximately 1000 individual particles by chemistry and by size. The average diameter (by number) for all particles is $0.328 \pm 0.201 \mu\text{M}$. The main categories identified by the computer search are shown below.

E5356 Composition by Category

Category	Percent by Number	Average Diameter	Composition (Volume Weighted X-ray %)
2. Al	86	0.327 μM	Al(99)
3. Al-Cu	7	0.318	Al(65) Cu(35)

Chromium, manganese, and lead are not present in this fume. Copper is present in 8 percent of the particles although it is present only 0.5 percent by weight (Table 10). However, the distribution of copper contrasts con-

siderably with that observed in the steel fumes. In the steel fumes, copper is present at low concentrations, presumably substituting for iron in the crystal lattice. In the E5356 fume, only 1 percent of the particles had a low Cu concentration (20 X-ray percent). Five percent had a medium copper concentration (32 X-ray percent) and 2 percent had a high Cu concentration (64 X-ray percent). At least half of these particles contained nearly pure copper, probably as the oxide.

STEM analysis of this fume showed all of the particles to be Al_2O_3 without any detectable Mg. A typical spectrum is shown in Fig. 14 which has been expanded along the horizontal axis so that the shape of the aluminum K-alpha peak can be clearly seen. The K-alpha marker for magnesium is also shown in this Figure to indicate where magnesium would appear if present.

Only one aluminum fume was examined. Most of the fume (80 percent by weight) was α -alumina. Copper was present as an aluminate $(\text{Cu,Fe})\text{Al}_2\text{O}_4$. Two percent of the particles contained Cu in high concentrations and may contain a copper oxide although this could not be detected by XRD. The magnesium present in this fume is not well characterized. No Mg compounds were observed by XRD or STEM. Few particles containing magnesium were identified by the SPEC system. In EDXA, the signal from magnesium at 1.25 KeV lies next to that from aluminum at 1.49 KeV. The strong peak from aluminum may be masking the magnesium signal. A second explanation is also possible. The sensitivity of EDXA for sodium and magnesium is low. (See the discussion of sodium in Section 1.) The small particle size and short counting times make the observation of these elements difficult. However, using new software developed since these fumes were analyzed, it may be possible to improve the ability to detect these two elements. Most of the Mg containing particles found in the SPEC analysis were Mg/Al combinations and may be a mixed oxide such as MgAl_2O_4 .

V. SUMMARY

The welding fumes were composed of very fine particles with a log normal size distribution and average diameters in the easily respirable range of 0.1 to $1.0\mu\text{M}$.

Average particle size does not vary greatly among the fumes examined.

The particles appeared as individual spheres or as clusters of spheres that have been fused at high temperatures. The planes and angles that characterize crystalline material that has cooled slowly were absent in these particles. Nevertheless, much crystalline material was present. Every particle examined by STEM produced an electron diffraction pattern. Some patterns indicated that the particle was a single crystal. Others showed the complex overlapping patterns characteristic of the presence of several crystals within a single particle.

For the ferrous fumes, particle chemistry was dependent on the iron content of the fume. When large amounts of iron are present, the main crystalline phase is magnetite and other transition metals exist as $(\text{Fe,X})_3\text{O}_4$. Only when the iron concentration is relatively low, is there a possibility that pure oxides of other transition metals are present. Other oxidation states for iron may then be present also, such as the $\text{K}(\text{Fe,Cr})\text{O}_4$ found in the stainless steel fume.

If fluorides were present in the original consumable, then sodium, potassium, and calcium fluorides were found in the fume. No transition metal fluorides were detected.

Crystalline silica and transition metal silicates were also not observed in the fume. The silicon either formed an amorphous silica or was in solid solution with the iron oxide.

Only one non-ferrous electrode was treated, an aluminum wire. The major fraction of this fume was alumina, Al_2O_3 . Other elements present in small concentrations in the starting material reacted to form aluminates, XAl_2O_4 .

This study has shown that automated electron beam image and chemistry analysis (SPEC) used with bulk X-ray diffraction and micro electron diffraction (STEM) techniques is an effective method for screening welding fumes for potential health hazards. The composition of the fumes from several different ferrous electrodes was similar. Therefore, a single list of chemical categories for the SPEC system can be tailored to identify particle types in a wide variety of fumes. A detailed analysis of all of the data can then be used to describe the behavior of all of the elements in the fume, even those present at low concentrations.

Table 1
Specific definitions for the steel fume categories

Category	Relative X-ray %	Category	Relative X-ray %
1. C/Organic	No Elements over Threshold	12. Fe-Al	Al 5-75
2. Fe	Al 0-15		Si 0-75
	Si 0-15		Fe 15-85
	Fe 85-100	13. Fe-S	Al 0-40
3. Fe/Low Si	Al 0-40		Si 0-75
	Si 1-50		S 5-75
	Fe 50-100		Fe 15-85
4. Fe/High Si	Al 0-40	14. Fe-Cl	Al 0-40
	Si 50-100		Si 0-75
	Fe 10-50		Cl 5-75
5. Fe-Mn	Al 0-40		Fe 15-85
	Si 0-75	15. K-Cr	Al 0-40
	Mn 5-75		Si 0-60
	Fe 15-85		K 10-80
6. Fe-Cr	Al 0-40		Ca 0-50
	Si 0-75		Cr 10-50
	Cr 5-75		Mn 0-75
	Fe 15-85	16. K-Fe	Al 0-40
7. Fe-Cr-Mn	Al 0-40		Si 0-60
	Si 0-75		K 10-75
	Cr 5-75		Mn 0-75
	Mn 5-75		Fe 1-75
	Fe 15-85	17. K-Cr-Fe	Al 0-40
8. Fe-Cu	Al 0-40		Si 0-60
	Si 0-75		K 10-75
	Fe 15-85		Cr 1-75
	Cu 5-75		Mn 0-75
9. Fe-V	Al 0-40		Fe 1-75
	Si 0-75	18. Ca-Fe	Al 0-40
	V 5-75		Si 0-60
	Fe 15-85		Ca 10-75
10. Fe-Zn	Al 0-40		Cr 0-75
	Si 0-75		Mn 0-75
	Fe 15-85		Fe 10-75
	Zn 5-75	19. K-Ca-Fe	Al 0-40
11. Fe-Ti	Al 0-40		Si 0-60
	Si 0-75		K 5-75
	Ti 5-75		Ca 5-75
	Fe 15-85		Cr 0-75
			Mn 0-75
			Fe 10-75

Table 1 (continued)

Category	Relative X-ray %	Category	Relative X-ray %
20. K-Mn	Al 0-40 Si 0-60 K 10-75 Ca 0-75 Mn 10-75	26. K-Rich	K 25-100
21. K-Ti	Al 0-40 Si 0-60 K 10-75 Ca 0-75 Ti 10-75	27. Ca-Rich	Ca 25-100
22. Ca-Cr	Al 0-40 Si 0-60 Ca 10-75 Cr 10-75	28. Pb-Rich	Pb 25-100
23. Ca-Mn	Al 0-40 Si 0-60 Ca 10-75 Mn 10-75	29. Cr-Rich	Cr 25-100
24. Ca-Ti	Al 0-40 Si 0-60 Ca 10-75 Ti 10-75	30. Mn-Rich	Mn 25-100
25. K-Ca	Al 0-40 Si 0-60 K 20-80 C 20-80	31. Cu-Rich	Cu 25-100
		32. Ti-Rich	Ti 25-100
		33. V-Rich	V 25-100
		34. Fe-Rich	Fe 25-100
		35. Na-Rich	Na 30-100
		36. Mg-Rich	Mg 30-100
		37. Al-Rich	Al 30-100
		38. Si-Rich	Si 30-100
		39. Miscellaneous	

Table 2
Specific definitions for the aluminum fume categories

Category	Relative X-ray %	Category	Relative X-ray %
1. C/Organic	No Elements Over Threshold	11. Al-S	Al 25-90 S 10-80
2. Al	80-100	12. Al-Cl	Al 25-90 Cl 10-80
3. Al-Cu	Al 25-90 Cu 10-80	13. Al-K	Al 25-90 K 10-80
4. Al-Mg	Al 25-90 Mg 10-80	14. Al-Ca	Al 25-90 Ca 10-80
5. Al-Fe	Al 25-90 Fe 10-80	15. Cu-Rich	Cu 30-100
6. Al-Si	Al 25-90 Si 10-80	16. Cr-Rich	Cr 30-100
7. Al-Cr	Al 25-90 Cr 10-80	17. Mn-Rich	Mn 30-100
8. Al-Ti	Al 25-90 Ti 10-80	18. Fe-Rich	Fe 30-100
9. Al-V	Al 25-90 V 10-80	19. Mg-Rich	Mg 30-100
10. Al-Mn	Al 25-90 Mn 10-80	20. Al-Rich	Al 20-100
		21. Miscellaneous	

Table 3
Particle size distributions for the total fume sample

Particle Diameter	<0.2	<0.4	<0.6	<0.8	<1.0	>1.0
E6010						
Number	620	331	38	6	2	5
% by Number	61.9	33.0	3.8	0.6	0.2	0.5
% by Mass (<1 μ M)	9.1	47.9	23.6	9.4	9.9	—
% by Surface Area (<1 μ M)	25.9	49.6	16.3	6.3	1.9	—
% by Total Mass	5.4	24.2	15.5	4.3	4.1	46.6
E7018						
Number	701	276	44	8	1	1
% by Number	68.0	26.8	4.3	0.8	0.1	0.1
% by Mass (1 μ M)	9.8	40.3	28.6	18.8	2.4	—
% by Surface Area (1 μ M)	27.4	43.4	21.2	6.0	2.0	—
% by Total Mass	8.1	32.5	24.4	12.2	3.0	19.8
E70S-3						
Number	847	253	42	19	4	5
% by Number	72.4	21.6	3.6	1.6	0.3	0.4
% Mass (1 μ M)	12.5	21.2	15.0	18.7	32.8	—
% by Surface Area (1 μ M)	42.6	29.4	11.6	7.6	8.8	—
% by Total Mass	7.7	12.6	8.2	9.7	13.0	48.8
E70T-1						
Number	777	230	25	3	0	1
% by Number	75.0	22.2	2.4	0.3	0	0.1
% by Mass (1 μ M)	14.7	47.5	31.1	6.8	0	—
% by Surface Area (1 μ M)	36.8	47.1	13.3	2.8	0	—
% by Total Mass	3.5	10.3	5.8	1.6	0	78.7
E308-16						
Number	800	251	9	0	1	2
% by Number	75.3	23.6	0.9	0	0.1	0.2
% by Mass (1 μ M)	16.8	46.7	8.2	0	28.1	—
% by Surface Area (1 μ M)	42.3	49.3	6.2	0	2.2	—
% by Total Mass	15.9	38.7	7.5	0	8.2	29.7
E5356						
Number	289	434	195	46	24	10
% by Number	29.0	43.5	19.5	4.6	2.4	1.0
% by Mass (1 μ M)	1.4	15.1	32.5	22.2	28.8	—
% by Surface Area (1 μ M)	11.3	33.3	30.4	15.1	9.9	—
% by Total Mass	1.0	10.6	22.8	15.6	20.2	29.8

Table 4
Particle average diameters for the total fume sample

AWS Class	E6010	E7018	E70S-3	E70T-1	E308-16	E5356
Arithmetic Mean by Number (μM)	0.194	0.182	0.135	0.163	0.160	0.328
Standard Deviation	0.146	0.117	0.114	0.126	0.090	0.201
Geometric Mean by Mass (μM)	0.403	0.394	0.582	0.338	0.359	0.640
Standard Deviation	1.76	1.66	2.54	1.54	2.01	1.68
Geometric Mean by Surface Area (μM)	0.282	0.288	0.245	0.243	0.214	0.411
Standard Deviation	1.70	1.68	2.49	1.62	1.68	1.74

Table 5a
E6010 — Percent Composition

	Percent by number	Percent by surface area	Percent by volume	Percent by mass
1 C/Organic	—	—	—	—
2 Fe	2.8	1.4	0.5	0.7
3 Fe/Low Si	31.3	24.8	14.5	19.0
4 Fe/High Si	10.0	18.3	33.8	25.7
5 Fe-Mn	15.7	14.1	7.5	13.1
6 Fe-Cr	4.2	2.1	1.1	2.2
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
8 Fe-Cu	1.4	0.8	0.3	0.4
9 Fe-V	4.0	3.9	1.7	2.1
10 Fe-Zn	1.2	1.1	0.7	1.1
11 Fe-Ti	3.7	2.4	0.9	0.7
12 Fe-Al	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.1
13 Fe-S	2.4	4.8	9.1	10.6
14 Fe-Cl	2.8	2.2	0.9	0.8
15 K-Cr	—	—	—	—
16 K-Fe	6.0	4.1	2.1	1.6
17 K-Cr-Fe	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0
18 Ca-Fe	7.9	4.9	2.6	2.1
19 K-Ca-Fe	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2
20 K-Mn	—	—	—	—
21 K-Ti	—	—	—	—
22 Ca-Cr	—	—	—	—
23 Ca-Mn	—	—	—	—
24 Ca-Ti	—	—	—	—
25 K-Ca	—	—	—	—
26 K-Rich	—	—	—	—
27 Ca-Rich	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0
28 Pb-Rich	—	—	—	—
29 Cr-Rich	—	—	—	—
30 Mn-Rich	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.4
31 Cu-Rich	—	—	—	—
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	—	—	—	—
34 Fe-Rich	3.7	2.8	1.3	2.0
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	—	—	—	—
38 Si-Rich	0.9	10.7	22.4	17.0
39 Misc	—	—	—	—

Table 5b
E7018 — Percent Composition

	Percent by number	Percent by surface area	Percent by volume	Percent by mass
1 C/Organic	—	—	—	—
2 Fe	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.5
3 Fe/Low Si	1.0	0.4	0.1	0.2
4 Fe/High Si	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
5 Fe-Mn	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.5
6 Fe-Cr	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	—	—	—	—
8 Fe-Cu	0.4	0.9	0.6	1.3
9 Fe-V	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.1
10 Fe-Zn	—	—	—	—
11 Fe-Ti	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.5
12 Fe-Al	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.1
13 Fe-S	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0
14 Fe-Cl	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0
15 K-Cr	0.9	0.6	0.3	0.3
16 K-Fe	14.2	13.8	12.3	11.7
17 K-Cr-Fe	1.7	1.52	0.8	0.7
18 Ca-Fe	15.0	11.7	9.3	9.1
19 K-Ca-Fe	48.0	49.3	42.6	42.0
20 K-Mn	2.1	2.1	1.6	1.5
21 K-Ti	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.3
22 Ca-Cr	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
23 Ca-Mn	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.5
24 Ca-Ti	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
25 K-Ca	6.4	11.6	27.2	26.8
26 K-Rich	2.1	1.7	1.0	0.8
27 Ca-Rich	1.5	1.5	0.8	0.9
28 Pb-Rich	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
29 Cr-Rich	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
30 Mn-Rich	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
31 Cu-Rich	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
34 Fe-Rich	0.5	0.9	0.5	0.9
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1
38 Si-Rich	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.5
39 Misc	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0

Table 5c
E70S-3 — Percent Composition

	Percent by number	Percent by surface area	Percent by volume	Percent by mass
1 C/Organic	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
2 Fe	9.0	13.2	18.3	20.3
3 Fe/Low Si	14.4	32.9	58.9	56.7
4 Fe/High Si	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.0
5 Fe-Mn	16.9	12.5	6.0	7.7
6 Fe-Cr	5.1	3.9	1.2	1.8
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	0.9	0.9	0.3	0.4
8 Fe-Cu	4.2	2.4	0.7	0.9
9 Fe-V	3.7	3.4	1.7	1.5
10 Fe-Zn	2.6	1.9	0.7	0.8
11 Fe-Ti	3.0	2.2	0.8	0.4
12 Fe-Al	5.8	2.4	0.6	0.5
13 Fe-S	3.3	1.9	0.5	0.5
14 Fe-Cl	3.3	4.8	3.6	2.2
15 K-Cr	—	—	—	—
16 K-Fe	5.8	2.9	0.8	0.5
17 K-Cr-Fe	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.0
18 Ca-Fe	9.8	5.5	1.7	1.0
19 K-Ca-Fe	1.1	0.4	0.1	0.1
20 K-Mn	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0
21 K-Ti	—	—	—	—
22 Ca-Cr	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
23 Ca-Mn	—	—	—	—
24 Ca-Ti	—	—	—	—
25 K-Ca	—	—	—	—
26 K-Rich	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
27 Ca-Rich	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
28 Pb-Rich	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
29 Cr-Rich	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0
30 Mn-Rich	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0
31 Cu-Rich	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
34 Fe-Rich	8.0	7.6	4.0	4.5
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
38 Si-Rich	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0
39 Misc	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0

Table 5d
E70T-1 — Percent Composition

	Percent by number	Percent by surface area	Percent by volume	Percent by mass
1 C/Organic	0.8	0.5	0.2	0.1
2 Fe	14.4	9.2	2.7	2.5
3 Fe/Low Si	24.9	19.9	6.0	4.6
4 Fe/High Si	4.9	3.4	0.9	0.4
5 Fe-Mn	36.7	51.1	86.0	89.5
6 Fe-Cr	1.7	1.2	0.3	0.3
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	0.8	0.9	0.2	0.2
8 Fe-Cu	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1
9 Fe-V	1.2	1.5	0.5	0.4
10 Fe-Zn	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1
11 Fe-Ti	2.8	2.3	0.4	0.2
12 Fe-Al	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
13 Fe-S	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0
14 Fe-Cl	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.0
15 K-Cr	—	—	—	—
16 K-Fe	1.3	1.0	0.4	0.2
17 K-Cr-Fe	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
18 Ca-Fe	2.5	3.0	1.0	0.5
19 K-Ca-Fe	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.1
20 K-Mn	—	—	—	—
21 K-Ti	—	—	—	—
22 Ca-Cr	—	—	—	—
23 Ca-Mn	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0
24 Ca-Ti	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
25 K-Ca	—	—	—	—
26 K-Rich	—	—	—	—
27 Ca-Rich	—	—	—	—
28 Pb-Rich	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
29 Cr-Rich	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
30 Mn-Rich	2.8	1.8	0.5	0.4
31 Cu-Rich	—	—	—	—
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	—	—	—	—
34 Fe-Rich	1.0	0.8	0.2	0.2
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	—	—	—	—
38 Si-Rich	1.8	1.3	0.2	0.1
39 Misc	—	—	—	—

Table 5e
E308-16 — Percent Composition

	Percent by number	Percent by surface area	Percent by volume	Percent by mass
1 C/Organic	0.5	1.1	0.3	0.2
2 Fe	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.6
3 Fe/Low Si	1.2	0.5	0.2	0.2
4 Fe/High Si	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.5
5 Fe-Mn	5.1	5.2	4.2	7.0
6 Fe-Cr	1.5	1.8	1.4	2.7
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	1.4	0.8	0.5	0.8
8 Fe-Cu	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.5
9 Fe-V	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.7
10 Fe-Zn	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.8
11 Fe-Ti	1.0	0.4	0.2	0.1
12 Fe-Al	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.2
13 Fe-S	1.0	4.4	11.9	13.4
14 Fe-Cl	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.6
15 K-Cr	5.3	4.9	4.1	3.1
16 K-Fe	18.1	18.7	14.3	10.8
17 K-Cr-Fe	5.5	7.5	14.3	10.9
18 Ca-Fe	7.0	4.9	3.0	2.4
19 K-Ca-Fe	7.1	6.7	4.8	3.8
20 K-Mn	7.5	6.8	5.2	4.0
21 K-Ti	2.9	2.5	2.0	2.0
22 Ca-Cr	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.3
23 Ca-Mn	1.7	1.1	0.7	0.5
24 Ca-Ti	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6
25 K-Ca	1.5	1.8	1.4	1.1
26 K-Rich	6.5	6.8	5.4	3.8
27 Ca-Rich	1.2	0.9	0.5	0.5
28 Pb-Rich	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
29 Cr-Rich	1.9	2.5	1.6	2.4
30 Mn-Rich	2.8	1.8	0.9	1.2
31 Cu-Rich	0.9	1.2	0.9	1.6
32 Ti-Rich	0.9	0.5	0.3	0.4
33 V-Rich	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2
34 Fe-Rich	1.2	3.8	12.2	17.7
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
37 Al-Rich	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.4
38 Si-Rich	6.2	6.2	3.9	2.8
39 Misc	1.3	0.5	0.2	0.1

Table 6a
E6010 — Particle distribution of the steel weld fumes in percent by number

Category	<0.2	<0.4	<0.6	<0.8	<1.0	>1.0 μ M	Total
1 C/Organic	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2 Fe	57.4	42.6	0	0	0	0	0.7
3 Fe/Low Si	89.8	10.2	0	0	0	0	1.0
4 Fe/High Si	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.2
5 Fe-Mn	77.3	22.7	0	0	0	0	0.9
6 Fe-Cr	100.	0	0	0	0	0	1.0
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
8 Fe-Cu	50.0	25.0	25.0	0	0	0	0.4
9 Fe-V	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.6
10 Fe-Zn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
11 Fe-Ti	50.0	0	50.0	0	0	0	0.2
12 Fe-Al	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
13 Fe-S	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.2
14 Fe-Cl	50.0	50.0	0	0	0	0	0.2
15 K-Cr	77.3	22.7	0	0	0	0	0.9
16 K-Fe	69.7	24.1	5.5	0.7	0	0	14.2
17 K-Cr-Fe	65.1	29.0	6.0	0	0	0	1.7
18 Ca-Fe	74.5	21.6	3.3	0.7	0	0	15.0
19 K-Ca-Fe	65.2	29.5	4.3	0.8	0.2	0	48.0
20 K-Mn	57.1	38.0	4.9	0	0	0	2.1
21 K-Ti	75.6	24.4	0	0	0	0	0.8
22 Ca-Cr	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.2
23 Ca-Mn	50.0	50.0	0	0	0	0	0.4
24 Ca-Ti	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.3
25 K-Ca	60.0	30.9	6.1	1.6	0	1.6	6.4
26 K-Rich	71.7	28.3	0	0	0	0	2.1
27 Ca-Rich	73.5	19.7	6.8	0	0	0	1.5
28 Pb-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
29 Cr-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
30 Mn-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
31 Cu-Rich	50.0	50.0	0	0	0	0	0.2
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	0	100.	0	0	0	0	0.1
34 Fe-Rich	80.0	0	0	20.0	0	0	0.5
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.2
38 Si-Rich	50.0	0	50.0	0	0	0	0.2
39 Misc	75.0	25.0	0	0	0	0	0.4
Total	68.1	26.6	4.3	0.8	0.1	0.1	100.

Table 6b
E7018 — Particle distribution of the steel weld fumes in percent by number

Category	<0.2	<0.4	<0.6	<0.8	<1.0	>1.0 μ M	Total
1 C/Organic	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2 Fe	75.3	21.5	3.6	0	0	0	2.8
3 Fe/Low Si	63.7	32.8	3.2	0	0.3	0	31.3
4 Fe/High Si	51.0	37.0	7.0	3.0	0	2.0	10.0
5 Fe-Mn	56.0	37.6	5.7	0.6	0	0	15.7
6 Fe-Cr	78.5	19.1	2.4	0	0	0	4.2
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	66.7	33.3	0	0	0	0	0.3
8 Fe-Cu	64.3	35.7	0	0	0	0	1.4
9 Fe-V	62.7	32.6	2.5	2.5	0	0	4.0
10 Fe-Zn	58.3	33.3	8.3	0	0	0	1.2
11 Fe-Ti	65.0	32.5	2.7	0	0	0	3.7
12 Fe-Al	85.7	14.3	0	0	0	0	0.7
13 Fe-S	75.0	20.8	0	0	0	4.2	2.4
14 Fe-Cl	57.3	39.4	3.6	0	0	0	2.8
15 K-Cr	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
16 K-Fe	66.6	30.0	3.3	0	0	0	6.0
17 K-Cr-Fe	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
18 Ca-Fe	68.4	29.2	1.3	1.3	0	0	7.9
19 K-Ca-Fe	25.0	75.0	0	0	0	0	0.4
20 K-Mn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
21 K-Ti	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
22 Ca-Cr	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
23 Ca-Mn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
24 Ca-Ti	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
25 K-Ca	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
26 K-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
27 Ca-Rich	50.0	50.0	0	0	0	0	0.2
28 Pb-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
29 Cr-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
30 Mn-Rich	0	0	100.	0	0	0	0.1
31 Cu-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
34 Fe-Rich	43.4	54.2	2.7	—	—	—	3.7
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
38 Si-Rich	44.4	11.1	11.1	0	11.1	22.2	0.9
39 Misc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	61.9	33.0	3.8	0.6	0.2	0.5	100.

Table 6c
E6010 — Particle distribution of the steel weld fumes in percent by number

Category	<0.2	<0.4	<0.6	<0.8	<1.0	>1.0 μ M	Total
1 C/Organic	0	100.	0	0	0	0	0.1
2 Fe	83.8	13.4	0	1.9	0	1.0	9.0
3 Fe/Low Si	82.3	10.7	3.0	1.2	1.8	1.2	14.4
4 Fe/High Si	65.4	34.6	0	0	0	0	0.3
5 Fe-Mn	84.3	14.1	1.0	0.5	0	0	16.9
6 Fe-Cr	90.1	8.4	1.8	0	0	0	5.1
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	80.0	20.0	0	0	0	0	0.9
8 Fe-Cu	89.7	10.3	0	0	0	0	4.2
9 Fe-V	79.1	16.3	4.6	0	0	0	3.7
10 Fe-Zn	80.1	19.9	0	0	0	0	2.6
11 Fe-Ti	79.9	20.1	0	0	0	0	3.0
12 Fe-Al	97.1	2.9	0	0	0	0	5.8
13 Fe-S	92.0	8.0	0	0	0	0	3.3
14 Fe-Cl	81.5	15.5	0	0	0	0	3.3
15 K-Cr	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
16 K-Fe	94.1	5.8	0	0	0	0	5.8
17 K-Cr-Fe	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.9
18 Ca-Fe	89.5	10.5	0	0	0	0	9.8
19 K-Ca-Fe	92.8	8.1	0	0	0	0	1.1
20 K-Mn	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.2
21 K-Ti	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
22 Ca-Cr	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
23 Ca-Mn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
24 Ca-Ti	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
25 K-Ca	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
26 K-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
27 Ca-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
28 Pb-Rich	0	100.	0	0	0	0	0.1
29 Cr-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.3
30 Mn-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.4
31 Cu-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
34 Fe-Rich	78.5	18.2	3.3	0	0	0	8.0
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.2
38 Si-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.3
39 Misc	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.3
Total	85.9	12.0	1.1	0.4	0.3	0.3	100.

Table 6d
E70T-1 — Particle distribution of the steel weld fumes in percent by number

Category	<0.2	<0.4	<0.6	<0.8	<1.0	>1.0 μ M	Total
1 C/Organic	87.7	12.3	0	0	0	0	0.81
2 Fe	84.6	14.0	0.7	0.7	0	0	14.4
3 Fe/Low Si	73.3	24.3	2.0	0.4	0	0	24.9
4 Fe/High Si	81.6	16.4	2.0	0	0	0	4.9
5 Fe-Mn	72.3	23.6	3.6	0.3	0	0.3	36.7
6 Fe-Cr	70.8	29.2	0	0	0	0	1.7
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	75.0	25.0	0	0	0	0	0.8
8 Fe-Cu	75.0	25.0	0	0	0	0	0.4
9 Fe-V	49.6	41.3	8.3	0	0	0	1.2
10 Fe-Zn	50.0	50.0	0	0	0	0	0.2
11 Fe-Ti	78.7	21.3	0	0	0	0	2.8
12 Fe-Al	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
13 Fe-S	0	100.	0	0	0	0	0.2
14 Fe-Cl	80.0	20.0	0	0	0	0	0.5
15 K-Cr	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
16 K-Fe	92.4	0	7.6	0	0	0	1.3
17 K-Cr-Fe	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
18 Ca-Fe	56.0	36.1	7.9	0	0	0	2.5
19 K-Ca-Fe	50.0	50.0	—	0	0	0	0.2
20 K-Mn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
21 K-Ti	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
22 Ca-Cr	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
23 Ca-Mn	0	100.	0	0	0	0	0.1
24 Ca-Ti	100.	0	—	0	0	0	0.1
25 K-Ca	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
26 K-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
27 Ca-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
28 Pb-Rich	100.	—	0	0	0	0	0.1
29 Cr-Rich	100.	—	0	0	0	0	0.1
30 Mn-Rich	—	—	0	0	0	0	2.8
31 Cu-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
34 Fe-Rich	78.0	21.3	0	0	0	0	1.0
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
38 Si-Rich	77.9	22.1	0	0	0	0	1.8
39 Misc	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
Total	74.9	22.3	2.4	0.3	0.0	0.1	100.

Table 6e
E308-16 — Particle distribution of the steel weld fumes in percent by number

Category	<0.2	<0.4	<0.6	<0.8	<1.0	>1.0 μ M	Total
1 C/Organic	59.6	40.4	0	0	0	0	0.5
2 Fe	88.0	0	12.0	0	0	0	0.8
3 Fe/Low Si	100.	0	0	0	0	0	1.2
4 Fe/High Si	69.1	30.9	0	0	—	0	1.2
5 Fe-Mn	74.1	22.2	3.7	0	0	0	5.1
6 Fe-Cr	62.3	37.7	0	0	0	0	1.5
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	100.	0	0	0	0	0	1.4
8 Fe-Cu	82.5	17.5	0	0	0	0	0.6
9 Fe-V	79.8	20.2	0	0	0	0	0.9
10 Fe-Zn	88.0	12.0	0	0	0	0	0.8
11 Fe-Ti	100.	0	0	0	0	0	1.0
12 Fe-Al	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.9
13 Fe-S	72.1	18.3	0	0	0	9.6	1.0
14 Fe-Cl	88.2	11.8	0	0	0	0	0.9
15 K-Cr	75.0	21.4	3.6	0	0	0	5.3
16 K-Fe	68.7	30.7	0.5	0	0	0	18.1
17 K-Cr-Fe	70.6	27.6	0	0	1.8	0	5.5
18 Ca-Fe	82.5	17.6	0	0	0	0	7.0
19 K-Ca-Fe	72.0	28.0	0	0	0	0	7.1
20 K-Mn	70.0	30.0	0	0	0	0	7.5
21 K-Ti	77.4	22.6	0	0	0	0	2.9
22 Ca-Cr	86.4	13.6	0	0	0	0	0.7
23 Ca-Mn	88.8	11.2	0	0	0	0	1.7
24 Ca-Ti	80.9	19.1	0	0	0	0	0.5
25 K-Ca	62.3	37.7	0	0	0	0	1.5
26 K-Rich	73.8	26.2	0	0	0	0	6.5
27 Ca-Rich	84.6	15.4	0	0	0	0	1.2
28 Pb-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
29 Cr-Rich	69.8	24.9	5.3	0	0	0	1.9
30 Mn-Rich	86.6	13.4	0	0	0	0	2.8
31 Cu-Rich	60.6	29.8	9.6	0	0	0	0.9
32 Ti-Rich	88.2	11.8	0	0	0	0	0.9
33 V-Rich	80.9	19.1	0	0	0	0	0.5
34 Fe-Rich	61.0	30.9	0	0	0	8.1	1.2
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	0	100.	0	0	0	0	0.1
37 Al-Rich	77.6	22.4	0	0	0	0	0.9
38 Si-Rich	72.7	25.7	1.6	0	0	0	6.2
39 Misc	100.	0	0	0	0	0	1.3
Total	75.2	23.7	0.9	0.0	0.1	0.2	100.

Table 7a
E6010 — Particle distribution of the steel weld fumes in percent by mass

Category	<0.2	<0.4	<0.6	<0.8	<1.0	>1.0 μ M	Total
1 C/Organic	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2 Fe	23.9	54.8	21.4	0	0	0	0.7
3 Fe/Low Si	10.2	42.7	28.6	0	18.5	0	19.0
4 Fe/High Si	1.0	7.9	5.9	6.7	0	78.5	25.7
5 Fe-Mn	8.5	50.6	32.3	8.6	0	0	13.1
6 Fe-Cr	14.7	43.3	42.1	0	0	0	2.2
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	44.8	55.2	0	0	0	0	0.1
8 Fe-Cu	21.6	78.4	0	0	0	0	0.4
9 Fe-V	8.3	46.3	14.5	30.8	0	0	2.1
10 Fe-Zn	8.5	22.2	69.3	0	0	0	1.1
11 Fe-Ti	15.6	51.3	33.1	0	0	0	0.7
12 Fe-Al	57.9	42.1	0	0	0	0	0.1
13 Fe-S	1.3	2.9	0	0	0	95.8	10.6
14 Fe-Cl	9.8	68.9	21.3	0	0	0	0.8
15 K-Cr	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
16 K-Fe	13.8	45.1	41.1	0	0	0	1.6
17 K-Cr-Fe	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18 Ca-Fe	14.5	41.1	6.9	37.1	0	0	2.1
19 K-Ca-Fe	1.8	98.2	0	0	0	0	0.2
20 K-Mn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
21 K-Ti	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
22 Ca-Cr	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
23 Ca-Mn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
24 Ca-Ti	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
25 K-Ca	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
26 K-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
27 Ca-Rich	24.1	75.9	0	0	0	0	0.0
28 Pb-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
29 Cr-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
30 Mn-Rich	0	0	100.	0	0	0	0.4
31 Cu-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
34 Fe-Rich	7.9	69.5	22.7	0	0	0	2.0
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
38 Si-Rich	0.1	0.1	0.8	0	3.3	95.7	17.0
39 Misc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	5.4	24.2	15.5	4.3	4.1	46.6	100.

Table 7b
E7018 — Particle distribution of the steel weld fumes in percent by mass

Category	<0.2	<0.4	<0.6	<0.8	<1.0	>1.0 μ M	Total
1 C/Organic	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2 Fe	19.9	80.1	0	0	0	0	0.5
3 Fe/Low Si	61.6	38.4	0	0	0	0	0.2
4 Fe/High Si	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
5 Fe-Mn	18.9	81.1	0	0	0	0	0.5
6 Fe-Cr	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
8 Fe-Cu	10.0	40.3	49.7	0	0	0	1.3
9 Fe-V	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
10 Fe-Zn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
11 Fe-Ti	0.4	—	99.6	0	0	0	0.5
12 Fe-Al	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
13 Fe-S	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
14 Fe-Cl	1.8	98.2	0	0	0	0	0.0
15 K-Cr	33.8	66.2	0	0	0	0	0.3
16 K-Fe	7.6	38.6	42.5	11.3	0	0	11.7
17 K-Cr-Fe	20.5	40.6	38.9	0	0	0	0.7
18 Ca-Fe	10.5	40.9	26.9	21.7	0	0	9.1
19 K-Ca-Fe	9.2	40.3	27.3	16.1	7.1	0	42.0
20 K-Mn	9.0	62.1	28.9	0	0	0	1.5
21 K-Ti	27.0	73.0	0	0	0	0	0.3
22 Ca-Cr	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
23 Ca-Mn	1.7	98.3	0	0	0	0	0.5
24 Ca-Ti	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
25 K-Ca	1.9	9.1	10.1	5.0	0	73.9	26.8
26 K-Rich	24.2	75.8	0	0	0	0	0.8
27 Ca-Rich	17.7	38.3	44.0	0	0	0	0.9
28 Pb-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
29 Cr-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
30 Mn-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
31 Cu-Rich	3.4	96.6	0	0	0	0	0.2
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	0	100.	0	0	0	0	0.1
34 Fe-Rich	11.3	0	0	88.7	0	0	0.9
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
38 Si-Rich	0.8	0	99.2	0	0	0	0.5
39 Misc	24.4	75.6	0	0	0	0	0.0
Total	8.1	32.5	24.4	12.2	3.0	19.8	100.

Table 7c
E70S-3 — Particle distribution of the steel weld fumes in percent by mass

Category	<0.2	<0.4	<0.6	<0.8	<1.0	>1.0 μ M	Total
1 C/Organic	0	100.	0	0	0	0	0.0
2 Fe	3.9	9.5	0	22.2	0	64.4	20.3
3 Fe/Low Si	2.2	2.7	5.6	6.2	20.3	63.0	56.7
4 Fe/High Si	6.9	93.1	0	0	0	0	0.0
5 Fe-Mn	19.9	39.9	17.4	22.7	0	0	7.7
6 Fe-Cr	34.1	36.0	29.8	0	0	0	1.8
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	38.9	61.7	0	0	0	0	0.4
8 Fe-Cu	45.4	54.6	0	0	0	0	0.9
9 Fe-V	14.9	19.0	66.1	0	0	0	1.5
10 Fe-Zn	30.9	69.1	0	0	0	0	0.8
11 Fe-Ti	25.9	74.1	0	0	0	0	0.4
12 Fe-Al	73.2	26.8	0	0	0	0	0.5
13 Fe-S	48.5	51.5	0	0	0	0	0.5
14 Fe-Cl	6.9	27.0	0	0	66.1	0	2.2
15 K-Cr	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
16 K-Fe	51.7	48.3	0	0	0	0	0.5
17 K-Cr-Fe	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18 Ca-Fe	51.6	48.4	0	0	0	0	1.0
19 K-Ca-Fe	47.0	53.0	0	0	0	0	0.1
20 K-Mn	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
21 K-Ti	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
22 Ca-Cr	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
23 Ca-Mn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
24 Ca-Ti	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
25 K-Ca	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
26 K-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
27 Ca-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
28 Pb-Rich	0	100.	0	0	0	0	0.2
29 Cr-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
30 Mn-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
31 Cu-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
34 Fe-Rich	14.6	36.6	46.9	0	0	0	4.5
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
38 Si-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
39 Misc	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Total	7.7	12.6	8.2	9.7	13.0	48.8	100.

Table 7d
E70T-1 — Particle distribution of the steel weld fumes in percent by mass

Category	<0.2	<0.4	<0.6	<0.8	<1.0	>1.0 μ M	Total
1 C/Organic	20.2	79.8	0	0	0	0	0.1
2 Fe	21.3	39.3	6.3	33.1	0	0	2.5
3 Fe/Low Si	18.5	45.2	24.5	11.8	0	0	4.6
4 Fe/High Si	21.0	57.4	21.6	0	0	0	0.4
5 Fe-Mn	1.7	5.8	4.2	0.3	0	88.0	89.5
6 Fe-Cr	29.5	70.5	0	0	0	0	0.3
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	30.9	69.1	0	0	0	0	0.2
8 Fe-Cu	17.6	82.4	0	0	0	0	0.1
9 Fe-V	12.0	39.8	48.1	0	0	0	0.4
10 Fe-Zn	5.4	94.6	0	0	0	0	0.1
11 Fe-Ti	21.5	78.5	0	0	0	0	0.2
12 Fe-Al	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
13 Fe-S	0	100.	0	0	0	0	0.0
14 Fe-Cl	8.1	91.9	0	0	0	0	0.0
15 K-Cr	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
16 K-Fe	11.8	88.2	0	0	0	0	0.2
17 K-Cr-Fe	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18 Ca-Fe	6.6	34.6	58.8	0	0	0	0.5
19 K-Ca-Fe	7.2	92.8	0	0	0	0	0.1
20 K-Mn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
21 K-Ti	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
22 Ca-Cr	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
23 Ca-Mn	0	100.	0	0	0	0	0.0
24 Ca-Ti	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
25 K-Ca	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
26 K-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
27 Ca-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
28 Pb-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
29 Cr-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
30 Mn-Rich	21.5	78.5	0	0	0	0	0.4
31 Cu-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
34 Fe-Rich	31.2	68.8	0	0	0	0	0.2
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
38 Si-Rich	19.1	80.9	—	—	—	—	0.1
39 Misc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	3.5	10.3	5.8	1.6	0.0	78.7	100.

Table 7e
E308-16 — Particle distribution of the steel weld fumes in percent by mass

Category	<0.2	<0.4	<0.6	<0.8	<1.0	>1.0 μ M	Total
1 C/Organic	14.0	86.0	0	0	0	0	0.2
2 Fe	8.5	0	91.5	0	0	0	1.6
3 Fe/Low Si	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.2
4 Fe/High Si	23.7	76.3	0	0	0	0	0.5
5 Fe-Mn	24.9	44.8	30.3	0	0	0	7.0
6 Fe-Cr	15.1	84.9	0	0	0	0	2.7
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.8
8 Fe-Cu	61.3	38.7	0	0	0	0	0.5
9 Fe-V	18.7	81.3	0	0	0	0	0.7
10 Fe-Zn	22.3	77.7	0	0	0	0	0.8
11 Fe-Ti	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
12 Fe-Al	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.2
13 Fe-S	2.4	1.3	0	0	0	76.2	13.4
14 Fe-Cl	15.9	84.1	0	0	0	0	0.6
15 K-Cr	16.6	50.6	32.9	0	0	0	3.1
16 K-Fe	21.7	70.9	7.4	0	0	0	10.8
17 K-Cr-Fe	6.9	17.9	0	0	75.2	0	10.9
18 Ca-Fe	43.1	56.9	0	0	0	0	2.4
19 K-Ca-Fe	20.0	80.0	0	0	0	0	3.8
20 K-Mn	21.3	78.9	0	0	0	0	4.0
21 K-Ti	26.8	73.2	0	0	0	0	2.0
22 Ca-Cr	37.3	62.7	0	0	0	0	0.3
23 Ca-Mn	32.6	67.4	0	0	0	0	0.5
24 Ca-Ti	27.3	72.7	0	0	0	0	0.6
25 K-Ca	14.9	85.5	0	0	0	0	1.1
26 K-Rich	26.6	73.4	0	0	0	0	3.8
27 Ca-Rich	31.3	68.7	0	0	0	0	0.5
28 Pb-Rich	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
29 Cr-Rich	14.6	47.8	37.6	0	0	0	2.4
30 Mn-Rich	50.0	50.0	0	0	0	0	1.2
31 Cu-Rich	10.9	40.3	48.8	0	0	0	1.6
32 Ti-Rich	44.4	56.6	0	0	0	0	0.4
33 V-Rich	41.8	58.2	0	0	0	0	0.2
34 Fe-Rich	0.8	4.3	0	0	0	95.0	17.7
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	0	100.	0	0	0	0	0.1
37 Al-Rich	32.2	67.8	0	0	0	0	0.4
38 Si-Rich	23.7	61.0	15.3	0	0	0	2.8
39 Misc	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
Total	15.9	38.7	7.5	0.0	8.2	29.7	100.

Table 8a
E6010 — Average particle dimensions

	Average diameter by number (μM)	Average volume (μM^3)	Surface area (μM^2)
1 C/Organic			
2 Fe	0.151 $\pm$ 0.087	0.00210 $\pm$ 0.00316	0.0789 $\pm$ 0.0786
3 Fe/Low Si	0.188 $\pm$ 0.122	0.00605 $\pm$ 0.0225	0.127 $\pm$ 0.202
4 Fe/High Si	0.245 $\pm$ 0.228	0.0433 $\pm$ 0.309	0.315 $\pm$ 1.07
5 Fe-Mn	0.202 $\pm$ 0.115	0.00625 $\pm$ 0.0114	0.145 $\pm$ 0.169
6 Fe-Cr	0.149 $\pm$ 0.093	0.00345 $\pm$ 0.00995	0.0827 $\pm$ 0.136
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	0.196 $\pm$ 0.012	0.00332 $\pm$ 0.00209	0.122 $\pm$ 0.0582
8 Fe-Cu	0.170 $\pm$ 0.079	0.00235 $\pm$ 0.00225	0.0860 $\pm$ 0.0693
9 Fe-V	0.196 $\pm$ 0.141	0.00545 $\pm$ 0.0117	0.135 $\pm$ 0.203
10 Fe-Zn	0.199 $\pm$ 0.128	0.00739 $\pm$ 0.0172	0.146 $\pm$ 0.215
11 Fe-Ti	0.171 $\pm$ 0.098	0.00332 $\pm$ 0.00704	0.0978 $\pm$ 0.131
12 Fe-Al	0.160 $\pm$ 0.061	0.00195 $\pm$ 0.00187	0.0750 $\pm$ 0.0513
13 Fe-S	0.202 $\pm$ 0.289	0.0497 $\pm$ 0.233	0.340 $\pm$ 1.31
14 Fe-Cl	0.185 $\pm$ 0.111	0.00419 $\pm$ 0.00612	0.117 $\pm$ 0.130
15 K-Cr	—	—	—
16 K-Fe	0.176 $\pm$ 0.103	0.00415 $\pm$ 0.0120	0.115 $\pm$ 0.171
17 K-Cr-Fe	0.089 $\pm$ 0.038	0.00030 $\pm$ 0.00039	0.0212 $\pm$ 0.0202
18 Ca-Fe	0.169 $\pm$ 0.095	0.00432 $\pm$ 0.0145	0.104 $\pm$ 0.160
19 K-Ca-Fe	0.236 $\pm$ 0.108	0.0080 $\pm$ 0.0104	0.191 $\pm$ 0.170
20 K-Mn	—	—	—
21 K-Ti	—	—	—
22 Ca-Cr	—	—	—
23 Ca-Mn	—	—	—
24 Ca-Ti	—	—	—
25 K-Ca	—	—	—
26 K-Rich	—	—	—
27 Ca-Rich	0.250 $\pm$ 0.169	0.00160 $\pm$ 0.00117	0.102 $\pm$ 0.0801
28 Pb-Rich	—	—	—
29 Cr-Rich	—	—	—
30 Mn-Rich	0.441	0.0346	0.534
31 Cu-Rich	—	—	—
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	—	—	—
34 Fe-Rich	0.199 $\pm$ 0.087	0.00457 $\pm$ 0.00675	0.127 $\pm$ 0.126
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	—	—	—
38 Si-Rich	0.544 $\pm$ 0.575	0.326 $\pm$ 0.686	1.63 $\pm$ 2.82
39 Misc.	—	—	—
Total	0.194 $\pm$ 0.146	0.01131 $\pm$ 0.125	0.160 $\pm$ 0.517

*When no standard deviation is given, only one particle was present.

Table 8b
E7018 — Average particle dimensions

	Average diameter by number (μM)	Average volume (μM^3)	Surface area (μM^2)
1 C/Organic			
2 Fe	0.172 ± 0.079	0.00261 ± 0.00374	0.0868 ± 0.0769
3 Fe/Low Si	0.121 ± 0.059	0.00072 ± 0.00091	0.0371 ± 0.0347
4 Fe/High Si	0.089 ± 0.045	0.00041 ± 0.00050	0.0262 ± 0.0265
5 Fe-Mn	0.141 ± 0.069	0.00170 ± 0.00260	0.0609 ± 0.0635
6 Fe-Cr	0.127	0.00076	0.0433
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	—	—	—
8 Fe-Cu	0.295 ± 0.144	0.0109 ± 0.0102	0.244 ± 0.187
9 Fe-V	0.112 ± 0.047	0.00057 ± 0.00056	0.0343 ± 0.0243
10 Fe-Zn	—	—	—
11 Fe-Ti	0.243 ± 0.231	0.0184 ± 0.0258	0.326 ± 0.442
12 Fe-Al	0.109 ± 0.049	0.00055 ± 0.00080	0.0311 ± 0.0290
13 Fe-S	0.137 ± 0.003	0.00101 ± 0.00024	0.0513 ± 0.0056
14 Fe-Cl	0.145 ± 0.121	0.00166 ± 0.00266	0.0627 ± 0.0767
15 K-Cr	0.164 ± 0.063	0.00218 ± 0.00269	0.0777 ± 0.0671
16 K-Fe	0.179 ± 0.117	0.00591 ± 0.0139	0.122 ± 0.180
17 K-Cr-Fe	0.187 ± 0.092	0.00319 ± 0.00497	0.0985 ± 0.103
18 Ca-Fe	0.158 ± 0.105	0.00422 ± 0.0134	0.0966 ± 0.164
19 K-Ca-Fe	0.188 ± 0.113	0.00606 ± 0.0168	0.128 ± 0.193
20 K-Mn	0.198 ± 0.098	0.00524 ± 0.00768	0.128 ± 0.129
21 K-Ti	0.153 ± 0.071	0.00224 ± 0.00292	0.0758 ± 0.0717
22 Ca-Cr	0.081 ± 0.004	0.00017 ± 0.00004	0.0155 ± 0.0028
23 Ca-Mn	0.222 ± 0.146	0.0110 ± 0.0128	0.203 ± 0.208
24 Ca-Ti	0.151 ± 0.016	0.00133 ± 0.00099	0.0564 ± 0.0274
25 K-Ca	0.226 ± 0.194	0.0292 ± 0.174	0.244 ± 0.761
26 K-Rich	0.185 ± 0.072	0.00314 ± 0.00363	0.0971 ± 0.0800
27 Ca-Rich	0.177 ± 0.0118	0.00379 ± 0.00702	0.105 ± 0.142
28 Pb-Rich	0.193	0.00242	0.0966
29 Cr-Rich	0.100	0.00032	0.0232
30 Mn-Rich	0.165	0.00214	0.0818
31 Cu-Rich	0.198 ± 0.152	0.00360 ± 0.00475	0.109 ± 0.123
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	0.223	0.00452	0.139
34 Fe-Rich	0.219 ± 0.217	0.00683 ± 0.0131	0.153 ± 0.238
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	0.413 ± 0.065	0.00147 ± 0.00180	0.0597 ± 0.0573
38 Si-Rich	0.279 ± 0.228	0.00206 ± 0.00287	0.304 ± 0.389
39 Misc.	0.119 ± 0.052	0.00086 ± 0.00118	0.0396 ± 0.0388
Total	0.182 ± 0.118	0.00682 ± 0.0460	0.124 ± 0.257

Table 8c
E70S-3 — Average particle dimensions

	Average diameter by number (μM)	Average volume (μM^3)	Surface area (μM^2)
1 C/Organic	0.223	0.00218	0.0937
2 Fe	0.153 $\pm$ 0.153	0.0147 $\pm$ 0.0996	0.134 $\pm$ 0.510
3 Fe/Low Si	0.173 $\pm$ 0.206	0.0295 $\pm$ 0.178	0.209 $\pm$ 0.795
4 Fe/High Si	0.131 $\pm$ 0.098	0.00153 $\pm$ 0.00246	0.0619 $\pm$ 0.0805
5 Fe-Mn	0.129 $\pm$ 0.081	0.00255 $\pm$ 0.00962	0.0649 $\pm$ 0.116
6 Fe-Cr	0.129 $\pm$ 0.084	0.00175 $\pm$ 0.00469	0.0565 $\pm$ 0.0861
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	0.158 $\pm$ 0.079	0.00222 $\pm$ 0.00273	0.0787 $\pm$ 0.0744
8 Fe-Cu	0.118 $\pm$ 0.060	0.00124 $\pm$ 0.00209	0.0482 $\pm$ 0.0546
9 Fe-V	0.141 $\pm$ 0.095	0.00328 $\pm$ 0.0105	0.0768 $\pm$ 0.136
10 Fe-Zn	0.137 $\pm$ 0.071	0.00194 $\pm$ 0.00303	0.0644 $\pm$ 0.0673
11 Fe-Ti	0.132 $\pm$ 0.075	0.00189 $\pm$ 0.00413	0.0633 $\pm$ 0.0769
12 Fe-Al	0.103 $\pm$ 0.045	0.00068 $\pm$ 0.00143	0.0382 $\pm$ 0.0365
13 Fe-S	0.121 $\pm$ 0.056	0.00121 $\pm$ 0.00215	0.0483 $\pm$ 0.0519
14 Fe-Cl	0.160 $\pm$ 0.143	0.00795 $\pm$ 0.0325	0.118 $\pm$ 0.289
15 K-Cr	—	—	—
16 K-Fe	0.111 $\pm$ 0.057	0.00101 $\pm$ 0.00231	0.0410 $\pm$ 0.0508
17 K-Cr-Fe	0.088 $\pm$ 0.015	0.00027 $\pm$ 0.00013	0.0211 $\pm$ 0.0070
18 Ca-Fe	0.118 $\pm$ 0.057	0.00122 $\pm$ 0.00220	0.0487 $\pm$ 0.0559
19 K-Ca-Fe	0.097 $\pm$ 0.042	0.00057 $\pm$ 0.00105	0.0288 $\pm$ 0.0334
20 K-Mn	0.094 $\pm$ 0.056	0.00051 $\pm$ 0.00066	0.0273 $\pm$ 0.0295
21 K-Ti	—	—	—
22 Ca-Cr	0.071	0.00168	0.0706
23 Ca-Mn	—	—	—
24 Ca-Ti	—	—	—
25 K-Ca	—	—	—
26 K-Rich	0.115	0.00034	0.0291
27 Ca-Rich	0.076	0.00020	0.0175
28 Pb-Rich	0.294	0.0120	0.255
29 Cr-Rich	0.102 $\pm$ 0.033	0.00047 $\pm$ 0.00047	0.0277 $\pm$ 0.0192
30 Mn-Rich	0.068 $\pm$ 0.014	0.00015 $\pm$ 0.00016	0.0133 $\pm$ 0.0087
31 Cu-Rich	0.090	0.00013	0.0161
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	0.058	0.00005	0.0103
34 Fe-Rich	0.148 $\pm$ 0.089	0.00366 $\pm$ 0.0101	0.0857 $\pm$ 0.132
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	0.065 $\pm$ 0.002	0.00010 $\pm$ 0.00004	0.0118 $\pm$ 0.0016
38 Si-Rich	0.097 $\pm$ 0.035	0.00042 $\pm$ 0.00050	0.0251 $\pm$ 0.0207
39 Misc.	0.087 $\pm$ 0.034	0.00037 $\pm$ 0.00045	0.0230 $\pm$ 0.0193
Total	0.135 $\pm$ 0.114	0.00723 $\pm$ 0.0749	0.0879 $\pm$ 0.355

Table 8d
E70T-1 — Average particle dimensions

	Average diameter by number (μM)	Average volume (μM^3)	Surface area (μM^2)
1 C/Organic	0.135 $\pm$ 0.081	0.00284 $\pm$ 0.00620	0.0775 $\pm$ 0.116
2 Fe	0.140 $\pm$ 0.087	0.00270 $\pm$ 0.0113	0.0700 $\pm$ 0.130
3 Fe/Low Si	0.160 $\pm$ 0.092	0.00339 $\pm$ 0.00922	0.0905 $\pm$ 0.123
4 Fe/High Si	0.148 $\pm$ 0.088	0.00266 $\pm$ 0.00583	0.0749 $\pm$ 0.105
5 Fe-Mn	0.178 $\pm$ 0.170	0.0333 $\pm$ 0.558	0.166 $\pm$ 1.23
6 Fe-Cr	0.156 $\pm$ 0.073	0.00249 $\pm$ 0.00326	0.0807 $\pm$ 0.0729
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	0.196 $\pm$ 0.102	0.00301 $\pm$ 0.00353	0.105 $\pm$ 0.0988
8 Fe-Cu	0.200 $\pm$ 0.091	0.00500 $\pm$ 0.00768	0.127 $\pm$ 0.132
9 Fe-V	0.211 $\pm$ 0.087	0.00557 $\pm$ 0.00912	0.142 $\pm$ 0.148
10 Fe-Zn	0.221 $\pm$ 0.143	0.00809 $\pm$ 0.0102	0.175 $\pm$ 0.184
11 Fe-Ti	0.157 $\pm$ 0.086	0.00220 $\pm$ 0.00362	0.0752 $\pm$ 0.0820
12 Fe-Al	0.137	0.00158	0.0733
13 Fe-S	0.205 $\pm$ 0.006	0.00257 $\pm$ 0.00162	0.100 $\pm$ 0.0288
14 Fe-Cl	0.142 $\pm$ 0.126	0.00233 $\pm$ 0.00469	0.0659 $\pm$ 0.105
15 K-Cr	—	—	—
16 K-Fe	0.142 $\pm$ 0.110	0.00430 $\pm$ 0.0135	0.0837 $\pm$ 0.183
17 K-Cr-Fe	0.158	0.00137	0.0626
18 Ca-Fe	0.189 $\pm$ 0.125	0.00544 $\pm$ 0.0109	0.126 $\pm$ 0.168
19 K-Ca-Fe	0.289 $\pm$ 0.150	0.00843 $\pm$ 0.0102	0.209 $\pm$ 0.188
20 K-Mn	—	—	—
21 K-Ti	—	—	—
22 Ca-Cr	—	—	—
23 Ca-Mn	0.271	0.00860	0.206
24 Ca-Ti	0.108	0.00030	0.0265
25 K-Ca	—	—	—
26 K-Rich	—	—	—
27 Ca-Rich	—	—	—
28 Pb-Rich	0.083	0.00015	0.0144
29 Cr-Rich	0.062	0.00003	0.0058
30 Mn-Rich	0.147 $\pm$ 0.084	0.00245 $\pm$ 0.00477	0.0753 $\pm$ 0.0932
31 Cu-Rich	—	—	—
32 Ti-Rich	—	—	—
33 V-Rich	—	—	—
34 Fe-Rich	0.173 $\pm$ 0.084	0.00333 $\pm$ 0.00492	0.0964 $\pm$ 0.0983
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	—	—	—
37 Al-Rich	—	—	—
38 Si-Rich	0.147 $\pm$ 0.098	0.00153 $\pm$ 0.00250	0.0592 $\pm$ 0.0686
39 Misc.	—	—	—
Total	0.164 $\pm$ 0.127	0.0142 $\pm$ 0.338	0.115 $\pm$ 0.753

Table 8e
E308-16 — Average particle dimensions

	Average diameter by number (μM)	Average volume (μM^3)	Surface area (μM^2)
1 C/Organic	0.219 $\pm$ 0.122	0.00238 $\pm$ 0.00374	0.120 $\pm$ 0.146
2 Fe	0.152 $\pm$ 0.126	0.00605 $\pm$ 0.0154	0.107 $\pm$ 0.203
3 Fe/Low Si	0.109 $\pm$ 0.038	0.00067 $\pm$ 0.00069	0.0347 $\pm$ 0.0248
4 Fe/High Si	0.150 $\pm$ 0.068	0.00224 $\pm$ 0.0027	0.0754 $\pm$ 0.0643
5 Fe-Mn	0.166 $\pm$ 0.084	0.00344 $\pm$ 0.00599	0.0957 $\pm$ 0.106
6 Fe-Cr	0.177 $\pm$ 0.093	0.00393 $\pm$ 0.00461	0.108 $\pm$ 0.0977
7 Fe-Cr-Mn	0.134 $\pm$ 0.042	0.00136 $\pm$ 0.00112	0.0557 $\pm$ 0.0345
8 Fe-Cu	0.167 $\pm$ 0.052	0.00210 $\pm$ 0.00167	0.0803 $\pm$ 0.0510
9 Fe-V	0.145 $\pm$ 0.085	0.00251 $\pm$ 0.00412	0.0738 $\pm$ 0.0870
10 Fe-Zn	0.147 $\pm$ 0.091	0.00301 $\pm$ 0.00635	0.0795 $\pm$ 0.112
11 Fe-Ti	0.114 $\pm$ 0.043	0.00076 $\pm$ 0.00079	0.0373 $\pm$ 0.0280
12 Fe-Al	0.111 $\pm$ 0.042	0.00083 $\pm$ 0.00097	0.0399 $\pm$ 0.0341
13 Fe-S	0.247 $\pm$ 0.288	0.0480 $\pm$ 0.153	0.389 $\pm$ 1.04
14 Fe-Cl	0.133 $\pm$ 0.089	0.00371 $\pm$ 0.00916	0.0828 $\pm$ 0.146
15 K-Cr	0.155 $\pm$ 0.089	0.00322 $\pm$ 0.00650	0.0847 $\pm$ 0.111
16 K-Fe	0.169 $\pm$ 0.081	0.00330 $\pm$ 0.00514	0.0948 $\pm$ 0.0925
17 K-Cr-Fe	0.176 $\pm$ 0.128	0.0110 $\pm$ 0.0626	0.136 $\pm$ 0.386
18 Ca-Fe	0.144 $\pm$ 0.055	0.00181 $\pm$ 0.00228	0.0657 $\pm$ 0.0538
19 K-Ca-Fe	0.159 $\pm$ 0.081	0.00286 $\pm$ 0.00474	0.0847 $\pm$ 0.0912
20 K-Mn	0.161 $\pm$ 0.073	0.00290 $\pm$ 0.00382	0.0873 $\pm$ 0.0812
21 K-Ti	0.157 $\pm$ 0.071	0.00289 $\pm$ 0.00469	0.0837 $\pm$ 0.0862
22 Ca-Cr	0.153 $\pm$ 0.067	0.00242 $\pm$ 0.00372	0.0751 $\pm$ 0.0760
23 Ca-Mn	0.126 $\pm$ 0.074	0.00180 $\pm$ 0.00341	0.0577 $\pm$ 0.0752
24 Ca-Ti	0.179 $\pm$ 0.109	0.00460 $\pm$ 0.00693	0.112 $\pm$ 0.128
25 K-Ca	0.184 $\pm$ 0.083	0.00398 $\pm$ 0.00466	0.101 $\pm$ 0.0941
26 K-Rich	0.174 $\pm$ 0.073	0.00346 $\pm$ 0.00521	0.0998 $\pm$ 0.0957
27 Ca-Rich	0.146 $\pm$ 0.058	0.00185 $\pm$ 0.00298	0.0632 $\pm$ 0.0639
28 Pb-Rich	0.063	0.00013	0.0130
29 Cr-Rich	0.176 $\pm$ 0.111	0.00351 $\pm$ 0.00613	0.108 $\pm$ 0.135
30 Mn-Rich	0.133 $\pm$ 0.063	0.00132 $\pm$ 0.00183	0.0552 $\pm$ 0.0548
31 Cu-Rich	0.176 $\pm$ 0.112	0.00400 $\pm$ 0.00596	0.133 $\pm$ 0.126
32 Ti-Rich	0.131 $\pm$ 0.059	0.00165 $\pm$ 0.00267	0.0571 $\pm$ 0.0605
33 V-Rich	0.140 $\pm$ 0.054	0.00143 $\pm$ 0.00163	0.0594 $\pm$ 0.0462
34 Fe-Rich	0.217 $\pm$ 0.257	0.0416 $\pm$ 0.142	0.306 $\pm$ 0.846
35 Na-Rich	—	—	—
36 Mg-Rich	0.270	0.00346	0.146
37 Al-Rich	0.157 $\pm$ 0.085	0.00220 $\pm$ 0.00302	0.0789 $\pm$ 0.0844
38 Si-Rich	0.161 $\pm$ 0.081	0.00262 $\pm$ 0.00436	0.0853 $\pm$ 0.0994
39 Misc.	0.104 $\pm$ 0.029	0.00051 $\pm$ 0.00049	0.0300 $\pm$ 0.0180
Total	0.160 $\pm$ 0.090	0.00419 $\pm$ 0.0269	0.0925 $\pm$ 0.189

Table 9a
E5356 — Percent Composition

	Percent by number	Percent by surface area	Percent by volume	Percent by mass
1 C/Organic	3.0	1.6	0.5	0.3
2 Al	86.1	85.5	81.4	81.9
3 Al-Cu	7.1	6.5	4.5	5.3
4 Al-Mg	0.7	1.6	3.4	3.0
5 Al-Fe	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
6 Al-Si	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2
7 Al-Cr	—	—	—	—
8 Al-Ti	—	—	—	—
9 Al-V	—	—	—	—
10 Al-Mn	—	—	—	—
11 Al-S	—	—	—	—
12 Al-Cl	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
13 Al-K	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
14 Al-Ca	0.3	1.4	1.1	1.0
15 Cu-Rich	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.6
16 Cr-Rich	—	—	—	—
17 Mn-Rich	—	—	—	—
18 Fe-Rich	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4
19 Mg-Rich	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.6
20 Al-Rich	0.2	1.5	6.9	6.0
21 Misc	—	—	—	—

Table 9b
E5356 — Particle distribution of the aluminum weld fume in percent by number

Category	<0.2	<0.4	<0.6	0.8	1.0	>1.0 μ m	Total
1 C/Organic	40.0	50.0	10.0	0	0	0	3.0
2 Al	28.6	43.3	19.9	5.1	2.1	0.9	86.1
3 Al-Cu	29.6	46.5	18.3	2.8	2.8	0	7.1
4 Al-Mg	14.3	28.6	28.6	0	14.3	14.3	0.7
5 Al-Fe	0	50.0	50.0	0	0	0	0.6
6 Al-Si	25.0	50.0	25.0	0	0	0	0.4
7 Al-Cr	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
8 Al-Ti	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
9 Al-V	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
10 Al-Mn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
11 Al-S	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
12 Al-Cl	0	100.	0	0	0	0	0.1
13 Al-K	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
14 Al-Ca	0	33.3	0	0	66.6	0	0.3
15 Cu-Rich	44.4	44.4	11.1	0	0	0	0.9
16 Cr-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
17 Mn-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
18 Fe-Rich	0	50.0	50.0	0	0	0	0.2
19 Mg-Rich	66.6	0	0	0	33.3	0	0.3
20 Al-Rich	50.0	0	0	0	0	50.0	0.2
21 Misc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	29.0	43.5	19.5	4.6	2.4	1.0	100.

Table 9c
E5356 — Particle distribution of the aluminum weld fume in percent by mass

Category	<0.2	<0.4	<0.6	<0.8	<1.0	>1.0 μ M	Total
1 C/Organic	6.3	41.9	51.8	0	0	0	0.3
2 Al	1.1	10.6	23.5	18.2	20.2	26.3	81.9
3 Al-Cu	1.6	22.3	32.2	12.8	31.1	0	5.3
4 Al-Mg	0	1.0	8.2	0	16.7	74.1	3.0
5 Al-Fe	0	17.4	82.6	0	0	0	0.9
6 Al-Si	7.0	51.3	41.8	0	0	0	0.2
7 Al-Cr	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
8 Al-Ti	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
9 Al-V	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
10 Al-Mn	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
11 Al-S	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
12 Al-Cl	0	100.	0	0	0	0	0.0
13 Al-K	100.	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
14 Al-Ca	0	5.1	0	0	94.9	0	1.0
15 Cu-Rich	5.0	38.0	57.0	0	0	0	0.6
16 Cr-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
17 Mn-Rich	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
18 Fe-Rich	0	4.6	95.4	0	0	0	0.4
19 Mg-Rich	0.4	0	0	0	99.6	0	0.6
20 Al-Rich	0.0	0	0	0	0	100.	6.0
21 Misc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	1.0	10.6	22.8	15.6	20.2	29.8	100.

Table 9d
E5356 — Average particle dimensions

	Average diameter by number (μM)	Average volume (μM^3)	Surface area (μM^2)
1 C/Organic	0.239 $\pm$ 0.105	0.00382 $\pm$ 0.00779	0.121 $\pm$ 0.126
2 Al	0.327 $\pm$ 0.198	0.0201 $\pm$ 0.0797	0.293 $\pm$ 0.497
3 Al-Cu	0.318 $\pm$ 0.171	0.0133 $\pm$ 0.0299	0.261 $\pm$ 0.304
4 Al-Mg	0.529 $\pm$ 0.319	0.103 $\pm$ 0.194	0.846 $\pm$ 1.14
5 Al-Fe	0.409 $\pm$ 0.119	0.0268 $\pm$ 0.0195	0.444 $\pm$ 0.244
6 Al-Si	0.306 $\pm$ 0.101	0.0112 $\pm$ 0.0672	0.259 $\pm$ 0.114
7 Al-Cr	—	—	—
8 Al-Ti	—	—	—
9 Al-V	—	—	—
10 Al-Mn	—	—	—
11 Al-S	—	—	—
12 Al-Cl	0.224	0.00263	0.104
13 Al-K	0.179	0.00134	0.0727
14 Al-Ca	0.709 $\pm$ 0.356	0.0803 $\pm$ 0.0770	0.960 $\pm$ 0.623
15 Cu-Rich	0.278 $\pm$ 0.130	0.00996 $\pm$ 0.0152	0.215 $\pm$ 0.228
16 Cr-Rich	—	—	—
17 Mn-Rich	—	—	—
18 Fe-Rich	0.345 $\pm$ 0.194	0.0286 $\pm$ 0.0367	0.411 $\pm$ 0.442
19 Mg-Rich	0.385 $\pm$ 0.457	0.0442 $\pm$ 0.0761	0.520 $\pm$ 0.856
20 Al-Rich	0.842 $\pm$ 0.999	0.729 $\pm$ 1.03	3.26 $\pm$ 4.55
21 Misc.	—	—	—
Total	0.328 $\pm$ 0.201	0.0213 $\pm$ 0.0890	0.298 $\pm$ 0.524

Table 10
Chemical analysis of bulk welding fume in percent by weight
(Provided by the American Welding Society)

	E6010	E7018	E70S-3 ^a	E70T-1 ^b	E308-16	E5356 ^c
Al	—	0.9	—	—	—	45.0
Ca	—	20.6	—	—	—	—
Cr	—	—	—	—	—	5.6
Cu	—	—	0.4	—	—	0.5
F	—	19.5	—	—	16.8	—
Fe	46.0	24.6	57.0	38.1	10.8	0.2
K	—	12.5	—	0.3	18.9	—
Mg	—	—	—	—	—	5.4
Mn	4.0	4.6	7.8	11.1	6.2	—
Na	6.3	3.3	—	8.9	10.4	—
Ni	—	—	—	—	0.75	—
Si	7.5	2.8	3.3	5.1	4.9	—
Ti	0.2	—	—	0.8	—	—
% Water Soluble.	4.38	23.3	0.5	10.9	42.3	0.30

a. Shielding Gas: Carbon Dioxide

b. Shielding Gas: 75% Argon — 25% Carbon Dioxide

c. Shielding Gas: Argon




Fig. 1a — E6010 fume (20,000X)




Fig. 1b — E5356 fume (20,000X)




Fig. 1c — E7018 fume (20,000X)




Fig. 1d — E70S-3 fume (20,000X)




Fig. 1e — E70T-1 fume (20,000X)






Fig. 1f — E308-16 fume (20,000X)

EDAX Raster Spectra



Mg Si Ti Mn Fe


Fig. 2a — E6010 fume



Al Fe Cu


Fig. 2b — E5356 fume

EDAX Raster Spectra



Al Si S Cl K Ca Mn Fe


Fig. 2c — E7018 fume



Si Mn Fe


Fig. 2d — E-70S-3 fume

EDAX Raster Spectra



Na Si Ti Mn Fe

Fig. 2e — E-70T-1 fume



F Na Si K Ti Cr Mn Fe

Fig. 2f — 308-16 fume




Fig. 3 — E6010




Fig. 4 — E5356




Fig. 5 — E7018




Fig. 6 — E70S-3




Fig. 7 — E70T-1






Fig. 8 — E308-16



Micrograph 80,000 X





EDXA Spectrum

Diffraction Pattern

Fig. 9 — STEM Microanalysis for the E6010 fume




Micrograph 80,000 X



EDXA Spectrum

Diffraction Pattern

Fig. 10 — STEM Microanalysis for the E7018 fume



Micrograph 80,000 X






Fig. 11 — STEM Microanalysis for the E70S-3 fume




Micrograph 80,000 X





EDXA Spectrum

Diffraction Pattern

Fig. 12 — STEM Microanalysis for the E70T-1 fume




Micrograph 80,000 X





EDXA Spectrum

Diffraction Pattern

Fig. 13 — STEM Microanalysis for the E308-16 fume



Micrograph 80,000 X



EDXA Spectrum

Diffraction Pattern

Fig. 14 — STEM Microanalysis for the E5356 fume